

Barbur Concept Plan

TECHNICAL ADVISORY GROUP MEETING #7 - AGENDA

December 6, 2012 9:30-11:00 a.m. 1900 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 7100, Room 7a

Meeting objective: Debrief from 11/29 Community Forum; share results from walking audit; review next draft summary report with a focus on changes resulting from the Community Forum; review next steps.

1.	Introductions / Review November Meeting	9:30 - 9:40
	Summary / Announcements / special end of meetings	pastries! Bring your own
	beverage	
	(Jay Sugnet)	

2.	11/29 Community Forum debrief (all)	9:40 - 9:50
3.	lan Lockwood walking audit results (Jay Sugnet)	9:50 - 10:15
4.	Edits to Summary Report - deadline for comments 12/14 (all)	10:15 - 10:55
5.	Public Comment / Next Steps / Wrap Up	10:55 - 11:00

Materials:

November TAG Meeting Summary

(Jay Sugnet)

Draft Concept Plan Summary Report





TECHNICAL ADVISORY GROUP MEETING #6 SUMMARY

November 7, 2012 9:30-11:00 a.m. 1900 SW 4th Avenue, Room 7A

Introductions/Announcements/September Meeting Summary

Jay opened the meeting at 9:40am. There were no announcements, and no comments on the September meeting minutes.

Overview of DRAFT Final Report / Discussion of Recommendations

Jay led the group through a free-form review of the Concept Plan Draft, addressing comments and issues as they were raised.

David Sheern cautioned against equity findings that found low displacement risk in the corridor based on the overall demographics. He noted that there are pockets of poverty and other populations at risk of displacement. Jay responded that BPS would be applying methodology developed to study gentrification issues to the study area and update the findings.

Marianne Fitzgerald pointed out the different feeling the corridor has north of Burlingame (tending urban) and south of Capitol Hill (more suburban).

Amin Wahab offered that the report should include more discussion about the unique stormwater issues for the southwest. By the time this concept plan reaches implementation (25-35 years), the stormwater management manual will have changed to include more tools and technologies to address these unique challenges. He also stated that the stormwater conveyance system is generally working, and is benefitted by large lot sizes, lots of open space, and low impervious rate. But the soil, slopes and overall watershed health remain ongoing challenges.

Alan Lehto noted the concept of a stormwater swale in the median of Barbur includes overbuilding the system to address capacity deficiencies. He questioned whether this was indicating that a transit project would be responsible for building more than what was required. Lidwein Rahman also expressed concern as related to the on-going long term maintenance and liability responsibility of such a facility, especially one that includes passive recreation. Denver lgarta noted that Barbur is designated as a civic corridor in the Portland Plan, which will likely call for designs that are above and beyond the minimum requirements. Jay noted the need for interagency coordination, but what we are trying to ensure is that we jointly take advantage of opportunities, and address multiple needs, rather than simply focusing on meeting a single requirement, project by project. Amin also noted that Barbur is not uniform, that many approaches would be required, not just a single swale from the central city to Tigard.

Denver Igarta noted that the report should distinguish local from regional traffic, and that there should be a focus on the transportation needs and issues, especially the bridges.

Lidwein noted that the description of the parallel path through the woods section could offer more direction. She described a previous ODOT study that identified parallel bridges as the most cost effective means of getting bikes and peds over the Newberry and Vermont ravines. She observed that if you could get users to a multi-use path on one side, it would further reduce the cost. Denver responded that we probably couldn't tie down the route, but could give a better description. Marianne Fitzgerald noted the pros and cons for both the Red Electric Route and Barbur. Lidwein added that the two routes serve different purposes.

David Sheern suggested adding discussion about the use of Community Benefit or Workforce Agreements, like was done for the Cully Plan. Marianne observed that the employment numbers showed a high concentration of office and medical, but that services were lacking. There is a need to build in services in the retail mix.

Alan Lehto asked how the urban design prototypes informed the plan? Jay responded that these are reflected in the focus area concepts (i.e. SW 13th is a perpendicular main street, Taylors Ferry reflects the slip lane prototype, etc.).

Marianne cautioned that absent improved and high quality transit, the likely development pattern will include large parking lots. She expressed concern that enhanced bus won't support good land uses.

Alan Lehto noted that a good standard bus system can serve users well in a grid street network with sidewalks, but the old more circuitous farm to market roads don't work as well, and pedestrians are often forced to walk in the street to get to bus stops.

Denver observed that the concepts called for new street connections in some focus areas. These should be compared to the TSP future streets map for southwest, with any refinements proposed in the recommendations section.

Lidwein asked about the rezoning discussion for the Kelly Area. She wondered if we were talking about rezoning the entire area CX, adding it wasn't clear. Jay responded that the area to be rezoned *in conformance with the current comp plan* is the area north of Kelly (south of 405). The Ross Island Bridge ramps will also need to be rezoned, at some future time, when the ramps are recongfigured.

Kristin Cooper cautioned about the level of zoning change specificity, that there are or we may create a number of non-conforming uses, and a more detailed examination would be necessary before moving any zone changes forward. She suggested adding future zone change analysis be added to the list of recommendations. She also added that we explore other zoning tools, beyond the main street or transit node overlays which can be trickier to implement.

Malu Wilkinson recommended that we not get into the specific project level of detail, but rather describe the general objectives (as opposed to a specific improvement).

11/29 Forum Preparations

Jay Sugnet described the general format for the final community forum. The forum will start with an informal mingle and opportunity to review the work completed to date. A quick presentation will be followed by a question and answer session. Small groups will then sit at a series of tables with separate posters representing the different segments of Barbur. The segment posters will include the concepts for the focus areas within each segment. Facilitators will lead the groups through the concepts soliciting feedback and input.

Public Comment, Wrap Up and Next Steps

Mary Vogel, guest, commented that she was disappointed the concept plan initial draft was not available on the web. She added that she was excited by the amount of discussion stormwater was receiving as its been a long standing issue for Barbur.

The final TAG meeting will be December 5th when we will debrief from the community forum, discuss any additional edits to the concept plan report, and celebrate.

Meeting adjourned 11:00 am

TAG Members

Name	Interest	Present?
Leila Aman	Transit Oriented Development	No
Shannon Axtell/Colleen Mitchell	Natural Resources and Infrastructure	Yes
Sean Batty	Transit	No
Kristin Cooper	Land Use Implementation	Yes
Ann Debbautt	Land Use	No
Marianne Fitzgerald	Public Involvement	Yes
Crista Gardner	Transportation	Yes
Denver Igarta	Transportation Planning	Yes
Judith Gray	Land Use / Transportation	No
Allan Schmidt	Parks and Recreation	No
Lidwien Rahman	Transportation	Yes
David Sheern	Housing	Yes
Amin Wahab	Natural Resources and Infrastructure	No
Jeri Williams	Public Involvement	No
Malu Wilkinson	Land Use	Yes
Dave Unsworth/Alan Lehto	Transit	Yes
Moriah McSharry McGrath	Health	Yes

Staff and Consultants

otan ana concatanto		
Name	Representing	Present?
Jay Sugnet	Portland Planning and Sustainability	Yes
Morgan Tracy	Portland Planning and Sustainability	Yes
Joan Frederiksen	Portland Planning and Sustainability	Yes
Glen Bolen/Julia Reed	Fregonese Associates	Yes

Guests

0.0000			
	Name		
ſ	Mary Vogel		