

CEIC TPAC Subcommittee

September 9th Meeting Summary

Attendees: Bob Wentworth, Mike Bolliger, Peter Stark, Steve Russell, Dan Yates, Susan Lindsay, Summer Killingsworth, Michael Zokoych.

Staff: Bill Hoffman, Chris Armes

The purpose of this meeting was to review the work plan and schedule for each phase of the project; formatting the on-street parking and development of a TDM plan.

Bill handed out a summary outlining what will be covered at each meeting during the both project phases. See Attached. The purpose of the first phase is to develop a methodology for data collection and a system to make adjustments to the on street system to respond to changes in the district.

Dan - Will zone changes done as part of the 2035 comprehensive plan update impact the proposed changes to on-street parking?

Bill - The goal is to update the data annually so the on-street system can be proactively managed. The zoning changes that occur as part of the comp plan update and how those changes influences the district will be captured during the data collection process.

Mike – The priority is employees parking, then retail and residential. At the current rate of change and development we are going to run out of room.

Susan - Will we be able to address the current condition of parking and make adjustments as the district changes? Meters set the tone to users. Meters tell users this is a retail area.

Bill - Yes.

Bill explained that the consultant contract includes a 100% inventory of the district and data collection of 80 block (320 block faces). As shown on the outline, once the data is collected, a matrix will be developed to help outline changes in formatting.

The last meetings in March and April will be dedicated to Transportation Demand Management. This process will only scratch the surface of TDM and discussion how to shift behavior and mode split.

Susan - It is important to get regular commuters out of their cars.

Summer – I agree in the three tiers outlined earlier. There needs to be a way to provide parking for residence who walk or bike to the jobs and their car sits on street during the week.

Bill – the CEID is a part of the central city, the CCTMP applies to this area, and the CCTMP gives priority to employment.

Bill handed out maps and asked the group to identify where they think parking is currently an issue and where data collection should occur.

There was discussion about collecting data in most of the areas collected during the planning effort with the exception of the OMSI area. There was discussion and general agreement about eliminating the OMSI area and instead collecting data in the buffer, along Water Avenue south to Clay, along the bridgehead streets and along Ankeny, Burnside and Couch.

This information and the maps collected will be given to Rick to review and evaluate. At the October meeting a proposal will be presented to the subcommittee.

-----Bill – Meeting Adjourned -----

Peter – I have well over 80 blocks identified for data collection on my map. Is a sampling of data going to be adequate?

Bill – The previous process collected a sampling of data and after discussion with Rick; he feels that there is enough commonality in the district that less than 100% data collection will be enough.

Bill – During the previous process, we were not able to install meters in the locations identified in the plan, then go back and fine-tune the system. It became a convoluted process. We will be able to establish a template for on-going data collection.

Summer – Data should be collected on 6th Avenue. There are many changes happening there.

Summer – The previous process didn't take into account the surface lots. There are many parking lots along MLK and Grand where meters were proposed.