COMMUNITY FEEDBACK SUMMARY

The Tryon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility Plan Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) presented facility plan alternatives to the public.

This document compiles comments and rankings from 26 citizens in attendence at Open House #1, April 24, 2013.

Please note that not all participants gave answers to every question.

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
CITY OF PORTLAND
working for clean rivers

City of Portland Bureau of Environmental Services
City of Lake Oswego Public Works
Tryon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Facilities Plan Update

Can you think of other goals or guiding principles that should be added or changed? If so, please explain why.

"No."

"Does this plant cause odor or a bad smell?"

"If not necessary to acquire property would be good."

"Air quality -- not only from sewage but also from traffic."

Are there stated goals and guiding principles that should be omitted? Tell us why they should not be considered.

"Nothing should be omitted. The public should be informed!"

"I asked the pros and cons of these plans -- did not get an answer I understood."

"Ongoing construction audits to insure minimal impacts on rates akin to Accuity proposed audit for LO Tigard Water Project."

"Can't think of any."

Please offer any other feedback on the plan's vision, goals, and guiding principles here.

"Needs more detail: analysis of full rebuild of plant."

"Like Alt B: seems like the best plan."

"Birds Hill CPO in. continued exclusion from voting discussion seat."

"Seems pretty comprehensive."

Some of the stated goals may be more important to you than others. If you could assign 100 points between the goals to indicate their relative importance, how would you distribute the points?

	Averaged community responses
Protect public health*	30 pts.
Practice environmental stewardship	16 pts.
Be a good neighbor	21 pts.
Provide value to ratepayers	21 pts.
Achieve outstanding plant performance	12 pts.
=	100 pts.

Are you aware of any special issue that these alternatives do not address?

"Not at this point."

"The area should be secured -- no open spaces where people can go through."

"Do not reflect correct inflated costs for LT projects us. st benefit of plant const. at current $."

"Possible smell travelling through air to homes near plant."

"What is the context of TCWP?:
a. Willamette River Basin & population b) Lake Oswego UGMA c) SW Portland d) Tryon Creek State Park e) Interceptor capacity SE of Boones Ferry Rd."

"Air quality is not covered extensively."

What questions do you have about the alternatives presented?

"None."

"Too much for me to digest. You people have done a credible job in presentation and explanation."

"I desire robustness and reliability; tend toward gravity feed rather than pumps."

"Questions I had were answered by representatives at meeting."

Please share your opinion of this Community Open House. What do you think of our approach to informing the community of our process and thinking?

"A great idea! Community can see plans at their own pace & ask at the same time."

"It is thoughtful to include the citizen. From 5-7 is about dinner time for most families, so another time would be better."

"Excellent."

"Every one has been exceptionally helpful to explain the different plans. My interest is a good plan -- as you have done, with four to choose from; efficiency & cost= value."

"It is good."

"Good idea. Certainly many people available for questions Certainly I am more aware than before I walked in. Good work."

"Great idea and much appreciated."

"Excellent way of getting a lot of important information to the public."

Was the Community Open House helpful in bringing you up to date on the future plans for the Tryon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant?

87%	Very helpful
13%	Somewhat helpful
0%	Not helpful

Please provide any suggestions that you think would be beneficial to the Citizens Advisory Committee.

"Keep it going!"

"Thanks to all who have helped putting these plans on the board to see."

"some mention of comparitive costs; what are the main objectives?"

"Plantings around the plant most important."

"I like the way everything is presented orally and visually and with statistics."

ALTERNATIVE YOU LIKE THE MOST:

A 20% B 70% C 0% D 0% E* 10%

* E write-in; labeled "build new plant."

Respondents choosing Alteranative A commented:

"I prefer more of a natural environment as in Tryon Creek park for example."

Respondents choosing Alternative B commented:

"Less odor and noise; B features increase in land use for people; gravity flow improvement"

"I can see the difference in 'B'"

"1) new headworks 2) large landscaping buffer"

"Flowing downhill, using less electricity."

"1. Gravity feed 2. Robust, reliable, and ready to use like a T-34 tank 3. Work cooperatively with Public Storage for use of 'air space'"

"Digester moves offsite"

ALTERNATIVE YOU LIKE THE LEAST:

A 36% B 0% C 18% D 46%

Respondents choosing A commented:

"Needs to be modernized for future, in general."

"Less room for landscaping."

Respondents choosing C commented:

1) too many buildings 2) too little landscaping

"I don't like any plan with the most complexity i.e. pumps required."

Respondents choosing D commented:

"This alternative may cost more to set up and to operate."

"I don't like walls and buildings in a place where there could be a natural environment. A treatment plant is a treatment plant."

EDGE CONNECTIONS AND OTHER NON PROCESS ATTRIBUTE PREFERENCES

Rank the edge treatment from 1 - 10 with 1 being LEAST desirable/important and 10 being MOST desirable/important.



Urban Edge	2.4
Filtered Edge	6.5
Vegetated Edge	7.8
Sculpted Edge	4.8

How important is coordination with Foothills Park? 1 being LEAST important and 10 being MOST important. 8.6

Coordination/interface with the proposed Foothills Development

How important is it to mitigate elevated views of the treatment plant (views from above)? 5..3

Property Line/Joint Use Issues

How important are possible public use trails and pedestrian access? 7.7

How important is it that both Foothills Development and Tryon Creek WWTP make a shared contribution to the buffer area? 8.7

How important is it to you that the SE Corner of the site be used for Foothills Development? 6.6

Joint Use of the Administration Building

How important is it that the Administration Building be used as a joint use site? 4.7

If important, should the joint use be:

- community meeting space associated with Foothills Park? 7.1
- community meeting space associated with Foothills Development? 5..3
- a space to provide environment education about water quality, wastewater treatment and the Tryon Creek natural area? 7.6
- a space that provides community access and education attributes? 7..3

Entrance

How important is a West Entrance? 6.8

Future Space

How important is retaining property for future treatment needs? 6.7