

| Commenter | Comment | Response |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Portland Copwatch | The report does not mention use of deadly force against people in mental health crisis. | We review PPB use of deadly force against persons in mental health crisis in the same manner we review all other uses of lethal force in that we perform a thorough case-review based on the evidence collected during the administrative investigation. Although not explicitly mentioned in the present report, we have commented on such events in the past as well as evaluate the administrative investigation process (including the PRB) as part |
| Portland Copwatch | The COCL's report overlooked an IPR case that took more than 10 days for additional investigation to be returned as required by Par. 136 | In hearing from IPR, the requested additional investigation related to an allegation of untruthfulness that had been investigated as part of another case (there were seemingly several cases involving the same parties). Therefore, IPR informed the CRC that there was no need for the additional |
| Portland Copwatch | In discussing a training scenario, the COCL urges police to demonstrate sympathy for a person being held at knifepoint but not for the suspect | Our report discusses communication skills and de-escalation, which encompass concepts of sympathy. Our point regarding the victim was that such communication skills should be used with |
| Portland Copwatch | The report should be revised to disqualify any officers with a history of excessive use of force or a history of mistreatment of people with mental illness from serving on Enhanced Crisis Intervention or Behavioral Health | Both the COCL and DOJ have historically interpreted these provisions of the Settlement Agreement as both applying persons with mental illness. As the DOJ is the Plaintiff in the matter, we defer to their interpretation. |
| Portland Copwatch | COCL should push BHUAC harder to open their meetings to the public. | As we have noted in prior reports, the BHUAC is not required by the Settlement Agreement or by law to have open meetings. While we have agreed with their decision to engage with the community through open meetings, we cannot make open |
| Portland Copwatch | The report does not mention the Police Association pushback in regard to allowing PSR to respond to calls of threat of suicide and/or if the person is indoors. | We did not hear from any of the persons we interviewed that the PPA unreasonably acted during the development of the PSR protocol. However, we maintain our position that PSR be able to respond to these types of calls in addition to their current |
| Portland Copwatch | COCL is unable to fully assess whether paragraph 90 is in compliance due to not having enough information on Oregon's health care system. | Our report states that we lack the necessary non-party information to assess the goals of Par. 90. This is true in that we cannot compel organizations that are not Parties to the Settlement Agreements to provide evidence that the goals of Par. 90 have been substantially complied with. |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| Portland Copwatch | COCL did not provide enough information on the two PRB cases where irrelevant information was introduced . | As PRB's are disciplinary processes, we are limited in the information we can give regarding the specifics of events |
| Portland Copwatch | Further examination of what evidence was used to dismiss force complaints almost 1/4 of the time. | As part of each quarterly assessment, we request a list of force allegations that did not receive a full and complete investigation. For each case, we receive a summary of the facts which justified the administrative closure. Where we have found issues with the decision, we have included those in prior reports and will continue to do so in the future |
| Portland Copwatch | Did not note the lack of response to PCCEP's support for Training Advisory Council recommendations about the Public Safety Support Specialist program. | Updated to note the Mayor's June 23 adoption of PCCEP's Q1 recommendation in support of the Training Advisory Council's recommendation to expand the Public Safety Support Specialist (PS3) program. |
| Portland Copwatch | COCL does not take responsibility for missing/unclear information in charts/tables made by other entities when using them in the report. There are labels missing on | As we have noted in the past, these are figures provided by PPB or IPR and we therefore would not alter documents provided as evidence in a legal proceeding. |
| Portland Copwatch | COCL only lists IPR as having power to "controvert" proposed findings on misconduct cases. PCW repeatedly points out Internal Affairs and Assistant Chiefs also have | We have revised our report to update this. |
| Portland Copwatch | The report uses the language "George Floyd protests" instead of "racial justice protests" which omits the broader context of biased and violent policing. | We acknowledge this distinction and have revised our report to clarify. |
| Portland Copwatch | Even though PRB reports and reports on deadly force are heavily redacted the COCL says the Bureau is being "largely transparent" The City Code allows for use of names of offices on deadly force reports. | Our comment is that the system overall is largely transparent. The PRB summaries are but one part of the City releasing information about the accountability system. While we understand the concern with redactions, we defer to the CAO as to what is legally required to be redacted. |
| PCCEP Townhall | The COCL should make the slideshow presentation of the report publicly available after the meeting. | We agree and will post the slideshow with this report. |
| PCCEP Townhall | The COCL should ensure there is enough will and financial support to implement the changes being recommended. | We will continue to assess whether the City has provided the necessary resources to comply with the Settlement Agreement |
| PCCEP Townhall | How are you ensuring the people in charge of training and implementing changes have good morals and values and will actually hold the police accountable? | The PPB will be conducting a peer intervention training later this year that will address the points raised in this comment. |

| | | |
|----------------|--|---|
| PCCEP Townhall | Racism was mentioned with regard to trainings in the report. How do we know racial is not system wide? | The Settlement Agreement was not created because of racial bias, but COCL describes disparities in traffics stops and the need to look closer. PPB has a long term plan for addressing racism and bias that begins with Equity training, and COCL has long pushed for more procedural justice trainings |
| PCCEP Townhall | COCL' assessment that the Coalition of Advisory Groups (CAG) has unresolved conflict and does not work together is inaccurate. | Although COCL was informed otherwise, we have since reached out and met with the co-chairs of CAG. As a result, we have changed our Q2 assessment and have kep the focus on PPB's advisory groups. However, for transparency purposes, we have recommended that CAG or PPB provide the public with periodic |