



The Portland Committee on Community-Engaged Policing (PCCEP)

January 26, 2021 Full Board Meeting

Taji Chesimet:

Hello everyone, welcome. I hope everyone's having a good Tuesday afternoon. I heard it's snowing in Portland as well, so that's pretty exciting that y'all are getting a taste of that. And I say that facetiously because now in Chicago it's everywhere. So we are going to start the meeting and we'll just start with attendance. Introduction with Elliot.

Elliot Young:

Elliott Young co-chair of PCCEP and I'll pass it to Anne.

Anne Campbell:

Hi everyone. Anne Campbell, secretary. And I will pass it to Marcia.

Marcia Perez:

Hey everyone, Marcia Perez here. I'm the chair of the Racial Equity Subcommittee. And I apologize, I just jumped on so I don't know who else hasn't jumped in.

Taji Chesimet:

That's okay, I can take it. Is Lakayana here? Okay, Brit.

Brit Masback:

Hi everyone, Brit Masback. Good to be with you all tonight and I'm a member of the Youth Subcommittee.

Taji Chesimet:

Okay, Alana.

Alana:

Hi, I'm Alana. I'm the one of the co-chairs of the subcommittee

Taji Chesimet:

And Kea.



Kea Meyers Duggan:

Good evening, everyone. Kea Meyers Duggan and I'm the chair of the Truth + Reconciliation Planning Subcommittee.

Taji Chesimet:

Awesome.

Kea Meyers Duggan:

You want me to kick it to someone, Taji? Are you going to take it? I will kick it to Yolanda, I just saw her pop up on my screen.

Yolonda Salguiero:

Good evening. Yolonda Salguiero, PCCEP member. Welcome to tonight's January meeting.

Elliot Young:

So I think Amy is still up?

Amy Anderson:

Good evening, everyone. This is Amy Anderson. I'm the chair of the Behavioral Health Subcommittee. Glad everyone could make it.

Taji Chesimet:

And is Jamari?

Speaker 1:

Zeenab is here.

Taji Chesimet:

Oh, sorry. I was just going off the list, sorry. Zeenab, go ahead.

Zeenab Fowlk:

Good evening everyone. My name is Zeenab Fowlk. My pronouns is she/her and it's great to be with you all tonight. Thank you.

Taji Chesimet:

Awesome. Thank, you Zeenab. I think that's everyone then that I had on this list as well. Okay, great. So, Elliot, did you want to start with, what we discussed during and go from there?

Elliot Young:



Yeah, Just wanted to acknowledge the moment we're in. Obviously, in the nation we faced at the beginning of January is a situation that directly spoke to the question of policing. A kind of failure of policing at the Capitol but also a disturbing presence of people who are in police departments who are part of the insurrectionist group who went to the Capitol. And the locally in Portland, I think in the last week, few days, we have been facing continued protest at ICE detention center with protesters being attacked and gassed by federal police officers. We had yesterday this crazy situation on Stark with a car running over many people, one of whom was killed and we're not quite sure what the motivation or reason for that is. We have a mayor who is being confronted in the streets and pepper spraying people. So we're at a really tense moment and I think it's a good moment to be focusing on policing in our community and try to make some headway. So, just wanted to recognize where we are.

Taji Chesimet:

And with that, we're going to transition right into subcommittee report updates. We'll just start with the Steering Committee. The Steering committee met a couple of weeks ago and we discussed PPA negotiations. Initially trying to receive a C and we're going to advocate for that next round of negotiations two years down. But still far out, unfortunately. And thankfully that PPA negotiations on the city-side are public. I believe all that information should be available on their site. And Claudia, if you have a link to where that would be, normally, that would be great to send so people can watch. We were met with the mayor last week and there was around 350 people on the call, which was a really stark show of how much people care about what's happening, especially with the contracts and PPA. Also discussed TRC, but I'll let Kia share those updates.

Taji Chesimet:

Same with core patrol, we'll talk about that more in depth. We also discussed the use of force reports. We also discussed and are working on planning the retreat, which attentively is going to be February or March, which is the one time PCCEP of has private, full body meetings to do a team dynamic building and workshops and stuff like that focused on PCCEP. And then finally we discussed the budget. There won't be any budget cuts to PCCEP's budget as the size is small, which is good news on our behalf and we're still trying to understand other pieces of budget. And then the last thing is the annual report, which we'll also have another time to talk about. So from there, we'll go to the Behavioral Health Subcommittee.

Barb Rainish:

Hello, Amy is other engaged but I was probably going to do this anyway. Hi, my name is Barb Rainish and I am a peer to Amy Anderson. I am a member of the Behavioral Health Subcommittee and I am a citizen, and not a member of the PCCEP. At the beginning of the month, we meet the first Tuesday of the month from 6:30 to 8. We got to talk to four police officers. Granted, Casey was one of them and he brought along three other officers who had the distinction of being ECIT, which is the extra crisis intervention team, which I think we've talked about.

Barb Rainish:



And he brought an ECIT that was on the street, he brought an ECIT that works in getting the resources around, and a sergeant. And unfortunately, we hardly had any community members, but we had a great conversation because there were not very many of us there. Oh, and the conversation is recorded so you can check that out. Thank you, Portland cop-wise. But what I want to say is moving forward, we'd like to do that kind of consistently and get some talks going just between people and the cops in the community. So that's kind of the moving forward plan. Next steps.

Taji Chesimet:

Okay. Thank you. The Youth Subcommittee?

Alana:

Yeah, we're working on recruitment and outreach efforts to bring in more youth to our subcommittee on a regular basis. And we're also working on efforts related to implementing our restorative justice recommendation at the county-level via LPSCC, the Local Public Safety Coordinating Council.

Brit Masback:

Thank you, Alana. The Racial Equity Subcommittee?

Marcia Perez:

Hey everyone. So we last met on last Thursday, the 21st. We've decided that we would be doing a spotlight for different communities every month and we hosted the Latino community. And we also intended on reviewing two of our recommendations that we'll go over this evening, but we ended up kind of diverting and just letting community have that space. So we heard from several community members. It was pretty well attended and just wanting to hear from members of the Latino community, what their needs are, and how they perceive policing and what some of their recommendations were. We also debriefed a little bit of the panel that we hosted with police and community activists that happened in December and our hope is that we will be having different communities present and coordinate with us. And I've reached out to the existing advisory councils to hopefully collaborate with them to hear from different communities every month or so.

Marcia Perez:

And the only other follow-up that I'll share with the community is that we did request a work session with the mayor and chief of police to debrief that. One of the themes from the forums that we've held in the last several months is that there's a pretty significant lack of Portland Police Bureau members, particularly officer in leadership. And so we're hoping to have a conversation about what we can have responses to is some feedback and accountability to the community. Our next meeting is a month from now, I don't know the exact date, but hopefully can make it

Taji Chesimet:



Awesome. Thank you so much, Marcia. One more thing I forgot to add from the Steering Committee, we'll be sharing the work of PCCEP to the Muslim Advisory Council for PPB in February. That was another thing I forgot. Awesome, next one is the Settlement Agreement and Policy Subcommittee.

Anne Campbell:

We had our meeting this month and it was all about the directive process that we had talked about at our previous full board meeting in January. We spent the bulk of the meeting talking about the process, how it's going to work in PCCEP, and also our hopes to involve more PCCEP members in the community and putting together comments and sharing them with PPB. And I'm going to put the directive process in the chat. I think I just did. I sent today the directives that we will be reviewing next month, so everyone should have them. They're also going to be on our website so the community can also have time To review them and come to our next meeting. Thank you.

Taji Chesimet:

Awesome, thank you so much. And next, the Truth + Reconciliation Planning Subcommittee.

Kea Meyers Duggan:

Thanks, Taji. We had our first official meeting on Monday, January 18th, where we started to identify a scope for the planning committee, which is the precursor to an actual Truth + Reconciliation Committee. We're just developing recommendations and structure and framing for what that commission might look like. So we just started laying the very early discussions or plans for scope, harms to be addressed, mission and outcome or desired outcomes as well as the specific harms that we want to address. So we started laying the groundwork for that. We are on a little bit of a hole because we are trying to identify stipends and discussion about that for specifically BIPOC individuals that will be leading this process just due to the harms that are going to be surfaced and the level of work that can bring forth additional harm. There's a lot more rooted in that, but we are identifying what that looks like and so until we have visibility into that, we are going to hold on next steps. That's my update.

Taji Chesimet:

Thank you, Kea. I guess just to continue on that, it's all stuff that we're trying to make happen as soon as possible so we'll let everyone in the community know as soon as possible if anyone else was interested in being part of that process, as Kia said, it was just on hold as we're waiting for confirmation from the mayor's office, or really there's the city. Cool, and then the last one is not a subcommittee, but the space we have set for PPB, any updates that they have or the mayor's office, if they had anything to share as well.

Mike Leasure:

Good evening, everyone. My name is Mike Leasure. I'm the assistant chief of operations for the Portland police Bureau. Just to update you in an effort to reduce call response times, our move of folding in the traffic division, narcotics and organized crime unit, the rapid response team will be final on February 4th. We'll be returning all of those officers to operations so we can respond and hopefully reduce our



call response times to our community here. In addition to that, on February 4th, we will be promoting seven officers to the rank of detective to help bolster our criminal investigations. And that's what I have for updates today, thank you for giving me a time.

Asena Lawrence:

Taji, I can give an update from the mayor's office.

Taji Chesimet:

Sure. Thank you, Asena.

Asena Lawrence:

Thanks, and I'm outside taking my dogs out so I'm sorry if it's a little bit loud. So from the mayor's office, tomorrow at council at 9:30 will be a report from PPB to council on the JTTF, the Joint Terrorism Task Force. Sorry, my dogs. And then as far as our work with PCCEP, really appreciated our quarterly check-in that we've had with all of you last Friday. And just so everyone's aware, I know that we still have a number of outstanding recommendations from PCCEP to respond to and I plan on responding to all of them in the next two weeks. And I'm here if anyone has questions. Thank you.

Elliot Young:

I just had a question from Mike Leasure, if you're still there, Mike. My question is there've been reports in the media that the sort of lag or slowing down of call response times may have been a strategy or done by police on purpose as a response to defunding or protest. And I just wanted you to respond to that, whether there's any truth to that allegation or not.

Alana:

That is 100% incorrect. It is our hope that we can provide prompt and timely service to all the members of the community and anything that impacts that is, unfortunately, out of our control, frankly, but that is 100% incorrect.

Elliot Young:

Thanks for that clarification.

Taji Chesimet:

And then Zeenab did you have a question?

Zeenab Fowlk:

I also have a question for Michael Leasure. You shared that there are several officers who were planning on being promoted to detective. Can you share if any, for the BIPOC community?

Mike Leasure:



Sorry, forgot to unmute. I just have a list of names. I can do some research on, on their ethnic background and get back to you, but I don't know that offhand. Did that come through? I'm sorry. I'm a little glitchy on my end.

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah, that came Through.

Mike Leasure:

Okay, sorry.

Taji Chesimet:

Okay, and go ahead.

Anne Campbell:

Thank you. I also have a question, Michael. What is the current police response time?

Mike Leasure:

It varies. I can tell you that in the song it peaked. I'm happy to say that we've started seeing a decrease in the response numbers. I can get you exact numbers from our [inaudible 00:30:04].

PART 1 OF 6 ENDS [00:30:04]

Mike Leasure:

Decrease in the response numbers. I can get you exact numbers from our SSD but I don't have them offhand, but it's our goal in the operations that they'll continue to get smaller. We're hoping that this last six or eight months was an anomaly and we'd love to get back to providing more prompt service when we're able.

Mary Claire:

What does prompt mean to you? I'm just trying to get a gauge.

Mike Leasure:

Well, I think there are just a lot of layers to this. Let's take last night, for instance, when we had the gentlemen or the man hitting pedestrians. Now a call like that draws in almost a city-wide response, and so when that call is happening, that impacts our ability to respond to calls elsewhere in the city. It just kind of depends and depending on what other calls are going on throughout the city. It's not simply the call and how many officers we have, it also depends on who else is available. I could paint a picture on any particular night giving a call load and what types of calls were coming in, but it's hard to just generalize what our response times are on any given day, because it's so dependent on what else is going on. I mean, we can certainly give you an average.



Mary Claire:

That would be great. Yeah, that would be great.

Mike Leasure:

Mary Claire if you could remind me. We'll send some call response times data to you all from our SSD department.

Mary Claire:

Thank you.

Mike Leasure:

You're welcome.

Taji Chesimet:

I think there was call times on the general 2019 report from PPB. I think they have call times on there, if I remember right, if anyone was interested in looking at that. Okay. Great and I think now I'm going to throw it over to Elliot to go into the annual report. [crosstalk 00:31:59]. Yeah, it might be more.

Elliot Young:

Thanks, Tajo. So Tajo and I and Theo and other members of PCCEP put together this annual report, which includes sort of the quarterly updates that Theo was putting together and essentially, I don't think PCCEP has ever done this, although we hope to sort of set this as a model to try to capture what we have done in 2020, but also since this is our first one, give a sense of membership from the beginning.

Elliot Young:

So it starts off with introductory letter from Tajo and myself, an executive summary talking about both the strengths and the successes that PCCEP has had, as well as some of the challenges. It lists out the recommendations, the public meetings, what our membership have been and some of the challenges we've had.

Elliot Young:

So it's a fairly lengthy report, I'm not going to read through it here, but I would just highlight in terms of the strengths that PCCEP has had an enormous amount of number of meetings with the public, with great public participation, particularly since the murder of George Floyd and in the summer, we had these fairly frequent town halls. So the public has been engaged and we've gotten a lot of feedback and we've made recommendations.

Elliot Young:

The challenge has been to have our recommendations result in timely response from the mayor's office and action and so for some of the recommendations, like the banning of chemical weapons, for the



recommendations about defunding, it took months. In some cases, six months to get a response and when we do get the response, it just kind of reiterates what has been done rather than action steps that will be taken.

Elliot Young:

There have been other recommendations that have come out of PCCEP that have been more vigorously acted on, like the Truth and Reconciliation Commission. But that one I will note, comes at sort of the instigation of the mayor's office. So we've talked with the mayor and with the Ascent and the mayor staff about this, and we're hoping to be in a new mode of greater facilitation and more fluid conversations and also when we make the recommendations, it doesn't just land in a black hole, but we actually can have ongoing conversations about updates to those recommendations. So I'll leave it there.

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah. To answer Ann's question real quick, it should be in the agenda for today and if you could also put a link cloud in the chat, that'd be really helpful. I'm just going to highlight. You don't have to scroll or anything for this, but we included all of the general body meetings for the entire year, all YouTube links for you to watch them. At least the ones that were recorded on Zoom. They're all recorded in Zoom, but the first two meetings of the year were in-person. So they did not have recordings. I could be wrong on that.

Taji Chesimet:

Oh no, they did have recordings. That's not true. They did have recordings. Those are also up. As well as all of our recommendations were coalesced here for the entire year, with a very short one to two sentence description of them along with links to see the whole thing and then also we put a separate setting for the special meetings we had, which included those town halls. Along with some of the special events, the racial equity subcommittee has been put together to spotlight communities of color in Portland.

Taji Chesimet:

And then the last thing I'll note is we included in this, the directors reviewed the codification, as well as any statements we made, including the substantial compliance that the DOJ put out in the January of last year. All of that information's there. That's pretty much it. As well as the links to playlists for YouTube to see all of the subcommittee recordings as well and I do highly encourage people to take a look at it and I'm quite proud of what we were able to do and also like to shout out to Tina for your great feedback and collaboration on some important key points that we were trying to make sure we can tighten up. So now we're going to just sort of open it up from here. If there's any PCCEP comments and thoughts. Oh, Theo, I'm sorry,

Theo:



I was just wondering if, for a lot of communities, it's going to be the first time they're seeing it. Would you mind just scrolling through it all slowly and just pointing stuff out real quick? I think that would be helpful for some folks.

Elliot Young:

We could do that. So the first section, as I said before, is the executive summary and then Claudia, if you scroll down, this is the section with the members and their photographs. So you know who we are. All looking beautiful there. Very fun looking group of people.

Elliot Young:

Then we have the subcommittee. So as you know, most of our work happens in the sub committees and behavioral health is co-chaired by Amy Anderson and some links to some of the subcommittee meetings. Racial equity is co-chaired by Marcia Perez and also links there the settlement agreement and policy committee, Va dim Moussorgsky and Ann Campbell, and then the steering committee, which includes the officers, myself, Tajo, Ann and Kanaka as alternate co-chair now.

Elliot Young:

Going down, there's a youth subcommittee. I guess, Tajo, I'm not sure if you're still. Alana's the chair. Are you still co-chair of...

Taji Chesimet:

I don't know. I would say it's just not necessarily like UN-chair me from that.

Elliot Young:

Right. So legacy chair, chair for life. So the subcommittee, and there's a link to some of the YouTube meetings or channel with some of the meetings and then going down. Next, we have the recommendations.

Elliot Young:

One of the reasons why we've put this together is the feedback from the community that it's very hard to find our recommendations on the website, which we agree with and we are fighting with the city to get a better website, but this is an effort to make some of our work transparent. So body camera recommendations, procedural justice recommendation, qualified immunity doctrine, and these things also have the recommendations but I believe also have the response from the mayor when there was a response.

Elliot Young:

So, you see there, for the ban on use of chemical weapons, the response from Mayor Wheeler, redirecting funds from the police to alternatives, truth and reconciliation one, duty to intervene and training to support recommendation, and then scrolling down. More recommendations. Claudia, scrolling, scrolling. Amending foot pursuit directive to reduce scenarios, restorative justice, arbitration



around the SB1567 bill, police advisory groups recommendation, and then continuing to scroll. Identification recommendation, recommendation on codification.

Elliot Young:

So we've had 13 recommendations in 2020. It's been a busy year. You could see not all of them have received a response, although Ascent promises within two weeks to get us a response. So we're happy that we're moving forward on that and then scrolling down.

Elliot Young:

Beyond that, we have our list of public meetings. I'm not going to list this out because there are a lot of them, but you could see the number of attendees on the ones that we have numbers for and links to the YouTube site. So continuing down. All the meetings. Special meetings. So beyond our regular board meetings, we held various town halls and listening sessions and these are listed here and I think there are links to the YouTube as well to the recordings.

Elliot Young:

Keep scrolling. Then the membership. This says the kind of training that people receive when they become members of PCCEP. The team building work we do in retreats. Scrolling down.

Elliot Young:

One of the challenges we face is trying to maintain an adequate pool of qualified and diverse alternates and there've been times when we've hadn't had enough alternates to step in when people have left. So that's been an issue that we're trying to rectify. I think at a certain point, also the question of who is in the leadership of PCCEP has been raised. The absence of women, the absence of black women in particular and I think that's something that we continue to need to focus on to make sure we reflect the community we are representing.

Elliot Young:

Workload is an issue because you've seen this long list of recommendations. This all takes a lot of work and time to go to these meetings and come up with the recommendations and so some of us are putting in over 20 hours a week and are feeling burned out and so there's a larger question that Ker raised about a volunteer group like ours, how it is sustainable and also just in this work. So we do have the amazing work of our staff people, Theo and Claudia, as well as people in the mayor's office, like Ascent and Sam Diaz, who work with us and we really need to rely on that staff work going forward.

Elliot Young:

We've had a number of members who've resigned over the last year and I know this has been an issue of public concern. Some of the reasons is people moved out of town. Some members sort of missed too many meetings and had to be sort of asked or removed. Some people just decided they wanted to dedicate their time to other things, but some people also indicated that they felt unheard on PCCEP. So that's one of the things we need to be thinking about is not only inclusivity in the community, but within



our own group, who is not feeling heard and moving forward, I think that's something we could work on in retreats.

Elliot Young:

So moving down to challenges. Community attendance, we've had lots of community attendance. There's a question about representation of the communities that attend and we don't do surveys of demographics, so we don't have precise numbers on this. I personally would like to see a more diverse group of people represented in our public meetings. I see Patrick, you have your hand raised. So why don't I take your question?

Patrick:

No, it's fine. Go ahead and go to the end. I was very clear that I wanted it at the end.

Elliot Young:

Okay. I'm sorry. I didn't see the chat.

Elliot Young:

Going down there's Mayor Wheeler's 19 point plan, which came out in June and two of the issues which he assigned to PCCEP was the truth and reconciliation commission core patrol, which we're taking up. There's a section on the budget and staff support. So many of us on PCCEP were unaware of the budget until a couple of weeks ago. We now realize we have this, what looks like a big budget. Although most of it goes to COCL. \$460,000, including 60,000 in travel for COCL and some of the mayor's office staff is paid out of the PCCEP budget. So what we're trying to do is get a better handle on how the PCCEP budget is spent and maybe direct some of those resources to hiring someone to do data analysis.

Elliot Young:

Data requests. We have made several data requests. The biggest one is about the forced data collection reports. So the PPV is currently going through those and redacting private information so that we can get access to those and that has been a challenge for us is to get timely access to information that is not already publicly available.

Elliot Young:

Then statements, reports, and directives. So we are charged with reviewing the directives of the PPB. We have not been good about doing that in a timely fashion and Ann and others have come up with a plan that we've implemented so that these will be regular part of the settlement and policy committee, and that we could issue our comments as we are required or charged with doing in our charter.

Elliot Young:

Then moving down, scrolling down. These are statements passed by PCCEP about the COCL report last year, a statement condemning excessive use of force against peaceful protestors in June, and then a list of directives that we have reviewed and then scrolling down.



Taji Chesimet:

That's the end of the report.

Elliot Young:

Okay. That's the end of the report. So I know this was quick. The link is there for you to look at it at greater length, but I see two hands up. Patrick, and then Ascent. Patrick.

Patrick:

Yeah, I wasn't at the last meeting. Is it the intention for the truth reconciliation committee to not include discussions of police use of force against people with mental illnesses or mental health crisis?

Elliot Young:

Kia, do you want to take that or I can?

Kea Meyers Duggan:

Sure. I can take that. Patrick, we have identified that we're going to start with focusing on the area of racial harms, which the commission, the planning committee has identified that mental health is going to be a part of that and I mean, obviously with truth and reconciliation, use of force is at the root of that. So I suspect that all of that is going to be covered and spoken to in terms of a recommendation on what our areas of focus are but again, we're very early in the process and once this gets handed over to the commission that actually gets stood up, again, we are just planning. We are not the actual truth and reconciliation commission. They will then take that charge and move forward.

Patrick:

I'm not sure. Is that a no, then?

Kea Meyers Duggan:

That is not the explicit area of focus. Mental health and use of force, no. We are starting with focusing on a racial lens or racial discrimination lens, but identifying where there are intersections from mental health.

Patrick:

Okay, thank you.

Elliot Young:

Yeah. I just want to note, Patrick, that there was debate at the meeting about whether mental health should be included and some of us, including myself, were arguing that it should be included, but most of the people felt that the priority now should be on race and so where we settled was I think let's focus on race, but then get to mental health down the line. So that's, I think, where it stands right now.



Kea Meyers Duggan:

Elliot, and let me just make one more addition to that in terms of being laser-focused is when we try to tackle too many issues at once, that can create additional harm and that lack of focus will weaken or lessen the work that we do but what I want to say is that this is not a one and done thing. The learnings that we have from this, the structure that we build, the framework that we come up with, we can take those best practices and use it and replicate that as we deal with additional harms, additional community. So it's not like this is a, "Okay, we just solved the racial issue. That's it. Good luck everybody." This is just the start. This is just the starting point.

Patrick:

Is this meeting being recorded?

Mike Leasure:

I'll [inaudible 00:50:55] recording, Patrick.

Patrick:

Thank you.

Elliot Young:

Okay. I see Rochelle and Ascent. Rochelle [inaudible 00:51:05] on my participant list is higher. So Rochelle, why don't you go first and then [crosstalk 00:51:11].

Rochelle:

I wonder if you could tell me where you got the numbers of people who attended various of your meetings. As you know, I have been attending all of your meetings for several months now and taking notes and keeping some data on how many people attended and the numbers that I have don't sync with the numbers you have and I'll just give one example. A meeting of the racial equity committee, subcommittee called Say Her Name, you have 88 people listed as attending and when I went to that meeting, I saw eight people. So I guess I'm asking the question about where those numbers are coming from.

Mike Leasure:

These are all meetings. So Zoom keeps track of all the meeting numbers. So they have the hard data to back up the meeting, but also, anecdotally, I was on the meeting and there was certainly, well over the number of eight. That meeting was incredibly well attended.

Elliot Young:

Okay. Thanks for that.

Lakayana:



Can I happen for just a quick second? I think just bringing up that meeting too is also a great point because there was a part earlier. It's really awesome to see that we were even able to close some of these gaps in the time that this report came out with the lack of black women and women in leadership roles. We were able to close a lot of those gaps by hosting special meetings like that. So I just think that's important to note, and that we still would like to see more leadership on the steering committee, but three of our five subcommittees are chaired by women and women of color. So just awesome job to everybody for identifying those gaps and then closing them in this time.

Elliot Young:

Thanks, Kanaka.

Marcia Perez:

Can I acknowledge something really quick?

Elliot Young:

Sure.

Marcia Perez:

Just really quick because I went back and watched a couple of the videos earlier and so for those who maybe start out at the meeting early and have to leave, one of the things I noticed is that when they're recording, it really only records a few people on the screen. It doesn't actually reflect all of the people. So like I watched a video today that had like eight people, less than 10 people on the screen. I don't know why it chooses to do that and when it does it, but however it's being recorded, it doesn't reflect accurately the attendance, but just one thing for folks to know when you're going back and watching those, it's not always accurate.

Taji Chesimet:

Maybe we'll add that as a footnote then. Just so people can be aware of that.

Elliot Young:

We could even add the links to the data so people can check it themselves for full transparency. I have Ascent, [inaudible 00:54:02] and then Va dim. Ascent?

Asena Lawrence:

Thank you. Just one small thing about the report. The truth and reconciliation commission was a recommendation from PCCEP to the mayor and then it was adopted and the mayor asked for his staff to assist PCCEP during this process. It was not one of our original 19 point plan police reform action items. So probably should have been.

Taji Chesimet:



I had that initial thought and I think maybe I reflected that in the report and then I never changed it when you corrected me like a couple of meetings ago. So I apologize.

Elliot Young:

But also I think the larger longer history is that this idea for this reporting goes back to Daniel outlaw a couple of years ago, and then through the mayor's office, but it is true that PCCEP did pass this recommendation. It was discussed in a general body. It was voted on, we chose to push that forward. So that's definitely true. I think what was coming up was this idea that this idea had emerged originally from PPV and Daniel outlaw and the mayor's office, but we will clarify that issue in the final draft of the report. Va dim?

Vadim:

Well, thanks. At page three notes, at least in the copy I have, "We note the public's distrust of the police is an all time high and that the use of force incidents dramatically increase in the summer and this proportion of policing of particular communities continues to be a problem." What is the basis of that? Like the all time high and the distrust being at an all time high, is there some sort of report or something else, or is that just anecdotal?

Taji Chesimet:

Zen ab brought this up to me, I remember, initially, and there is evidence of this that we can cite for that purpose. So there is sort of that piece backing it up. I based it on evidence I saw and then wrote that and then didn't put the link to the evidence if that makes sense. So I'm going to put evidence to that point to clarify it, but I think there's also an anecdotal aspect to it where you could sort of see what the influx of community engagement points to distrust, points to a desire for reform, points to a desire for actionable steps to address the disproportionate use of force in the past summer and whatnot. So there's both pieces, but both are important. So we'll include the other half of that.

Elliot Young:

And in terms of the evidence-

Vadim:

There's been a call for reforming the police and then surely that's why we're here. I just, when I hear statements about things being at all-time high distrust, I'm wondering what that is. I've tried to keep up with like the DHM studies and other studies that have been released in the last couple of years, including one, I believe, from commissioner Harder's office about people's perceptions of the police bureau and it seems like it diverges, but I just haven't seen anything which shows longitudinal that it's more people distrust them now than before. Although I think that there's a variable local element for sure more than ever before. So I was just curious to see where that came from. Thank you.

Elliot Young:



Well, I think part of it is coming from what happened in the aftermath of George Floyd's murder and the fact that 60 to 70,000 people were part of responding to the city council in terms of defunding and if you listened to those two days of testimony, you hear a lot of distrust of the community. There have been surveys nationally and I don't think it's a controversial point that since the summer the distrust of the police is at an all time high nationally. I think Portland is one of the places which is probably at the extreme end of that because of the persistence of the protests and the police response. We will look for survey data but I think there's lots of other kinds of qualitative response where the community. In terms of use of force, there's data from the PVV itself that shows that the amount of use of force this summer is way off the charts compared to previous moments, in large part or entirely because of the policing of the protest. So that's data that could be provided specifically.

Vadim:

That'd be great because I'm looking at the DHM report and the response to the approval of the Portland police, just with respect to the protest, not even in general, seems higher than most elected officials. It seems higher than actually the approval of the protesters themselves. Now it seems like about 38% approval in the Willamette Valley and about 46% approve of the police in the same area. So that's what I'm getting at. I'd love to see the figures, especially when making statements. I agree that there's a huge amount of distrust of the police out there. Let me not mince words about that, but when you say the highest ever it,

Taji Chesimet:

Maybe we could clarify that because there could be, I mean, I would say maybe even 2014, you could say the 1990s, there was evidence of other sort of signs of the peak if there was to be a peak. So maybe if we don't make such a broad statement about that, we can make it more narrow in the sense of that, but-

PART 2 OF 6 ENDS [01:00:04]

Taji Chesimet:

Broad statement about that, we can make it more narrow in the sense of that. But for sure, I see what you mean, [Vadim 01:00:06], across the board.

Elliot Young:

I wanted to... Dan had his hand up, added himself to the stack, and then Ann. So, Dan?

Dan Hammelman:

Thank you, hi. This is Dan [Hammelman 01:00:19]. He, him pronouns. I'm with Portland Copwatch, I appreciate it. Another thing that kind of shows, and I agree that you don't have to say all-time high, you just have to say it's huge or whatever, Mr. [Mozyrsky 01:00:29] just suggested, would still reflect accurately what's going on here. But 81% of the voters who voted on the ballot measure to improve civilian oversight, 81% said yes. So that's something worth noting. I'm glad that you're including that



you're going to be working more on the directives and I'm not seeing somewhere on the agenda to talk about this in any more detail. The directives about use of force, the deadline is on the 29th, which is three days from now. We are working really hard. It's like a very incredibly long directive. I still would like the PCCEP to do something to influence the bureau to extend deadlines so that your group has time to deliberate on such things and so does the rest of the public.

Dan Hammelman:

And I've heard before Mary Claire Buckley from the bureau say, well, PCCEP, you can turn your comments in at any time, but that's not really fair to everybody else in the community. So it should be the same deadline for everybody. In terms of who attends these meetings, I try to do a little chicken scratch on my notes every time about who's from the city or other city officials or other officials from around the area, who is from the committee, who's from the community and who is from the media or part of the ASL interpretation and tonight's meeting, I can't add up to the 48 that's telling me there are at the bottom of the zoom screen, but what I'm seeing is 13 people from the city, 13 people from PCCEP and 16 community members and three from the media.

Dan Hammelman:

And this has been an issue that we brought up quite a lot at Portland Copwatch [inaudible 01:02:19]. While there are a lot of people at the meeting, the general members of the community who attend is not a large majority of that number. I mean, there were yes, given in those giant forums that you had with hundreds of people at them. But the average meeting is more like this where it's really less than 50% are general community members. So that's something to think about. And I appreciate that you're doing an annual report. I brought up the issue before that there's supposed to be quarterly reports. I heard you say that there are quarterly reports. I haven't seen them. And I assume, but perhaps it's a lot to assume that you're going to turn this annual over to the court, although it's not a hundred percent clear when hearing before judge Simon is going to happen, currently was set for February 25th. And thank you.

Elliot Young:

Thanks, Dan. The quarterly reports I was referring to is when we were pushing in the fall and Theo was compiling these quarterly reports and then compiled two of them then rather than just putting out those quarterly reports, we thought this is the end of the year. We should put this together into a yearly report. So you're right. We did not publish any quarterly reports, but I think going forward, the idea is that every quarter we will produce a publicly available report. And then at the end of the year, that will be compiled into an annual report. And thank you for motivating us and reminding us that we need to do that. We will make this report available to the court, to the mayor, to the chief of police and to anyone else who will listen to us. We're happy to share it publicly. I wanted to bring and as next step anyway, but I wondered if you might respond to Dan's query about that directive on use of force and what the plan is to address that particular directive.

Anne Campbell:



Yes. Thank you, Dan. I did see that directive. Due to our meetings were kind of... Our meetings have certain dates and the timeline, the 1st through the 15th, and then the 15th is the next time that we get to see directives. I've been assured that we can give comments whenever we would like to. And all of the DOJ directives are reviewed annually. And I know that isn't the best for this situation, but we just did a great to start a process and it is our hope to catch up on reviewing all of them. And as I said earlier in the meeting, I did send all of the ones we are going to be reviewing at our next settlement committee to everyone on our piece up list, which does include others as well. And then it's also going to be on our agenda that is going to be shared with everyone. I hope that answers. And I do know Dan that the concern about and wanting to have a longer review period. I understand that. And that is something that we're looking at but thank you for bringing that up again.

Dan Hammelman:

Thanks Ann. [Zainab 01:06:00]?

Zeenab Fowlk:

Thank you. Yes. I just had a comment about the annual report and after revealing the bylaws again and looking at the outcomes and the strategy and goals, how were those incorporated into the annual report? And then when is the annual report due? Will the steering committee have another chance to have a final review, another review? Because something that [Lakiyana 01:06:28] brought up about his own committee, does the subcommittee, are they a part of that annual report as well, giving their pieces that may support some of the things that's already been discussed in this meeting, especially related to the numbers.

Elliot Young:

Go ahead [Taji 01:06:46].

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah. Your first question is how are the goals? What was it? How are the goals... Can you reiterate that one more time? Sorry.

Zeenab Fowlk:

So in the bylaws, there's goals, there's also strategies as well as outcomes. And so how are we aligning what has happened in 2020 with all of that to share with the community of where we are? Because I think that is how I would love to be able to read it is where we are with everything with like with the recommendations if how they apply to the actual goals or the strategy.

Taji Chesimet:

Right. Well, I mean, from my understanding, the goals and strategies aren't as temporal as the annual report's supposed to be where like in your report reflects the work of PCCEP over the last year, which includes obviously the outcomes are some of those recommendations and whatnot. Those are standing and don't change, but each year the report will just include this sort of stuff. Is there a certain point that



we're missing in the report that you're noting or you're referring to because I'm not exactly sure unless I don't know...

Elliot Young:

Yeah. I mean what I would say is that there is in the bylaws, the charge of what PCCEP is supposed to be doing, we're supposed to be engaging the public. We're supposed to be making recommendations. And I think what the report shows is that we've been doing that.

Elliot Young:

Now, there are questions about which public we've been engaging, and those are all good questions. I think there are other things which we have not been doing, like the quarterly reports or annual reports. We have not been in general doing the directives. And so we tried to point out not only our successes, but also our challenges as a way to address them. So I think as I read the report, it is very much aligned with, and talking about the goals and the outcomes of the charge and pointing out areas for improvement going forward.

Zeenab Fowlk:

So one of them is the [trace 01:08:50] collaboration with communities and law enforcement. Where are we? How do you see that PCCEP has done this 2020? That's what I'm asking so that when someone comes back to the charter, we're able to say we're moving along with our goals. That's all I'm asking is how are we making sure that people know this is how we're successful? This is what the per... I'm not sure if it's percentage wise, this is what our community is saying. I'm not sure how we're planning on relaying that information or any report, but something where it shares.

Zeenab Fowlk:

One of our goals is to increase collaboration with communities and law enforcement and this is how we've done it. [inaudible 01:09:28] implement policies and practices that increased incidents of use of force. And this is what we've received. And maybe we have some challenges here, but there are some things that we have accomplished, or this is what we're planning on doing. So forth and so on. I wasn't there with the framing of the annual report so I don't know that's how it was framed.

Taji Chesimet:

Yes. Like Theo was saying, we're learning as well in this process so we have never done any annual report like this before. So, but I do see what you mean. I think there is a better way to signal posts per the sections that we have to better reflect the bylaws and the goals and the strategies that we set up in the charter. I agree with you on that. To your other question though, as well, is this... I think the goal was to try to vote on this today, but it does not look like it's at a place where it could be voted on. So we might just have to postpone it for February.

Elliot Young:



Let me just say that the process for this was that Taji and I, and Theo worked on an initial draft, circulated it to everyone in PCCEP. Zainab you've provided substantive comments. Some other people provided some other smaller comments, but in general, there was not a lot of engagement with it from other PCCEP members. We could choose to continue to edit the report and that's fine if people want to do that. I guess I would just ask or wonder whether there's going to be... People are really going to engage with it because people have had a few weeks already to provide comments and you did. And we tried to incorporate some of those other people in the public also provided comments, which we tried to edit. So maybe we could talk about now whether we should vote on this now to accept this report with the changes we've talked about or solicit more feedback.

Taji Chesimet:

[crosstalk 01:11:39] instead of the general body meeting, because to someone's other point, this is sort of not something that... it's an internal piece. I feel like we could vote on it. I don't know, does that seem viable to like... so we could somehow have it happen. We could discuss more in detail about reflecting on what happened this past year at the retreat and then just sort of be like...

Elliot Young:

We can vote on it at the retreat because it's a closed thing. We could vote it at the steering committee. That might be a possibility.

Lakayana:

I think what we can just do is we can vote on it today. I think overall, it looks good. And then we can just kind of incorporate the feedback. I will say though that for a report like this though, just sending it out over email, it's just not enough. People might check it, but it's not a viable source for all of PCCEP to be involved on it. So I think it's saying that has to come to the full committee and have time to give feedback. But I think we can just add, you incorporate the feedback we have. It looks good, it's not like it's some terribly off in some different direction kind of report. And when then you just incorporate the feedback that we have and then make the changes and go from there. That's my thought.

Amy:

Elliot, if I may real quick, how about we do that? We accept it as our document for 2020 and then for 2021, we start looking at better framing, better lay out, if people want to set it up differently. We could now be working on 2021's document and have it perfected before it's time to launch. This is just sort of our hail to 2020. There's not a lot of time, but we can definitely, I would vote it on because we always have next year, so to improve things. So that's my [crosstalk 01:13:29].

Elliot Young:

That's a great idea. And I think the quarterly reports will also allow us to work on this over the year. So if you have suggestions about structure, Zainab made one, if other people have suggestions about structure, certainly send them around to the whole PCCEP list so we could be thinking, going forward, how to structure the quarterly reports and on the annual report in 2021. So what I hear is some kind of



general consensus about accepting this report and with the additions that people have talked about, adding that information, but then moving forward also coming up with revised structure for these reports. Is that correct? Okay. I don't know if we need to formally vote on this, Taji?

Theo:

Well, if you are it's important to take community comment, if there is any community comment before [crosstalk 01:14:35].

Elliot Young:

Yeah, let's take the... Well, I guess we've had some community comment, but maybe let's open it up to other community members who have able been able to see the report. And I realized if it... I thought it had been posted last week. It was not posted last week, this report? Does anyone know when it was posted?

Theo:

No, it was only finalized recently so I think when we finalized we got it up, but I think that was either Friday of last week or [inaudible 01:15:05] this week.

Elliot Young:

Okay. So we apologize for that because that obviously doesn't give a lot of time for the public, but if anyone in the public has comments about it, it's Dan saying it wasn't on the website before the meeting tonight. I'm not sure what's up with that. Okay. I'm not seeing any comments from the public. So let us then vote to accept this with the changes that we talked about, understanding we're going to work on revising the structure going forward. So I will call out the roll. Taji?

Taji Chesimet:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

[Lakiyana 01:15:57]?

Lakayana:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Vadim?

Vadim:

Yes.



Elliot Young:

Amy?

Amy:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Britt?

Britt:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Yolanda?

Yolanda:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Marcia?

Marcia:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Ann?

Anne Campbell:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Is Jamari on the line? Does anyone know?

Jamari:

Yeah.

Elliot Young:

Great. Thanks Jamari. [Kia 01:16:29]?



Kia:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

[Alana 01:16:31]?

Alana:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Zainab?

Zeenab Fowlk:

No.

Elliot Young:

Okay. And I vote, yes. So that carries, so it has been accepted. We'll make the changes and circulate it, make sure it gets publicly posted as well as submitted to the court. And with that, I will pass it back to my distinguished colleague, Taji.

Taji Chesimet:

Thank you. And also to, well, I think we should be discussing this more at the retreat as well if folks wanted. Make sure I made a note to put that for the agenda on the retreat. Cool. So to go from here, we're now going to sort of talk about the core patrol. I sent a detailed email to everyone with my latest understanding of where the core patrol is. I hopefully everyone is able to get a chance to see that. I think I saw Vadim did respond to it with this interest for the ad hoc, which is something that we're going to vote on. So just to reiterate the main points of that, the core patrol, actually, it might be just better to pull it up. Okay. The main points I had were... That's not it. Sorry. I'm just trying to get... Okay. Here it is. Okay. So the core patrol is looking at, I'll just read what I wrote. Sorry. I'm kind of finding it hard to start. Okay.

Taji Chesimet:

[inaudible 01:18:27] office has set up application called Map App, which will gather community's comments, started on January 15th and it will be open until the 12th. After that, at this meeting today, we'll be discussing and voting on an ad hoc committee. Am I disliking or is that just my video? Can I get a thumbs up? Okay, cool.

Taji Chesimet:

So we'll be discussing making an ad hoc committee. And then I proposed a sort of, I guess, suggested amount of times that we should be meeting in order to get through the information that we would need



to get through, just a suggestion. Leading up to the closing of the Map App PCCEP and members of the to exist ad hoc committee are going to meet with various city council members, including commissioner [Hardy's 01:19:19] office. And we did make a formal request to collaborate and work with the chiefs of staff for each city council to figure out a work session. I can't confirm that we got it yet, but we said we would make that ask.

Taji Chesimet:

The work session would be at a halfway point to discuss the amount of feedback we've received so far from the Map App along with any other information we'd gathered. The things we've asked for as of now are testimony during the summer, transcripts of city council testimony, as well as feedback that was given from the listening sessions that commissioner [Hardy's 01:19:57] office has. All of this would be responsible to help us better understand where the community stands with policing, any alternatives that they see should be uplifted as part of our recommendations, whether it's increasing the speed at which we look at the Portland street response team, or if it's increasing access for organizations like the sunshine division or new avenues for youth in doing alternative services to having patrol officers handle these situations.

Taji Chesimet:

So it's anything in that realm would really fit into this. And we were looking for any and all feedback of... This is a little plug for anyone who's interested to please give your feedback. It can be anonymous. I believe we corrected that. I believe initially it might've been required to put your name and we rectified that. I hope Elliot confirmed that for me. I'm pretty sure you're not required to do those things. Thank you for also putting the link. And so I'm going to open it up, but all that to say, this will be just sort of a conversation about creating an ad hoc committee and sort of the commitments that may come with it. Also it's not going to be limited to, obviously it's similar to the other subcommittees. Anyone's welcome to those meetings once they're officially set and publicized, obviously.

Taji Chesimet:

And I'm also working on the impression that we'll be getting an analyst to handle some of the more in-depth analytical aspects of this work, which would feed into what our formal recommendations may look like, if that makes any sense. So with that being said, hopefully with other people having the chance to read through that email as well, open it up initially for PCCEP comment and thoughts on the core patrol as it exists now, what's been happening, the creation of an ad hoc committee, and then the public will also have an option or an ability to comment as well. Elliott.

Elliot Young:

Yeah, I just wanted to add that one of the things we talked about thus far is that we're not only going to be relying on this Map App. Initially we had very few responses. Now I just checked, they're 32 responses, but we recognize that the public has been asked their opinions about the police in multiple occasions, multiple ways, including surveys, including our own public forums. And rather than continue to say, oh, tell us what you think about the police. What we want to do is go back to all of that testimony, including the testimony to the city council, and get an analyst to go through and quantify it



and do a qualitative analysis to say what the community, the feedback the community has given, and that will help us to direct us in coming up with these recommendations.

Taji Chesimet:

Awesome. Thank you, Elliott. I believe I saw Vadim's hand first, I don't know, just sort of pops up.

Vadim:

I think Ann was before [crosstalk 01:23:14].

Taji Chesimet:

Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead Ann, I wasn't... Go ahead Ann.

Anne Campbell:

Thank you. I was under the understanding that the information that we heard in all of our listening sessions and in subsequent meetings, that information of what people have told us, what they want policing to look like, that's going to be included in this analysis. Is that correct?

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah. So after we have the sort of period of time when public comment can be given on the Map App, along with the work in the back that myself and other members of ad hoc, at the point when it's created, we'll work on is other information supplemental that will feed into the form of recommendations that will then be voted on by city council come April or May.

Anne Campbell:

Because the issue remains and we've heard it as well along with how we've heard, how people want to be policed. We've also heard that they keep telling us and then nothing changes and it's traumatizing. So I want to be very sensitive and aware of that and I hope that we can include everything we've heard. Thank you.

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah. And Barb, we'll get to public comment in one second. And yes, of course, I agree heartedly, you know that. Vadim?

Vadim:

So for public transparency, who have you all met with outside of PCCEP to gather any kind of information for this report so far?

Taji Chesimet:

No one. The meeting we had with commissioner [Hardy's 01:24:50] office was strategizing how we will get the resources we need to even do the ad hoc and any of the work for that. And it was also about



asking if we could potentially and brainstorming how we potentially work with city council to do any of this. And none of that was solidified as well. And then the meeting we had with the mayor, which you were in attendance is when we sort of made that request for those city council meetings. So not no decisions of any sort have been made or no gathering information as well.

Elliot Young:

I would just add that as individuals, we... When I sent out the email to the PCCEP list about the Map App, I asked people to disseminate it to their networks and to encourage participation. So I've done that on Facebook, on Twitter and I've had conversations with individuals who are involved in various groups and said please submit your comments. So I think publicly, we all on PCCEP need to be reaching out to community members. And I know Vadim, you asked about getting in touch with the business alliance group and any and all groups should be contacted to participate in this. And the other thing is I made it clear that those of us on PCCEP are not in the position to be doing full-scale community outreach to 50 or 60 groups that mental health alliance put on that list. And so that's going to be a task that Theo in conjunction with Sam [Diaz 01:26:27] will have to do because that's just a huge amount of work and it's important work. But that has now been tasked to the staff members.

Vadim:

And the reason I ask is I think for this to have validity, we need to bring as many people to the table as possible. And I know that there's a reluctance to do the more outreach on behalf of PCCEP. And I totally understand now we're taking up a lot of time to do our work as it is, but if we're... We certainly are getting a perspective of the protest community, we've held multiple listening sessions to understand where they're coming from. What I have always said is we're not getting enough information from other groups, community members, whether you want to go to neighborhood associations East, West, North, and South, wherever, whether you want to go to business associations, whether you want to go to victim groups.

Vadim:

People who have suffered from crime and understand where they're coming from. So when you're talking about bringing in hundreds of chat comments from anti-police protesters, that is certainly valid. That is a group that has an opinion and a background and an experience that should be recognized, but I also felt we have not spent months or so on to gather opinions from other groups. And so how can we better formulate that in order to get those opinions as well? Or experiences, I should say, not even opinions, but experiences so that we're not just basically providing a report based on a slice of individuals.

Lakayana:

Can I respond to that? I think the answer to that question is just being very intentional about that outreach. And we've noticed that not only in those groups that you mentioned, but in other things. Me and Maria were just having a conversation earlier today about being more intentional, about outreach to law enforcement in different groups. And we do, the groups that we are more passionate or connected about, the outreach tends to be easier and more intentional. And then the groups that we



aren't tend to get a little left behind. And I think it's just about being intentional and recognizing those holes and then doing our best to really outreach to them and get them to the table. So that's what I would say to that.

Taji Chesimet:

Awesome. And to also respond to that, I think there's a lot of information we learned about from last summer in regards to how the community feels about policing and I think it's from both sides. And I would say it's not even just binary like that. I think there's a very large spectrum of opinions on policing and the implications of the core patrol services. And I hope that the heavy lift of looking through and sifting through the transcripts will provide, at least to the... Our biggest hope is just sort of summarizing and clarity around the biggest sort of different opinions there are on the issue. And that doesn't necessarily mean that that will inform a set recommendation if there's transcripts of 70,000 people. And they say, we've just sort of figured out. It has to be substantive information that we would take into account for recommendations. So it wouldn't just be a matter of opinions and, or like a record assessment of core patrol.

PART 3 OF 6 ENDS [01:30:04]

Taji Chesimet:

...of opinions or assessment of core patrol.

Vadim:

Yeah. I agree with that. Just to answer Ann's comment about the employees invite them to our meeting and use the app to share their information. I will do that and I intend to do that, but we only have a month or something to start gathering all the information and present a report, give or take. Or at least a very short time until the app closes down and then we need to make a report. If I'm the only one reaching out to all these communities, whether it be neighborhood associations, whether it be whatever, out of the 11 members that we have on PCCEP, that's a very large poll. And I think the argument that's going to be made, and certainly the argument I will make myself is that we're not listening to everybody if that's the case. That basically we're either fundamentally or purposefully or by the sake of how things have transpired going to elevate the voices of certain community members, because we're not reaching out to other community members.

Vadim:

And, being on PCCEP where we're entrusted with reaching out to the community members and building some trust between the police and the community members, if we're going to say, Vadim you are the one that's going to reach out to the rest of the community and in the meantime, we'll base it on the protest reports from months and months and months, basically the comment is going to be that it's not inclusive and we're not doing our job of reaching out or at least building any trust.

Elliot Young:



Well, I think one way-

Anne Campbell:

[crosstalk 01:31:34] I wanted to, if I could jump in real quick in response. I think real quick, my response to you was that's what we're all supposed to be. Spreading this information, trying to gather a wide variety of community input and I think that is the reason we all are together. So I'm a little unclear as to what your thoughts are and your comment that we're only taking... I can't remember the word you used, something about left protesters or something. We can talk about this later but I want to make sure that we're all trying to do this. We're all trying to gather the information-

Vadim:

I'd be happy to clarify it. I go to organizations that work in and communities that live in old town and they want a higher presence of police there because there's a lot of criminality going on. There's a lot of people that are being abused, people are being hit within different communities. I have an associate who's coworker was walking down the street, got hit by somebody as that individuals was walking down the street, called the police and didn't get a response. We're not capturing those people here. I'm certainly hearing about it because there are certain communities that I overlap that perhaps did not attend our PCCEP meetings and there's reasons for that which would take time to get into. But there are a lot of people out there that are calling the mayor and asking for more police presence, calling city council, people are asking for more police presence-

Taji Chesimet:

That's the information we'll make sure to get from what we're gathering from the city and what we've requested for.

Elliot Young:

But I would also say Vadim, that my point about you feeling you're the only one who has to do this, we all feel this the job of community organizing is beyond our bandwidth. So what I would suggest to you is those groups who you've identified, including the neighborhood associations and whatever these other groups are, send them to Theo. And Theo and Sam Diaz we'll do the outreach, but it sounds you're already in contact with these groups so it could be as simple as sending the link to the map app. We do want the broad participation of as many people as possible. None of our meetings are designed to just get a particular group. If we identify groups as you have, that you think are not being heard, then certainly you should do outreach. The organization through Theo should do outreach and so I encourage everyone on PCCEP to send the groups and organizations that you feel need to be heard to Theo, and he will make sure that they are contacted and have an opportunity to speak.

Vadim:

I guess, oh yes, my point is more nuanced than this. If we were starting all from the beginning here and gathering information, then yeah, we can all outreach, bring people in. If we have a month to do that, then we'll get whatever information we get in that one month. You're saying that we're going to take



comments from months and months and months of listening sessions and only allow no listening sessions for this thing or maybe one listening next month. I apologize. There's going to be a listening session I wasn't sure when that was. But also, a very limited time and weigh that all equally it's very difficult to weigh it equally.

Vadim:

I think one thing to do is to certainly acknowledge what's been said, but also focusing on the actual map app and the next few sessions that we have. Another one is, have more listening sessions on behalf of PCCEP sponsor that but it's very difficult to say, we're going to look back in time over the last eight months and everything that we've heard from a very specific contingency. And then, but even if you have time, go reach out in the next month and so on. So I know, but it's not equal.

Elliot Young:

Okay. That's not what I'm saying. The point is the map app. When we were talking about this, had a few, had one person who had responded now it has 32 people. And what we're recognizing is as good a job as we do, we are not going to have a robust thousands of people responding. But what we do have over the last few years are surveys of the community in general, we have the piece up listening sessions, which are not restricted. We don't say only if you're a protester come. So whoever shows up, I will agree that it is for a large part, been people who have been sympathetic to the protest, but that is also a reflection of the community. The city council testimony is a democratic exercise where anyone is able to send an email to go to city council.

Elliot Young:

So I think it would be wrong to exclude the feedback that the public has given in many different settings to the community. And what we want to do is hire a data analyst who would be able to do a quantitative as well as qualitative analysis to precisely look at what kind of data do you get from a piece of town hall versus from a community survey, versus from city council. And so this is not rocket science, this is basic social science, how you get feedback from people. But I don't think having a map app where we maybe have 50 or 100 respondents is better information than taking thousands of responses over two years and analyzing it. [Crosstalk 01:37:51] and I just think,

Vadim:

Well, I do have to quantify that by saying that when we had those listening sessions, they were broadcast to a very specific audience and all of us here realize that. So to say everybody in Portland knew about these listening sessions and they were invited. There were certain Twitter accounts where that went out to and it brought the response that it did. Those people have a very valid response and we should be listening to them. But to say that the outreach is equal because everybody had an opportunity is not twice the case. So anyways [crosstalk 01:38:22]

Taji Chesimet:



Yeah. Thank you. And last thing I'll say is I forgot to add there's not just last year, like Elliot said multiple years, we're including a 2019 budget survey that was sent out to the entire, I don't know, list serve at the city house, which has, I believe I actually don't have the number of people, but a lot of people on it, from what I understood, it's the same lists that they send out for advisory groups, I believe. So hopefully we'll get a large, we'll be able to see what information came back from that survey as well that was from last year. So there's more to that, I should have implemented it to the two days of court hearings, but I want to make sure we can get to the other comments that people have had. Alison you've had your hand up for a long. I apologize for the delay. You're still there?

Kea Meyers Duggan:

Can you hear me?

Taji Chesimet:

Yes we can.

Kea Meyers Duggan:

Yes. Okay. Oh, great. A question I had about a member of the public giving feedback on this new core patrol data actually, which I already did, and that's very compelling. And I appreciate the side show that you put together with the data. It was really easy to look at and understand, but my only frustration with this particular process is someone who's given testimony through so many different avenues is the really slow response time from the mayor's office. And it's a sentence. So on this call, maybe she can speak to it, but it would be nice to have the mayor's office commit to faster turnaround times to responding and maybe PCCEP could come to some agreement with the mayor's office. I do worry that I put time into reading and writing these things, knowing that, well, it takes the mayor's office six months again. So maybe if you guys could speak to that, that would be very helpful. Thanks.

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah. Oh, go ahead if

Asena Lawrence:

Sorry Taji, you can please go ahead.

Anne Campbell:

No. No. No. [inaudible 01:40:28] okay.

Asena Lawrence:

I just was hoping to get some clarification. Thank you for sharing that information. Are you talking about writing to the mayors, the email writing to our office and it's luck getting response from that way? Just trying to understand.



Kea Meyers Duggan:

No. No. I'm only speaking about recommendations that PCCEP has made to city council and to the mayor in the past and either the the inaction on some of those recommendations are really slow turnaround time in terms of coming to a resolution about what to do about those recommendations. So valid about the PCCEP yeah, the PECEP to mayor process.

Asena Lawrence:

Thank you for clarifying. I've been the interim PCCEP liaison for three weeks. So I'm not able to speak as to why it took so long in the past in my office, but I am dedicated that while I'm the interim PCCEP these on and moving forward. And I've spoken to the mayor on the phone about this very topic that we will be very responsive moving forward. I'm sorry that I don't have an answer about the past, the people who are liaisons in the past, no longer work in our office. I can't speak on it. I know that moving forward, we're going to do a lot better.

Taji Chesimet:

And we also, Alison noted this in her report a few times and talked about how it's not conducive to be efficient and work environment for all of us to put in a lot of effort into those recommendations and not hear back. So we're looking forward to seeing that commitment followed through by the mayor's office. That's all I can really say on that, but thank you again for raising that point. Yeah. Any other thoughts, comments on core patrol as it exists now? Well, core patrol the processes that we're going to be going through.

Barb Rainish:

Excuse me. Hey, are you ready for community comment?

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah, yeah. Allison's been in community. I think we're just going to open it up. Anyone who has thoughts and comments?

Barb Rainish:

Thank you. Hey, I wish I had printed out and looked at the core patrol services presentation again, but I'm wondering if the PS3s' are included in all of that. And if that's spelled out to people because I didn't know, they really existed. So there's a lot of things that this core patrol services thing could cover. And so I'm just really curious about, I guess, the education that you're giving to people about it in terms of decisions being made forthcoming about it because Portland street response is not in the police department right now. So I'm not sure what you're asking an ad hoc committee to come up with and I'm not sure how much community engagement has actually been done. I'm here for everything. It doesn't mean I pay enough attention, but if I can't give an elevator speech on it, it concerns me that I don't understand it well enough.

Taji Chesimet:



Sure, and I apologize

Vadim:

I can possibly answer that question quickly. Barb, the policy subcommittee has been working in and it's on our next agenda item. And I think that agenda has gone out yet, but the intent is to dovetail the work that we've been doing in the and the feedback that we've the public support safety specialists for those people that are not familiar. And the work that we have been done to dovetail that with the recommendations as well in core patrol services. Because there has been a lot of feedback for people that want on armed people responding so that there's no violence created about that. And so you'll hear more about that in the next month for sure. And there'll be before the PCCEP full committee as well.

Elliot Young:

Barb, In response, I think, as I understand core patrol based on Sam Diaz's presentation, basically what we're asking the public is to look at the main functions of the police currently and to imagine public safety as you would like it to exist. So in other words, what are the things that the police are doing that they're doing well, you want them to continue to do? What are the things you could imagine an alternative to police doing? Or what are the things that the police aren't doing that you want them to do? So it's a chance for the public to present ideas about re-imagining public safety, which includes things the police do and also things that police do not do Portland street response.

Barb Rainish:

This is, this is another way they're poaching money out of your guys's budget for some of this stuff that the mayor and the city council should be doing. So I'm just disappointed in that. And I'll ask the rest of my questions. I can sign up for what list?

Taji Chesimet:

It's just the email, that someone was asking, but you did have another question. Do you want me to respond to the question?

Barb Rainish:

Yeah, please.

Taji Chesimet:

The question was, did PCCEP hear from any neighborhood associations lately?

Barb Rainish:

Not that one.

Taji Chesimet:

It says we were mentioned, Oh, is there a different one? I'm sorry, is it that [inaudible 01:46:21]



Barb Rainish:

Theo, did you hear from them?

Theo:

Hear from who?

Barb Rainish:

Laurelhurst Neighborhood Association and the Creston Kenilworth Neighborhood Association? Both of them were supposedly sending letters to the mayor and the city council backing a lot of PCCEP recommendations. PCCEP was right in there more than a handful of times. And I asked if they would copy you guys on the letter because for PR or whatever, that would be something that would be really good in the annual report. Okay. I'll shut up down.

Taji Chesimet:

I have not heard anything from him personally Theo. I don't know if you want to tell

Vadim:

It's also on their website and they do go line by line. So I don't know if they're attending our meetings or who's on their board, but it says a bakery with PCCEP and so on and so forth and reimagining police services and things like that. So it's on the Laurelhurst website. It's on the other one. It might even be on a third one overlook or somebody else, but certainly on those two neighborhood associations websites.

Barb Rainish:

Thank you. Thanks Vadim.

Taji Chesimet:

And thank you Barb, for your comments. I'm trying to make sure I can get all of sorry..because I think Dan is on the phone I didn't see his hand. Dan, did you have a comment or am I missing someone before that who had.. So I'll just say Dan, and then if anyone else has any, I'm about to scroll through the comments to try to make sure I can get any of them.

Dan Hammelman:

Okay. Well thank you, Mr. Co-chair. Yeah, this is Dan Handelmann from Portland Copwatch watch again and I clicked on the link to that map app and it does not look to me like a community survey. It's a long couple of paragraphs about why the city's reviewing core services. There's a link to that slideshow that was presented at a previous meeting that you had, which is very in-depth it's 20 or 30 slides with lots of data in it. And then the comment screen is just a wide open field of what's your comment. And I am all for not forcing people to multiple choice questions or putting them in a box this seems it should be crafted a little bit better if this is a community survey. I'm not really even sure what my group would put in this response because there's not even clear what answers you're looking for. It's what is your



response to this initial slide show? Do you agree with the analysis that was done? I don't really know what you're looking for.

Taji Chesimet:

I initially thought you were saying there's so are you, I'm pretty sure from my understanding and I don't know if Sam's on the line with other thoughts on this, but the presentation was meant to show or capture what core patrol looks like now, and then prompt. So to say was wdo you agree with the current way core patrol exists and how should, if not, how should it be improved? And maybe Asena could also prompt better and maybe we should add a question that would be better to help people orient their response. Would that be helpful?

Elliot Young:

I just put in the chat, the two questions at the end of the presentation are in the chat. And I think you're right. I didn't even look at the app that there is no question. I think these are the prompts that should be there. So that's really good feedback we could send to Sam who did this,

Asena Lawrence:

I'll check in with them in the morning and ask him and the city team that put this together, if they can make those additions and Taji is right. The intent of it was just to explain to people what our core patrol services and how, how would they, how would they would like them to change if they want them to change or remain the same. And this applications map app is used, I believe for land use items at the city and people give public comment on land use items quite often. And I think that's the reason why we're using this platform. But anyway, I'll check in with Sam and ask if we can make those changes first thing tomorrow or not changes but additions so that people can be clear about what the questions are.

Taji Chesimet:

Does that answer your question, Dan?

Dan Hammelman:

That'll be great. Thank you.

Taji Chesimet:

Okay. Thank you. Did I miss any other comments that people put in? I tried to scroll through I couldn't see any others or is there any comments generally speaking that anyone had or thoughts? I think we have to move to a formal vote on this and what this is, is the creation of an ad hoc subcommittee, similar to the truth and reconciliation with a very clear expiration date of the city council meeting, which is scheduled tentatively for April or may, depending on the volume of information to be analyzed, the ad hoc committee will decide when to meet and what work will be, the work is pretty clearly already going to be what the map app had in it, what is happening with existing information that the community has given to the city and, or given somewhere in a platform that we're going to work to coalesce and then



figuring out the substantive recommendations that will then be brought to the entire PCCEP body, and then officially to the city council come April, May. So any clarification on what we're voting on?

Lakayana:

Yes. Give me just one second here.

Vadim:

Sure thing.

Lakayana:

Okay. So maybe I missed this, but we have currently six subcommittees and we have our core ones. Now we have the truth and reconciliation, and now we're adding an ad hoc one, which would put us over half of the members that we have on our subcommittee. Is it possible to put it in one that already exists? I try to understand the idea of making another one separate for this, is it possible to house it in something else? Can we [crosstalk 01:53:05]

Taji Chesimet:

I also agree with that idea. I would definitely be willing to entertain it.

Elliot Young:

I initially thought that this would be something that the steering committee could do because it's touches on really all of the other committees. And the reason why we've moved towards the creation of this ad hoc thing is to try to open it up as much to the public and people who want to get involved from PCCEP in having that discussion. The question is if we put it as part of the steering committee, would we have enough time in our regular steering committee meetings? And for this work, I think we're going to have meetings outside of the monthly subcommittee meetings, because this is all happening between now and March. And we have to do data analysis. We have to come up with recommendations. So I hear what you're saying, Lakayana about the creation of more work but I do think that this one in particular for this short amount of time probably needs more frequent meetings. And so some kind of ad hoc committee makes sense.

Lakayana:

I think either the steering committee or the settlement and policy, and then if there's outside work that needs to be done, then it can be done as needed, but at least keeps the information and keeps the conversation close to something else. Because I worry about us being so spread thin and we just started another subcommittee. So I'm not in super favor of creating a seventh out of a 13 member committee. My thoughts.

Anne Campbell:



Is there a thought of who wanted to lead this? I agree with Lakayana. We could certainly, it seems Vadim I don't know what your thoughts are on this, but incorporate this work in the settlement committee subcommittee.

Vadim:

Yeah. Mean I'm in agreement with that as well. It doesn't really matter to me where it's at. I just want to participate and I don't necessarily want to lead this effort. But if for the sake of time and not creating a new work group, the settlement agreement and policy subcommittee would, we would certainly welcome that. We can just put on next agenda and continue meeting in individually as well in smaller groups.

Taji Chesimet:

Yeah. So the only two issues that I would arise, I'm generally a proponent of this as well, keeping it as the same management committees, I would say we would need to then have, it would be weekly meetings or bi-weekly meetings. It couldn't be a month and agenda item on the sub committee meetings, it would need to be a completely, it would need to take up two hours of people's times for two weeks, because the amount of work time I'm assuming will be needed.

Vadim:

And on top of it all, I agree with that as well. Once a week, at least, this is going to take a lot of work no matter how you look at it and who we hire, but also we could use additional membership in the settlement agreement subcommittee. So if you, Taji and Elliot and all the other people interested would want to come by, we would welcome the extra voices and hands to help out as well.

Anne Campbell:

I agree, of course, with Vadim, I was wondering Elliot and Taji. You two were kind of spearheading it. Are you thinking then you would come to the settlement or [crosstalk 01:56:48] let me just finish real quickly. Because I'm wondering I'd be happy to have it in the settlement, but I'm wondering if we keep it with the steering committee since it's a short timeframe. I don't know. You both have been very involved,

Elliot Young:

So I'm not sure the settlement and policy committee given what its purview is, which is the settlement agreement and policy fits this particular task. I think I understand lockdown as idea of not creating more subcommittees, but essentially what we're going to be doing is creating more meetings. Whether you call them settlement on policy or steering committee or whatever, we're going to be creating those meetings. I think it's actually cleaner to say, okay, this group of people who are interested in core patrol are going to be working, that group of people would then as we discussed in our last meeting would decide who's going to facilitate that process.

Elliot Young:



I think if we decide to make it part of a committee, that the one that makes sense is the steering committee, because this is something that touches on so many aspects of the work we do at PCCEP. And we're really, we will have proposals coming out of settlement and, and policy like the PS3s we'll have proposals coming out of mental health subcommittee, out of racial equity. So I think that the steering committee is a place that can coalesce all that information. But I still think that we're going to be scheduling more meetings and we might as well call it for what it really is, which is working on this particular project.

Taji Chesimet:

It doesn't need to be a subcommittee. It can be called those doing committees core patrol work sessions and we need to make sure they're publicized and I'm okay with just moving forward under that impression if people are okay for time's sake.

Elliot Young:

Yeah. That sounds fine.

Taji Chesimet:

Do we need a vote on it? I don't think we do because [inaudible 01:58:48]

Anne Campbell:

That sounds good.

Taji Chesimet:

Okay. Then once, then great. Then I'll send out an email sooner rather than later with information about the first co work session that'll occur. And if you're interested, you can email Theo or myself, I can make sure, but it'll also be posted on the website as well. The work sessions. Yeah Theo what's up?. Yeah.

Theo:

I was just wondering in case there's community members who wanted to join in, on these work sessions, can you clarify a little bit what it's going to be, what it's going to be around and if it's open to the public? And also that it's not going to be a subcommittee, it's going to be a general piece of work session.

Taji Chesimet:

Right? so we'll be spending our time in work sessions. And I can't tell you the exact interval, how many work sessions, but there'll be work sessions. They'll be posted on this page about core patrol in regards to reimagine it, in regards to looking at it as it exists now, in regards to use of force, how do we understand what police currently do and what they could look like? And if you're interested like I said

PART 4 OF 6 ENDS [02:00:04]



Taji Chesimet:

...could look like. And if you're interested, like I just said, you can email Theo, and you can throw your email in there if that's okay, Theo, and I'll throw mine in a second. And you can email either of us, but it'll also be posted on the PCCEP website, the work session dates and the first one, when it's posted, I can make sure it's sent to the list serves as well as a reminder some committee members can come and we will publicize it as much as possible to make sure we can get as much input as possible. And then the recommendations will then, as I said, the recommendations will then be voted on by PCCEPP officially as part of the steering committees recommendations and then go officially to city council at a date to be determined. Does that make sense to folks? Okay, cool. I'll throw mine in the comment and now send it back to Mr. Young to take the last item.

Elliot Young:

So, we have a few recommendations coming out of, I think these are joint recommendations with the Racial Equity Subcommittee as well as Mental Health Subcommittee and I'm not sure who exactly will be presenting those. Who is going to be presenting those?

Anne Campbell:

I think Mercia.

Marcia Perez:

Sorry, everyone. I'm having some computer issues. Oh, there we go. Thank you. I'm going to just pull those up really quick. We won't be going over to recommendations and I will send them in the chat again. And then I'll offer space for others that participated in the development of these two recommendations to give any feedback and contexts that I might have missed. So, the first one I will send in, which is recommendation for a letter of acknowledgement. And then we've got a second one, which is a request to develop a process to work closely with TIP services. So the first recommendation, I'm just going to read this summary and then we'll go over... I'll have Claudia or Theo go over the recommendation. The summary of the recommendation for the letter of acknowledgement is that a formal letter be sent out within seven to 10 business days or less acknowledging the passing of an individual who's been involved in a lethal force event expressing to the family the remorse for their loss by the city.

Marcia Perez:

The PCCEP racial equity and behavioral health committee also recommended that a follow up visit be done by train staff to provide family support services for their loss if the family chooses to participate. This recommendation was originally brought forth by the subcommittee for people with mental illness in the past, as the recommendation will note, we have detailed many ways that justice would look like for people impacted by police violence and to increase transparency, trust, we would like victims of police violence to be acknowledged. And so this was really kind of a version 2.0 of the original recommendation that was put forth last year. I'm going to let others who participated in the collaboration of this chime in if they want to add some additional comments. We have presented this at



a couple of the subcommittees meetings. We've had quite a bit of community feedback, and we also participated in connected with folks that used to serve on the subcommittee for people with mental illness. We thought it was important to honor the work that was done before us. We also really thought this was an important recommendation to move forward. So, Ann or Amy or Barb, would you like to step in and add any additional comments?

Anne Campbell:

Yeah. I just wanted to quickly say just everything that you said, it was important as well for all of us to enter this in a collaborative way. So we reached out to people that were there in the initial PCCEP committee and also with other community members. So I'm feeling really good about this and hopeful. Thank you.

Elliot Young:

Okay. So Marcia, is there anyone else who's going to want to [crosstalk 02:05:00].

Marcia Perez:

I just was going to solicit any additional comments from Amy or Barb who had a lot to do with this. These two recommendations that we're reviewing also came out of the joint forum that we hosted in November with the behavioral health subcommittee. That was pretty well attended. And I thought was really informative. We heard from both Bowick and TIP. But I see Amy you're unmuted. If you want to make any comment.

Amy:

That was one of the things I was going to say. Yeah, basically I was going to say that I feel it's really important that we don't send a message of blame, but that folks get informed about these negative events in a more respectful manner. And so that's why we created it the way we did to make sure that everyone is respected and that the families get the things they need after the fact. Now Barb wants to...

Barb Rainish:

I wanted to say something about the other one. I'm good with this one.

Amy:

Okay. So that's basically what I want to say on this one. We all feel it's really important for folks to be identified as a negative event, tragic event has happened in their lives. So thank you.

Elliot Young:

Thank you, Amy, Marcia and Ann for commenting on that. I think what we'll do now is open it up for PCCEP comment and I don't know if you want to unshare the screen so maybe we could see everyone. I see that Vadim has his hand up. Vadim.



Vadim:

Yeah. I just want to say y'all did a great job. When this came initially to PCCEP, I was there and some of the issues that were raised were the fact that the early recommendation required an apology and what that meant for lawsuits for the city and things like that. I think the intentionality was there to acknowledge what happened and reach out and provide services and counseling and all that kind of stuff, which is commendable and understandable. And the wording there raised some flags with, apparently at that point in time, at least half the committee. And you all did a really good job about improving upon that language and getting the results that I think people were looking for without raising flags with lawyers or whatever at that point in time was a problem. So, thank you.

Elliot Young:

Lakayama.

Lakayana:

I just wanted to echo with some of what Vadim was saying, and just say that this was one of the more challenging PCCEP meetings that we had initially. And there was a lot of feelings and emotions that went into that and different things. And I'm just proud of everybody's work from who's on the committee who was not on the committee, who was previously on it, all the different parties for being able to work through all the things, to bring this back up to the committee and that we're able to work through it. So just awesome job on this.

Elliot Young:

Thanks, Lakayama. Zaynab.

Zeenab Fowlk:

Thank you. Was there, or maybe I missed it, was there a particular department that this was meant to come out of when it comes to [inaudible 02:08:21]? Is this a recommendation for the mayor's office or is it coming from the mayor's office, this letter, or, I mean, because I understand trying to keep it neutral, but I also recall one of the things I learned at the Say her Name that mother not having any acknowledgement whatsoever till this day and that to me was painful to hear. And so, how many days, how long will take to get that letter?

Barb Rainish:

We put in the first paragraph, I think, suggesting five to seven business days. [crosstalk 02:09:04] Seven to 10 business days. This is something we talked about. And personally, my hope is that it would come from Police Chief Chuck LaVell. At this point, he's writing very heartfelt things for, what do I want to say, for the police stuff when bad things happen. Like the stuff that happened over on the, whatever. You know what I'm talking about. The car crash that killed people or person I'm sorry. Anyway.

Elliot Young:

Okay. So [crosstalk 02:09:47].



Barb Rainish:

Go ahead.

Elliot Young:

Okay, so Zaynab, does that answer your question?

Zeenab Fowlk:

Well, part of it. Yeah, I did read the seven to 10 and it was just more of, well this is a recommendation I was keeping in mind, you know, if this has [crosstalk 02:10:02] If it has to get sent to the mayor, we're just getting them to vote on it, right. Or get him to approve it. And then some policies will be put in some place where someone will be assigned this particular and have identified who that would be, where that would come from or...

Barb Rainish:

That was the second part. And I was trying to figure out the right words and...

Zeenab Fowlk:

I don't know if Marcia wanted to... Oh, I didn't...

Elliot Young:

Marcia, do you have a response? Because I think that's a really good question, is who's going to be doing this acknowledgement?

Marcia Perez:

Yeah, I know. Thank you, Zaynab. That's a really good question. That's something that we've talked about as well. And like Vadim said, one of the initial concerns was this admit of fault or whatever. And it took away from just acknowledging that some life was lost, that someone was harmed. I think the intent with what I was hearing and we left it a little vague because you're right, we have to work with the mayor's office to figure out what does this process, what do we anticipate getting in the weeds about who actually writes the letter? In my perception, it would come from the mayor and the chief of police. And the way we also framed it was to allow... We want the acknowledgement, not everybody gets acknowledged or reached out to, and some people want it and some people don't want it. So thinking from a trauma informed lens, this was, we wanted to keep it broad enough to where people felt like they could have their space respected, but they're also treated with some humanity. And so my hope is that the mayor's office will work with us to fill us in on what the process would look like and who's willing to write that letter and so that it also maintains its authenticity of ingenuity. So it's not just like a rubber stamp letter. Hopefully that answers some of your question.

Zeenab Fowlk:



Yeah, I'm glad you said that because that's what sometimes that becomes just a letter that someone writes because I used to write those letters for other people. And so I know how that can become. And so if you want it to really be heartfelt, hopefully PCCEP can define who they could look at doing this so it does seem neutral because I'm going to be honest with you, seeing the chief of police or even the mayor's name on any letter to me may also be considered offensive. So let's just keep those type of things in mind so that it becomes something that we asked, possibly, the community to have their input. Maybe some people here would be able to have some input now. Thanks.

Marcia Perez:

Yeah. I think this is a great time if folks have, if other PCCEP members don't have other comments, I'd love to hear feedback on who would be appropriate or thoughts on who should write the letter and how to maintain... Yeah, I mean just making sure that it's not just a rubber stamp. So how do we do that?

Elliot Young:

So let's open it up to the public. Any public comment about that specific question or any other questions about this recommendation? If you would raise your hand, if you have any thoughts or comments.

Taji Chesimet:

Copwatch mentioned...

Elliot Young:

Copwatch, yes. Stan.

Dan Hammelman:

This is Stan Handleman from Portland Copwatch again. I do not have an answer of who should write the letter because I completely agree that seeing a letter from the chief of police could trigger somebody whose loved one was killed by the police. So, I would suggest that it should only be the mayor and not the chief of police, but then the way that the recommendation itself is written, I was a little confused. Committee Chair Perez was very clear when she said that the people can choose whether or not they want to have the visit. The way it's written, it sounds like they can choose whether or not they want to get the follow-up services once the visit happens and it sounds like the visit is automatic. So I don't know if there's a way to move the words around to make that more clear. I hope you understand what I'm saying. I see you nodding your head.

Elliot Young:

Marcia, do you want to [crosstalk 02:14:16]

Dan Hammelman:

Also while I'm talking. Yeah, thanks. So, while I'm still talking though, I really want to point out and take note everybody of things that we always say, all the horrible things about the police. Last year, the Portland Police did not kill anybody in the city of Portland. This is a very rare thing. It's happened once



before, I think in the years round. So, they did shoot at two people and they didn't hit either of them. So that's incredibly rare. And thank you for letting me comment.

Elliot Young:

Marcia.

Marcia Perez:

Thanks, Stan. No, I think that's a great point. And Ann and Barb did a lot of work on this. So, I'm going to... I would ask if they can update that with your feedback. I do think that some more clarity around that is helpful. And thank you for the update regarding no one being hurt by police. Well, no one being shot and killed by police this year or last year. So we will update that with your feedback. Do others have feedback?

Barb Rainish:

Okay, mic is on.

Elliot Young:

Is that you barb?

Barb Rainish:

It is me, but I didn't realize it... No, sorry. It'll be a minute.

Elliot Young:

Okay. So anyone else from the public or PCCEP want to speak and maybe Marcia you could, or someone could work on language if we're going to move this to vote so we know what we're voting on. If you could quickly come up with some language to resolve the lack of clarity that Dan pointed to.

Barb Rainish:

I'm sorry, it's confusing.

Amy:

Elliot, Sorry. Barb is ready. There's a lot of... Got to get it together. So she did.

Elliot Young:

Go for it.

Barb Rainish:

Hey. As somebody who was around last year with all that commotion, when this was first brought up and somebody who has been trying to pay attention this whole time since, there is a possibility that the mayor will not be able to do a letter like this because he is the top commander of the police. So, I



wonder if the city council or if there was something else we might think of that might not be as triggering as the police chief, but I'm not sure exactly if you can come up with something in between. I will tell you that at the city council, when things like this have come up, they're very aware that different people want different things after something like this has happened. So definitely this isn't a one size fits all kind of thing. This is a hope we can start to mend the fences. Now nobody has brought up truth and reconciliation with regard to this. But I honestly think that this is a first step in a way towards some of that.

Barb Rainish:

I really don't want it to be a form letter, but I don't want it to be a fill in the blank letter either. Originally I was for the wording personal letter instead of official letter or whatever it says. And I wonder if we could just either have some kind of assigning something where it needs to go through if we really want to check it, but I don't think it's... I think it's more the kind of thing that if there's a problem with what they're doing, then you go back instead of putting it all together where it's robotic and all expected. Not, but I totally appreciate everything Zaynab said. So I wouldn't want it to be in that situation. So that's pretty much it. Thanks for listening.

Vadim:

Thanks Barb. Lakayama.

Lakayana:

I think with this, my initial thought is that it should come from the police chief as it's their agency. And I think if we're going to ask someone to send a condolence letter, it should just come from the agency that it is. It doesn't necessarily mean that everybody has to accept it, right? And you might get varying responses from it. But if that's the thrust of what we're trying to say or advocate, then it should come from the agency that made the act. And I think it's a matter of, I don't know what you might want to say, but principal or something along those lines. And it's not like the family's a monolith either. Some family members might appreciate it, some might not. And it's not a matter of how they're going to take it, but a step of the agency acknowledging the harm and the reality of what happened.

Elliot Young:

So, what I'm hearing is various different opinions about it should come from the police, it should absolutely not come from the police. I think what I like about the wording in as it's written is that it's unclear in that sense that you're kicking this down the road saying PCCEP is not going to resolve this issue. But I think in the conversation with the mayor, we could raise this issue that we have to be sensitive to the fact of some people being triggered by this, other people being triggered by that. And also maybe can Trudy suggested consulting with some of the people like Donna Hayes who have lost family members. I will note that she was in attendance when this issue first came up almost two years ago now and did provide feedback on that particular letter. So I guess I think it's possible for us to pass this because it's vague and sort of push these questions, which I don't think we're going to be able to resolve, to the mayor's office to deal with. But Marcia where are you at right now?



Marcia Perez:

Well, what I was going to say is that I think part of the reason why it was vaguely and somewhat broad is because we want to be able to adapt it to... Everyone's situation is different and everyone's going to be triggered by different things. People might be offended at the mayor did or did not acknowledge, or that the chief of police did or did not. And I think that there should be a coordinated response by city officials that would be able to, in whatever community advocates are involved, that would be able to inform what is the appropriate acknowledgement or who would appropriately acknowledge. But the point of the recommendation of course, is to first acknowledge that that this harm has been done. And so the hope is that this will pass so that we can work with the city to figure out, what does that process look like? Zaynab, did you have something?

Zeenab Fowlk:

Just one last question. So, I'm hearing now that this is the first time possibly that letters are being sent out to those who have...

Marcia Perez:

It's my understanding that it's actually been pretty inconsistent based on whoever the mayor is and whoever's the city leadership is. And so [crosstalk 02:21:57] is that we would create more consistent as a formality. People will be talking about acknowledging the harm regardless of whoever the mayor and chief of police is. As you know, we've had many.

Zeenab Fowlk:

Well, it's good to know that there has been a standard that they can go back to and look at. And so perhaps looking to put that language in there, by there are examples that have happened in the past that they could refer to so that they know this is not the first time, but I think it's a great idea. And like everyone has said, I mean, I didn't mean to create a serious debate about this, but it was just more of, I was wondering who would be the one doing this work.

Elliot Young:

Marcia, I'm wondering if Dan's recommendation on language there, that to add the, if they so choose, is considered a friendly amendment to your recommendation.

Anne Campbell:

Yes. We're working on it right now.

Marcia Perez:

Yeah. I think that it makes a lot of sense and Ian is updating that now.

Elliot Young:



Okay. So are there any other comments? I think we need to move to vote soon so that we can move on. There's another recommendation, a couple of recommendations that we're going to be looking at tonight. So, Marcia, do you want to, or Ann, go over what the changes are that you have agreed to?

Anne Campbell:

Yes, I can. I'm just messaging with Marcia, but we will adopt the wording from Dan. So it would say, "Make it city of Portland police Bureau practice for the mayor and or police chief within 24 to 48 hours after use of lethal force to send a written letter of condolence to the victim's family. We also recommend that a follow-up visit done by trained staff to provide the family support services for their loss, if they so choose.

Elliot Young:

Okay. Lakayama, is that an old hand or a new hand? Old hand. Okay. [crosstalk 02:24:24] Let's move to a vote. Thank you for this conversation. I will just add that the first PCCEP meeting I attended was that meeting when this thing came up and it made me feel like I should run away because it was so contentious and problematic, but here we are and I'm glad we're hopefully bringing this full circle to a close. So I will call the roll, [crosstalk 02:24:49]

Barb Rainish:

Can I ask for clarification about what you're voting that it says seven to 10 business days or 24 to 48 hours in that first or second paragraph?

Amy:

The document that Ann just read was the original document. And then I got ahold of it and changed it to what you're reading earlier. And now she's talking about bringing the other one back.

Barb Rainish:

No, she's not talking about it. She's just doing it inadvertently.

Elliot Young:

Okay, so...

Amy:

[crosstalk 02:25:25] Bringing forward the earlier version, not the one we just read off the screen.

Elliot Young:

Okay. So can we have clarification on which version is we're voting on? And#n, do you want to clarify? You're on mute.

Anne Campbell:



Yes. I'm still working on that one. We're just adding the one sentence. Let me pull that up, sorry. And you're RIGHT, Amy. Sorry.

Elliot Young:

So basically it's going to be the version that was published, the second version with the only change is Dan's language. So basically that's the only change. So we're [crosstalk 02:26:16] His languages in the chat. I will try to find his beautiful language. We also recommend that a follow-up visit will be done by train staff to provide the family to support services for the loss, if they so choose. Basically if they so choose is what we [crosstalk 02:26:36]

Amy:

Oh, I thought I had written that in there in the original, the one I wrote. If they wanted to, I thought I already... But that's okay.

Elliot Young:

Okay, cool. We're all [crosstalk 02:26:47].

Amy:

I put in there, "If they chose."

Elliot Young:

Okay. We're all on the same page then. That's what, that's what the language is now. Okay. So let me call the roll. Taji?

Taji Chesimet:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Lakayama?

Lakayana:

Yes, sir.

Elliot Young:

Vadim?

Vadim:

Yes.



Elliot Young:

Amy?

Amy:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Britt?

Britt:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Yolanda?

Yolanda:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Marcia?

Marcia Perez:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Ann?

Anne Campbell:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Jamari?

Jamari:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Kia?



Kia:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Alana?

Alana:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Zaynab?

Zeenab Fowlk:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

And I vote yes. So unanimous support for that recommendation. It passes. Thank you very much for all the hard work that both of those subcommittees put into this and all of the people who worked for several years on this, thank you as well. Let's move on to the second of the recommendations.

Marcia Perez:

Thanks everyone. So I am adding the second one again here. This recommendation also came out of the joint forum that we hosted, the Racial Equity Subcommittee and Behavioral Health Subcommittee back in November. This is titled Portland police officers request trauma intervention program. So the summary is as follows. This is a two-part type of recommendation. So we're recommending that Portland police Bureau develop and implement a process whereby all PPB officers will be trained to request the Trauma Intervention Program through the Bureau of emergency communications for all lethal force incidents and PPB to update their after action reports to include a checkbox question that TIP was requested. That's the overall nature of this recommendation. We hosted the forum, which let us hear from TIP services and Bowick, and I think Ms. June is here on the call today. When we get to feedback, if she would like to talk about this, you're welcome to.

Marcia Perez:

But I'm just going to do a quick overview. Our thought was that when there are incidents of a use of force, oftentimes families are left out because of the investigation. And so based on what we learned about TIP, we thought there was a need for trauma related services for individuals impacted by police violence and their family members. So TIP is a group of specially trained citizen volunteers who provide emotional aid, practical support, and resources to victims of traumatic events and their families in the first few hours following the tragedy. I'll just go over again, just a brief overview. They've been providing



services in our community for over 20 years and they adhere to the motto, our citizen volunteers provide emotional first aid to survivors of tragedy in order...

PART 5 OF 6 ENDS [02:30:04]

Marcia Perez:

To provide emotional first aid to survivors of tragedy in order to ease the immediate suffering and facilitate the healing and long-term recovery. TIP volunteers are neutral participants, in every situation, they focus to support people experiencing trauma, without judgment, to the circumstances. They've experienced working with emergency responders and work to maintain trust with their partners. And they also have some BOEC staff who are TIP volunteers. So, we thought it was important that individuals, families have that immediate assistance. And we would like the Portland Police Bureau to request TIP so that the family has an immediate support system in place, when an incident has occurred. Let's see. And that is the gist of... oh, I just lost the recommendation. We've included a couple of things on here. And then Barb, you gave some feedback earlier today, which I don't think I added to the official form, but do other PCCEP members, that participated on the development have anything additional to add to this?

Speaker 2:

No, once again, it was a very collaborative process, which was really terrific.

Taji Chesimet:

I also think this is a great recommendation and very important recommendation, and that I think it looks great as it stands and I read through it before and it looks great. And I'm excited to see it implemented. I'm hopefully we can maybe.

Marcia Perez:

Thanks, Taji.

Elliot Young:

Great. So let's open it up to other PCCEP members who have questions or comments on this recommendation. Zeenab.

Zeenab Fowlk:

Thank you. And thank you for this. I just had a question about TIP itself, who funds TIP to operate? And as I only can imagine if this is a recommendation to the Bureau to put in place and if they will need to support potentially people who would need to get the support, would they have enough resources to be able to handle this once this is recommended? Thank you.

Marcia Perez:



Yeah. One of the things that, what we actually talked at length about that, and the program director was explicit about their capacity to serve. They have hundreds of volunteers who are ready to serve the community. And quite frankly, it sounds like they're pretty under utilized, which is why we felt it was appropriate to make a recommendation considering funding was quite like, do they have funding? Do they have capacity? That's often the barriers that we hear, but they do as far as I am aware. And one of the things that was encouraging is that they also triaged and they are not the end-all be-all, but they're the first people that can help be a resource connector. So we add that in there, the recommendation where Black and Brown ingenious people are disproportionately impacted by this type of violence and also disproportionately impacted by the lack of resources. So really awesome opportunity to increase access to certain resources that might not have been available. But I do want to call out, I don't know, I thought I saw June on here. If you want to... If I'm... Yes [crosstalk 02:33:52]-

June:

I'm here.

Marcia Perez:

You are, okay, I'm not going crazy.

June:

No.

Marcia Perez:

If you'd like to... If others have questions, if you want to chime in, please feel free to do so.

June:

You are doing such a great job. I'm like, Oh, you really listened, so. But I'm-

Marcia Perez:

We've worked on this for a long time.

June:

... Yeah. So I'm happy to answer any questions, I'm here.

Vadim:

June, this is Vadim. I have a question. How does this differ from what the current practice is? I understand some emergency services call you all directly. What is the, I guess, the hole that's being filled here that you've not been contacted on, that this will solve?

June:



Right. I think that's because we had... Oh boy, how do I say this? It's like, when we get called by fire, the biggest call we get forgotten about by the Fire Bureau is a house fire, because they're so busy doing what they do. And I think what happened in this is just realizing that this is probably a big forgotten... The law enforcement is so busy dealing with the stuff they're doing that they haven't thought about activating TIP. And then there's some confusion about, will we go after the fact? And we will. So we're filling a hole because I think that they haven't thought about it before, or they thought it was too late.

Vadim:

Thank you.

Elliot Young:

Okay. Any other comments or questions from PCCEP members? All right, seeing none let's open it up to public comment or questions about this recommendation on the table. All right. I am seeing none. I would just add in the chorus of support for this, that this is-

Amy:

Barb has-

Elliot Young:

... seems like a great recommendation and I support it. I saw Amy's hand go up, Amy.

Amy:

... Yeah. Barb has a question. We're sharing machines, so I have to launch it.

Elliot Young:

Yeah cool, Barb.

Barb Rainish:

Hi, actually Barb has like comments that I'm not sure you all know about. Just FYI, we learned a lot about TIP and their services at our subcommittee meeting and they go out like most of their calls are... She said, when somebody wakes up and their partner next to them in bed, does it basically. So most of their calls are all kinds of deaths and some tragic events across the city. And I think this is a good reminder to all of the cops too, that BOEC can call TIP or TIP can be called in general, but this is just a specific circumstance that we really, really want to make sure that... I look at it as part of like SOP, Standard Operating Procedures. I don't know, that's what my head says.

Amy:

Thank you.

Elliot Young:



Great. Thank you for that comment, Barb. So it seems like there are no pending questions or comments. We can move to a vote on this.

Marcia Perez:

Sorry Elliot, there's a question in the chat from Trudy.

Elliot Young:

Trudy. Yes, please. Oh, you want me to read it from the chat? Okay, I will. Go to the chat and, is most of the emphasis on the first few hours following the tragedy? What does follow up look like?

June:

You want me to take that Elliot? This is June.

Elliot Young:

Sure, June.

June:

Yes. So yes, the emphasis is on the first few hours holding space for people in that chaos, in the impact stage and the resources and the connections we make after are different on every situation. But making sure that they... Oh, I think as Marissa said, we're doing warm handoffs. So is that their local pastor that we're handing off to because they showed up right on scene. Is it a support group? I mean, basically finding out from them and keep in mind that in the middle of a tragedy, people don't really know what they don't know yet. So making sure we connect once they've had a chance to take a deep breath and then go, this is what I need. So we're there for that immediacy and then not leaving them hanging, but hopefully getting them connected with the resources that they need later on.

Marcia Perez:

I also just want to place an emphasis, over the past several months and over the summer, just with the listening sessions we've had, one of the themes and it goes to say with Ms. Shirley Isador which Zeenab brought up is that many families don't feel like they were acknowledged or offered any support. So they rely on their community. And if the community is being disparately impacted by this type of violence, this trauma ripple effect is so significant, it's really difficult to feel. Like you can get support, and because of the nature of these types of incidents, where the police are automatically in defense about investigating and then developing an investigation for the use of force, it really disconnects the family from anything. And so, because that person is not considered a victim, they are considered a suspect. They don't have any legal rights to any supports.

Marcia Perez:

And so we felt this was a really critical element that's needed. So that families are not just completely lost and dismissing the shuffle of what police work has to get done. That they can actually have somebody who is unbiased, who's present, able to hold that space that June said, for them.



Elliot Young:

Thanks for those explanations. Trudy says, thanks. So Zeenab do you have a comment?

Zeenab Fowlk:

I'm sorry because maybe I'm getting... So Marcia is it for the community to call TIP or is it for the Portland Police Officers who are involved in incidents to call TIP? Or is it for... like on both sides? Maybe I'm... I was just trying to get that.

Marcia Perez:

This recommendation is for Portland Police Bureau to activate TIP. So they request that to be, and they can go through BOEC to request TIP to show up. And we can... My hope is that if this gets passed and then it gets passed by the Mayor that we would figure out what... I don't know what barriers PPB is going to put up that says that we don't have the capacity. I don't know what they're going to say about their ability to notify TIP that this incident has happened. And so I think that that's something we have to work through.

Zeenab Fowlk:

Okay. So I'm getting... Because how I read it is I don't understand. Maybe it's the language that I'm reading. Portland Police Bureau develop and implement a process whereby all PPB officers will be trained to request the Trauma Intervention Program. They're not saying it's for themselves, but if there's an incident they will contact TIP to show up. Is that what that's about? Oh, okay.

Marcia Perez:

Yes.

Zeenab Fowlk:

That's a totally different... Thank you.

Marcia Perez:

Uh-huh (affirmative)

Speaker 3:

And also, I just wanted to add that, the second piece of that was that they would add that to their After Action Reports. So that it would become a process as well, that's our hope.

Elliot Young:

Okay. So thank you for all those clarifications. I think we can move to a vote now on this recommendation. So we will run through the li`st. Taji?

Taji Chesimet:



Yes.

Elliot Young:
Lakayana?

Lakayana:
Yes.

Elliot Young:
Vadim?

Vadim:
Yes.

Elliot Young:
Amy?

Amy:
Yes.

Elliot Young:
Britt?

Britt:
Yes.

Elliot Young:
Yolanda?

Yolanda:
Yes.

Elliot Young:
Marcia?

Marcia:
Yes.

Elliot Young:



Ann?

Ann:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Jamari?

Jamari:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Kea?

Kea:

Yeah.

Elliot Young:

Alana?

Alana:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

Zeenab?

Zeenab Fowlk:

Yes.

Elliot Young:

And I vote, yes. Another unanimous passage of this recommendation. Thank you very much for this much needed and exciting recommendation that I think will have a direct impact. We have a few more minutes left and I know it'd be great to end early, but Dan has requested that we save some time for just general comments from the public. If they have any about something that might not be on our agenda. And so I would like to open that space. We don't have to take all the time, but let's just see what the public has to say. And since Dan, you requested the time you have the privilege of going first.

Dan Hammelman:



Well, okay. I want to acknowledge that I've spoken a lot at this meeting already and I do want to hear from other people. But I do appreciate that too, co-chair Young. So one of the things I wanted to mention is that one of the other directives, other than the force-related ones that is up for review right now is about the joint Terrorism Task Force. We haven't talked about that FBI-run task force very much with PCCEP. But last year was the first year since the Portland Police withdrew their two part-time officers from that Task Force that they had to give an annual report. And last year City Council did not allow public testimony on the vote. So Portland Copwatch and other organizations held a mock city council hearing outside of City Hall and gave our testimony there that way. And we hope that would prompt the mayor to this year, allow public testimony, but he did not.

Dan Hammelman:

And so we did a news conference today, we didn't do it outside of City Hall because there's a pandemic this year, but we posted that on our YouTube page, youtube.com/peaceandjusticeworks. And tomorrow morning at 9:30 when council is actually going to be hearing the report from the Portland Police Bureau about what they've done with this FBI task force in the past year, we're going to be doing a live commentary while this council hearing is going on also in the same YouTube page. And I'll post that link in the chat.

Dan Hammelman:

Also, our three times a year newsletter, The People's Police Report, which were there not a pandemic, I used to be able to go around and hand a copy to each member of the PCCEP. Since I can't do that, I'm going to post a link to that in the chat it's up online now, it came at the end of December. There's an article about DOJ agreement and the PCP in there, so you might or be interested in that. And as well as the other articles, of course. And then just a program note, I guess, I'm also with an organization called Flying Focus Video Collective, and we've been producing video on cable access TV for 30 years now. And the cable access facility where we work, featured our organization on their streaming website, but didn't bother telling us we've been featured for the month of January, but they didn't bother telling us we got it in a bulk email on the 20th of the month, so in other words, three weeks had passed before we even knew that we were featured on this website.

Dan Hammelman:

And I'm saying, I'm telling you, I'm relating to that to you, not only to urge you to check out Flying Focus stuff, but also because it reminds me of what we've heard in the context of this evening, that the city did not send out the survey that you're trying to get feedback on by the middle of February. And for a lot of people, especially with something that's as complex, a question of this Core Patrol Services, it would be great to have given them enough time. I'm glad that's going to be changed. I'm glad to hear that Ms. Lawrence is going to work on that and fix it up tomorrow morning. And then somebody, I'm hoping from PCCEP will send it out to the whole support list.

Dan Hammelman:

And just as a related note, and it's something that you talked about in great detail tonight. The Police Association contract, public meeting that happened on the 13th was followed up by a news release,



which is part of the ground rules they agreed upon between the city and the Police Association. But that news release was not posted on the city's website. It was posted to the Rethink Portland website, which I think is commissioner Hardesty's personal project, about ways that we can improve the police structures in the city. But I looked and looked and look all over the city's website and I couldn't find it. So we've been saying for years, there needs to be improved communication, I'm still saying it, and I'm hoping PCCEP can help urge the city to be better communicators. And thank you all for all your work.

Elliot Young:

Thanks, Dan. And on the question of the JTTF, that sounds like... And the question of opening up the city council meetings to the public, that sounds like a recommendation or what could be a recommendation. So I would encourage you maybe to come to the steering committee meeting, if you would like to turn that into a proposal for recommendation, that PCCEP could make to the city. I see a Asena has her hand up, but before Asena we have Rochelle and then maybe a Asena could respond to Dan's inquiry. So Rochelle.

Rochelle:

Yes. An agenda for this meeting, not the current agenda, but a previous agenda. You had an item where you would discussing the latest DOJ report and that was subsequently taken off the agenda for this meeting. So can you give me any information about that?

Elliot Young:

Yeah, we should have mentioned. So what happened was that we were told the DOJ would have it support, the DOJ thought it would have its report by the 25th that did not happen. Maybe Jared could explain why not?

Taji Chesimet:

I just want to make sure we can get through this quickly, so we can get all the comments. It was only because the administration changed and he was unable to file it. So he's not approved by his leaders to disseminate it publicly yet. So it's not approved in the chain of command, that's the reason.

Elliot Young:

Yeah. So it's not out, but we expect it to be out soon. Jared.

Jared:

Yeah. Taji said it. Well, the draft is done, it's with our managers and it needs to be approved before we can file it, and change of the administration has made it, so I think a couple more eyes are looking at it and we should be getting it filed in early February and ASAP. And I'll be sure to share it, apologies for not having it filed. It was a deadline I had not worked hard to make, and I'm disappointed that I wasn't able to follow through on that. So out of my hands and apologies, but I'll get it to you all properly as soon as it's filed.



Elliot Young:

And Jared, do you have any insight on when the hearing will take place?

Jared:

The court's taking comments for the rest of the day. So if you want to email his a courtroom deputy, Mary [inaudible 02:49:28], I can give you that email address. All of the parties have indicated they wouldn't oppose it being postponed until a safer time when we can hold the hearing in person. I think the city and the union prefer a date in June, and that's going to be decided by the court shortly, is what the court said after tonight. And so I expect we'll know tomorrow, Friday at the latest. I think a few people have submitted comments and I encourage you all to do that, yeah.

Elliot Young:

Thank you. Yeah, if you could post that email in the chat and Asena, I see your hand is down, but pending question?

Asena Lawrence:

Yeah. Thank you. Dan, thanks for your comments and by no means, am I trying to debate you, just to provide some procedural context for people. All reports that come before council do not receive public testimony. During council though, of course, people are more than welcome to provide testimony via email or calls to the commissioners offices in the mayor's office. I've worked there for, this is my seven year anniversary this week working in City Hall and I can't remember when we have opened up reports to public testimony though, there may be situations in the past where that has happened. Just for that, so it's not closed off just to this one, it's all reports that come to council are like that. And that I have been in contact with Sam Diaz during our meeting, and he will add the questions to the comment section of the [Map AP 02:51:04] . Thank you.

Elliot Young:

Thank you. Asena given the public interest in this particular report, the JTTF, is that something you might broach with the mayor about opening those up in the future?

Asena Lawrence:

I had heard the ACLU had made that request as well to make it open this time. And it sounds like that will not happen for this one. However, I will let him and my team know tomorrow morning during our staff check-in that there's a request that for future ones, it'd be open to the public. Thank you.

Elliot Young:

Thanks. Are there other public comments or anyone else have anything else they want to share?

Taji Chesimet:



Amy just mentioned in the chat COCL's quarter four report draft is out, which we'll be discussing in depth at the February meetings. So don't worry about... I'm pretty sure, right? I'm 98% sure. I'm just like that date looks a little sooner than our meeting, but we wanted it on the agenda. So we'll be talking about it. Oh, we were going to hold a special meeting for it, I believe. So two special meetings to look out for in February is the COCL one, town hall, and the Core Patrol town hall. Both of those dates will be pushed out on all the socials that we have.

Elliot Young:

Okay. Any other comments?

DebbieAiona:

Elliot.

Elliot Young:

Yes.

DebbieAiona:

This is DebbieAiona. I can't figure out how to raise my hand. So I put a little thing in the chat saying I'd like to make a comment.

Elliot Young:

Cool, go for it.

DebbieAiona:

Yeah. Sorry. So this is DebbieAiona League of Women Voters of Portland. First, I want to say that I disagree with Ms. Lawrence about the testimony on reports. The league has testified for years on the IPR annual report, the OAR reports until Mayor Wheeler took office, that was always an option for us and it isn't anymore. The other thing I wanted to talk about was the Portland Police Bureau's annual report. In last year, we were pretty disappointed about the way they notified the public about the required precinct meetings and this year things went even worse. The settlement agreement requires the Bureau to make an annual report that you all are supposed to review and its draft form.

DebbieAiona:

Then it's supposed to go to the three precincts for community meetings, and then finally the city council. And of course, before Mayor Wheeler was elected, I would guess that they would have taken public testimony, but that won't happen, that didn't happen this time. This time they had the presentation of the annual report at city council before the precinct meetings and it was nearly impossible to find out when the precinct meetings were scheduled. I noticed one on the website... Forgot it was in late December, early January. But when you clicked on the link, it took you to an error message. I spoke to Jared Hagar about this right around that time and he told me, I think Central had taken place and I never



saw a notice of that. But that the East Precinct was supposed to still have one, but I've never seen anything about that.

DebbieAiona:

So I'm hoping that maybe next year, when you guys get the draft to review that you help the Police Bureau think about how they can live up to the spirit of what's in the settlement agreement with these precinct meetings, being an opportunity for the public to interact with the Bureau. And then with the culmination at the city council, where the public again can communicate with city council about how they're feeling about their Police Bureau. Okay, so thank you very much for listening and I appreciate all of your hard work.

Elliot Young:

Thank you, Debbie. And is Mary Claire still there? Because maybe we can get a commitment from the police to inform PCCEP about these precinct meetings so that we could help inform the public.

Mary Claire:

Yes, I am here, and yes. Although they were posted on our website and on, I believe Facebook, I don't think we did the Twitter account this time, Debbie, but yes, we can use your list next year, Elliot.

Elliot Young:

Great, thank you. So we could just help you to push that out to the community. So they're aware of that and facilitated communication. Any other questions? Comments? Okay, well, we've saved ourselves 13 minutes, but we're going to spend them this next month in a million town halls and special subcommittee meetings. So don't get too excited. Thank you all for sharing all of your comments today and a special thanks to the Mental Health, Behavioral Health subcommittee and Racial Equity subcommittee for bringing forth two great proposals that got almost unanimous support or unanimous support for those. And we will see you all next month and in all of the subcommittee meetings, take care.

Taji Chesimet:

Thank you.

Marcia Perez:

Thank you.

June:

Thanks everyone.

Asena Lawrence:

Thank you.



Asena Lawrence:

[inaudible 02:56:24]

PART 6 OF 6 ENDS [02:58:40]