

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
1A	Feeling of safety in neighborhood in DAY	Very safe	75%	66%	44%	56%	47%	57%	30%	51%	54%	49%	51%	48%	47%	49%	48%	46%	48%
	Safe	22%	26%	45%	36%	42%	35%	49%	38%	35%	39%	37%	39%	41%	39%	40%	42%	40%	
	Neither	2%	5%	8%	6%	8%	6%	14%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	8%
	Unsafe	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	6%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%
	Very unsafe	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
		(2,295)	(1,570)	(2,136)	(2,157)	(1,657)	(5,457)	(4,575)	(19,847)	(2,708)	(21,583)	(3,378)	(5,309)	(5,292)	(4,808)	(3,687)	(3,589)	(3,781)	
1B	Feeling of safety in park in DAY	Very safe	54%	46%	30%	40%	35%	39%	17%	35%	37%	32%	34%	32%	30%	33%	30%	29%	31%
	Safe	35%	38%	45%	42%	45%	43%	46%	43%	41%	44%	44%	44%	44%	43%	45%	45%	43%	
	Neither	8%	10%	16%	13%	13%	12%	22%	14%	14%	16%	15%	16%	17%	16%	18%	17%	17%	
	Unsafe	3%	5%	7%	5%	6%	4%	12%	7%	6%	8%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	
	Very unsafe	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	
		(2,218)	(1,527)	(2,079)	(2,100)	(1,583)	(5,280)	(4,232)	(19,019)	(2,590)	(20,564)	(3,244)	(5,051)	(5,068)	(4,545)	(3,492)	(3,423)	(3,613)	
1C	Feeling of safety downtown in DAY	Very safe	27%	33%	25%	35%	23%	29%	10%	24%	25%	22%	27%	26%	26%	29%	27%	24%	26%
	Safe	46%	44%	46%	43%	44%	43%	40%	43%	44%	42%	43%	43%	44%	43%	43%	46%	45%	
	Neither	18%	16%	18%	15%	19%	18%	28%	20%	20%	21%	20%	21%	20%	21%	21%	21%	20%	
	Unsafe	7%	5%	9%	5%	10%	8%	16%	9%	10%	11%	7%	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%	
	Very unsafe	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
		(2,222)	(1,507)	(2,005)	(2,061)	(1,563)	(5,167)	(4,130)	(18,655)	(2,555)	(20,335)	(3,214)	(5,023)	(5,007)	(4,519)	(3,437)	(3,406)	(3,606)	
1D	Feeling of safety in neigh. at NIGHT	Very safe	32%	26%	10%	13%	14%	18%	7%	16%	18%	14%	17%	17%	15%	16%	14%	14%	14%
	Safe	43%	40%	35%	39%	34%	39%	25%	35%	37%	35%	36%	36%	35%	37%	37%	34%	35%	
	Neither	14%	18%	26%	23%	22%	20%	24%	21%	20%	22%	22%	23%	23%	22%	22%	24%	24%	
	Unsafe	9%	11%	23%	20%	23%	18%	30%	20%	18%	22%	18%	18%	20%	18%	20%	21%	20%	
	Very unsafe	1%	5%	8%	5%	7%	6%	14%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	
		(2,240)	(1,532)	(2,072)	(2,095)	(1,598)	(5,285)	(4,386)	(19,208)	(2,637)	(21,008)	(3,312)	(5,206)	(5,144)	(4,679)	(3,595)	(3,487)	(3,669)	
1E	Feeling of safety in park at NIGHT	Very safe	11%	10%	3%	4%	4%	6%	3%	5%	7%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%	4%	0%
	Safe	31%	28%	17%	20%	19%	21%	10%	20%	19%	17%	19%	20%	18%	20%	18%	16%	16%	
	Neither	29%	25%	25%	27%	27%	26%	21%	25%	27%	24%	27%	26%	26%	26%	27%	25%	25%	
	Unsafe	24%	26%	35%	35%	33%	32%	41%	33%	32%	35%	33%	31%	33%	32%	33%	36%	35%	
	Very unsafe	6%	11%	21%	14%	17%	15%	26%	17%	15%	19%	15%	17%	18%	17%	18%	19%	20%	
		(2,135)	(1,488)	(2,025)	(2,045)	(1,525)	(5,126)	(4,122)	(18,466)	(2,521)	(20,081)	(3,175)	(4,971)	(4,929)	(4,451)	(3,404)	(3,349)	(3,534)	

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
1F	Feeling of safety downtown at NIGHT	Very safe	4%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	1%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	Safe	26%	29%	25%	31%	22%	25%	11%	23%	24%	19%	24%	25%	25%	26%	24%	22%	21%	
	Neither	32%	31%	30%	33%	29%	30%	22%	29%	30%	27%	31%	30%	31%	32%	32%	29%	31%	
	Unsafe	26%	23%	27%	23%	29%	26%	37%	28%	27%	31%	27%	26%	25%	25%	26%	29%	28%	
	Very unsafe	12%	10%	15%	8%	16%	14%	28%	16%	16%	20%	13%	14%	14%	12%	14%	16%	16%	
		(2,194)	(1,502)	(1,992)	(2,013)	(1,526)	(5,088)	(4,024)	(18,339)	(2,542)	(20,354)	(3,174)	(4,984)	(4,950)	(4,462)	(3,415)	(3,344)	(3,539)	
2	How willing to help police?	Very willing	14%	16%	19%	20%	18%	16%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	16%	15%	16%	14%	14%	15%
	Willing	41%	41%	44%	41%	44%	42%	43%	42%	45%	44%	42%	44%	43%	43%	41%	47%	45%	
	Neither	34%	31%	28%	27%	30%	31%	30%	30%	28%	30%	33%	31%	33%	33%	35%	32%	32%	
	Unwilling	9%	10%	7%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	9%	6%	7%	
	Very unwilling	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
		(2,104)	(1,444)	(1,926)	(1,965)	(1,482)	(4,938)	(4,074)	(17,933)	(2,471)	(19,547)	(3,199)	(4,995)	(4,941)	(4,477)	(3,372)	(3,387)	(3,585)	
3A	Burglary in last 12 months?	Yes	2%	5%	6%	7%	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	
	No	98%	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	93%	95%	94%	93%	95%	95%	95%	95%	96%	95%	95%	
		(2,282)	(1,547)	(2,131)	(2,138)	(1,647)	(5,436)	(4,569)	(19,750)	(2,696)	(21,593)	(3,397)	(5,342)	(5,311)	(4,831)	(3,713)	(3,617)	(3,790)	
3B	Was burglary reported?	Yes	73%	66%	58%	66%	73%	71%	71%	69%	61%	69%	67%	58%	73%	57%	56%	66%	70%
	No	27%	34%	42%	34%	27%	29%	29%	31%	39%	31%	33%	42%	27%	43%	44%	34%	30%	
		(45)	(71)	(114)	(137)	(110)	(254)	(302)	(1,033)	(160)	(1,539)	(171)	(291)	(255)	(212)	(158)	(164)	(181)	
4	Know neighborhood officer?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	13.7%	14%	14%	14%	15%	14%	13%	14%	13%	13%	
	No	85%	89%	83%	87%	84%	86%	89%	86%	86%	86%	86%	85%	86%	87%	86%	87%	87%	
		(2,070)	(1,455)	(1,996)	(1,978)	(1,488)	(4,978)	(4,247)	(18,212)	(2,617)	(19,435)	(3,413)	(5,298)	(5,287)	(4,809)	(3,687)	(3,606)	(3,803)	
5A	Car prowl in last 12 months?	Yes	9%	13%	18%	18%	14%	19%	23%	17%	17%	21%	21%	22%	20%	19%	18%	20%	22%
	No	91%	87%	82%	82%	86%	81%	77%	83%	83%	79%	80%	78%	80%	81%	82%	80%	78%	
		(2,276)	(1,524)	(2,111)	(2,125)	(1,632)	(5,397)	(4,507)	(19,572)	(2,693)	(21,566)	(3,396)	(5,309)	(5,284)	(4,799)	(3,665)	(3,597)	(3,785)	
2007 data for 5B and 5C not reported due to methodological differences with prior years' surveys																			
5B	How many car prowls?	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	586	6,761	970	704	1,611	1,349	991	1,055	1,299	

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS									
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
		SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East										
5C	How many car prowls reported?	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%	45%	46%	44%	43%	39%	40%	40%	45%
6A	Prepared to sustain self?	64%	52%	54%	48%	57%	53%	64%	57%	56%	55%	54%	54%	53%	54%	61%	57%	52%
	No	36% (2,257)	48% (1,510)	46% (2,090)	52% (2,097)	43% (1,585)	47% (5,317)	36% (4,466)	43% (19,322)	44% (2,680)	45% (21,486)	46% (3,363)	46% (5,266)	47% (5,255)	46% (4,754)	39% (3,653)	43% (3,580)	48% (3,753)
6B	Know how to get prepared?	68%	54%	60%	61%	60%	60%	55%	60%	64%	60%	49%	56%	50%	50%	54%	57%	47%
	No	32% (762)	46% (664)	40% (922)	39% (1,036)	40% (648)	40% (2,353)	45% (1,485)	40% (7,870)	36% (1,123)	41% (9,139)	51% (1,275)	44% (2,058)	50% (2,074)	50% (1,896)	46% (1,233)	43% (1,332)	53% (1,550)
7	Trained in first aid or CPR?	40%	33%	41%	40%	37%	37%	39%	38%	41%	39%	43%	53%	52%	51%	52%	53%	51%
	No	60% (2,173)	67% (1,488)	58% (2,039)	60% (2,064)	63% (1,546)	63% (5,214)	61% (4,299)	62% (18,823)	59% (2,673)	61% (20,740)	57% (3,319)	47% (5,324)	48% (5,265)	49% (4,767)	48% (3,679)	47% (3,571)	49% (3,781)
8A	Call 911 in last 12 months?	13%	16%	23%	22%	19%	18%	24%	20%	19%	21%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	No	87% (2,289)	84% (1,550)	77% (2,133)	78% (2,137)	81% (1,642)	82% (5,417)	76% (4,537)	80% (19,705)	81% (2,695)	79% (21,735)	81% (3,413)	-	-	-	-	-	-
8B	Rating of 911 service	49%	50%	37%	37%	41%	41%	36%	40%	44%	42%	46%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Very good	49%	50%	37%	37%	41%	41%	36%	40%	44%	42%	46%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	34%	28%	37%	36%	38%	34%	38%	36%	35%	35%	35%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Neither	9%	10%	13%	16%	9%	14%	13%	13%	10%	12%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Bad	4%	6%	8%	8%	6%	7%	8%	7%	6%	7%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Very bad	4% (289)	6% (237)	5% (487)	3% (463)	6% (313)	5% (967)	5% (1,050)	5% (3,806)	4% (497)	4% (4,390)	2% (644)	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Rating of effort to control police misconduct	7%	9%	6%	5%	8%	6%	10%	7%	9%	8%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Very good	7%	9%	6%	5%	8%	6%	10%	7%	9%	8%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	33%	28%	31%	26%	31%	29%	35%	31%	33%	31%	28%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Neither	39%	36%	35%	36%	35%	38%	35%	36%	37%	35%	34%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Bad	15%	18%	18%	21%	18%	18%	14%	17%	15%	18%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Very bad	6% (1,886)	8% (1,214)	10% (1,794)	12% (1,783)	8% (1,392)	9% (4,494)	7% (3,871)	8% (16,434)	7% (2,338)	9% (18,509)	11% (2,999)	-	-	-	-	-	-

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
		SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East											
10	Rating of tap water quality	Very good	41%	36%	30%	34%	32%	32%	23%	32%	32%	26%	26%		-	-	-	-	-
		Good	43%	43%	45%	44%	45%	46%	47%	45%	47%	46%	45%	44%	-	-	-	-	-
		Neither	11%	15%	16%	16%	16%	14%	18%	15%	14%	18%	19%	21%	-	-	-	-	-
		Bad	4%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	8%	6%	6%	8%	8%	9%	-	-	-	-	-
		Very bad	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-
			(2,094)	(1,399)	(1,961)	(1,963)	(1,476)	(4,961)	(4,060)	(17,914)	(2,642)	(21,133)	(3,243)	(5,222)	-	-	-	-	-
11A	Rating of sewer/drainage in neighborhood	Very good	13%	15%	13%	12%	15%	11%	11%	12%	11%	10%	19%	22%	24%	24%	24%	25%	25%
		Good	50%	48%	53%	50%	51%	51%	48%	50%	49%	47%	50%	49%	47%	47%	51%	50%	49%
		Neither	23%	22%	23%	26%	22%	25%	27%	24%	26%	27%	22%	19%	18%	20%	17%	17%	18%
		Bad	10%	12%	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	6%	7%	7%	6%	5%	5%	5%
		Very bad	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%
			(2,067)	(1,327)	(1,937)	(1,891)	(1,443)	(4,848)	(4,100)	(17,613)	(2,523)	(19,893)	(3,092)	(5,021)	(4,916)	(4,421)	(3,418)	(3,287)	(3,427)
11B	How well sewers/drainage protect rivers	Very good	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%
		Good	26%	25%	26%	24%	27%	22%	28%	25%	25%	22%	26%	23%	25%	22%	24%	23%	23%
		Neither	33%	27%	30%	29%	30%	32%	35%	32%	32%	32%	26%	25%	26%	27%	27%	27%	24%
		Bad	27%	31%	27%	33%	29%	29%	21%	27%	26%	29%	27%	29%	28%	28%	26%	28%	30%
		Very bad	11%	12%	12%	10%	10%	14%	11%	12%	12%	14%	16%	18%	16%	18%	17%	17%	17%
			(1,770)	(1,113)	(1,702)	(1,583)	(1,248)	(4,124)	(3,351)	(14,891)	(2,177)	(16,883)	(2,832)	(4,496)	(4,295)	(3,954)	(2,933)	(2,871)	(3,016)
12A	How rate garb/recycle cost?	Very good	9%	13%	9%	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	10%	9%	10%	9%	9%	8%	8%	8%	9%
		Good	37%	40%	41%	42%	43%	40%	37%	39%	41%	40%	39%	37%	36%	36%	36%	36%	36%
		Neither	34%	33%	32%	33%	31%	34%	35%	34%	33%	32%	33%	34%	34%	35%	35%	34%	34%
		Bad	16%	11%	14%	13%	13%	14%	16%	14%	12%	14%	14%	15%	16%	16%	16%	17%	16%
		Very bad	5%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
			(2,052)	(1,113)	(1,938)	(1,885)	(1,496)	(4,707)	(4,070)	(17,261)	(2,431)	(19,351)	(2,934)	(4,704)	(4,616)	(4,075)	(3,186)	(3,110)	(3,235)
12B	How rate garbage quality?	Very good	28%	23%	22%	24%	25%	24%	18%	23%	25%	24%	22%	23%	23%	22%	21%	22%	24%
		Good	54%	55%	57%	57%	54%	55%	56%	56%	55%	56%	55%	56%	55%	55%	55%	56%	54%
		Neither	14%	18%	15%	14%	15%	16%	19%	16%	15%	15%	18%	17%	17%	18%	19%	17%	17%
		Bad	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
		Very bad	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
			(2,217)	(1,366)	(2,056)	(2,078)	(1,591)	(5,251)	(4,418)	(18,977)	(2,610)	(20,787)	(3,182)	(5,099)	(5,022)	(4,506)	(3,490)	(3,338)	(3,514)

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS									
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East												
12C	How rate recycling quality? Very good	26%	22%	21%	24%	26%	23%	20%	23%	27%	25%	25%	24%	25%	24%	23%	24%	26%
	Good	51%	51%	53%	52%	50%	51%	53%	52%	52%	53%	52%	53%	52%	52%	53%	52%	50%
	Neither	15%	18%	15%	14%	16%	16%	18%	16%	15%	15%	17%	16%	16%	16%	17%	17%	16%
	Bad	6%	7%	8%	8%	6%	8%	7%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%
	Very bad	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
		(2,179)	(1,340)	(2,022)	(2,027)	(1,555)	(5,131)	(4,297)	(18,551)	(2,601)	(20,592)	(3,171)	(5,042)	(4,968)	(4,464)	(3,454)	(3,307)	(3,484)
13	Type of residence 1 family	83%	35%	86%	81%	91%	79%	82%	79%	78%	79%	75%	76%	76%	73%	76%	76%	76%
	2,3,4 plex	4%	5%	5%	8%	3%	8%	5%	6%	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	7%	5%	5%	5%
	Apt	11%	56%	7%	9%	5%	11%	10%	13%	15%	13%	16%	15%	16%	17%	17%	17%	16%
	Other	1%	4%	2%	1%	0%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
			(2,257)	(1,533)	(2,110)	(2,107)	(1,612)	(5,353)	(4,480)	(19,452)	(2,606)	(20,883)	(3,292)	(5,298)	(5,162)	(4,694)	(3,628)	(3,370)
14	How long at current residence? Less than year	7%	16%	10%	12%	8%	9%	7%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1-4 years	27%	38%	35%	32%	27%	32%	26%	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5-9 years	18%	19%	18%	19%	18%	18%	16%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	10+ years	48%	27%	38%	37%	47%	41%	51%	43%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			(2,258)	(1,532)	(2,106)	(2,109)	(1,618)	(5,350)	(4,497)	(19,470)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15A	Traffic flow PEAK: major streets Very good	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	22%	20%	24%	25%	24%	21%	19%	22%	23%	23%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Neither	27%	26%	26%	27%	26%	27%	27%	27%	25%	27%	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Bad	37%	38%	32%	35%	34%	38%	35%	36%	37%	37%	35%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Very bad	12%	14%	16%	11%	13%	11%	17%	13%	13%	12%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		(2,248)	(1,477)	(2,085)	(2,082)	(1,600)	(5,291)	(4,471)	(19,254)	(2,624)	(20,742)	(3,207)	-	-	-	-	-	-
15B	Traffic flow PEAK: neighborhood streets Very good	19%	10%	15%	15%	13%	14%	12%	14%	14%	14%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	45%	38%	45%	46%	48%	43%	39%	43%	42%	43%	44%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Neither	20%	24%	21%	21%	21%	23%	25%	22%	23%	22%	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Bad	13%	21%	14%	14%	14%	15%	16%	15%	16%	15%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Very bad	4%	7%	5%	4%	4%	5%	8%	5%	5%	5%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		(2,247)	(1,494)	(2,094)	(2,099)	(1,613)	(5,324)	(4,460)	(19,331)	(2,615)	(20,967)	(3,225)	-	-	-	-	-	-

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
16A	Traffic flow OFF-PEAK: major streets	Very good	23%	20%	20%	21%	20%	19%	12%	18%	18%	17%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	52%	52%	50%	54%	51%	51%	50%	51%	53%	52%	53%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Neither	17%	19%	20%	17%	21%	20%	24%	20%	20%	21%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Bad	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	9%	12%	9%	8%	9%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Very bad	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		(2,196)	(1,453)	(2,050)	(2,044)	(1,566)	(5,149)	(4,334)	(18,792)	(2,595)	(20,243)	(3,211)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
16B	Traffic flow OFF-PEAK: neighborhood streets	Very good	46%	33%	35%	38%	34%	36%	24%	34%	33%	32%	27%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	42%	49%	46%	46%	49%	45%	49%	46%	49%	48%	51%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Neither	9%	12%	12%	10%	11%	13%	17%	13%	12%	13%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Bad	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	8%	5%	5%	6%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Very bad	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		(2,212)	(1,460)	(2,052)	(2,069)	(1,588)	(5,206)	(4,349)	(18,936)	(2,606)	(20,518)	(3,224)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17A	Work outside home?	Yes	66%	65%	71%	72%	69%	70%	57%	66%	69%	67%	69%	69%	67%	70%	66%	65%	68%
	No	34%	35%	29%	28%	31%	30%	43%	34%	31%	33%	31%	31%	33%	30%	34%	35%	32%	
		(2,301)	(1,567)	(2,143)	(2,158)	(1,639)	(5,457)	(4,579)	(19,844)	(2,692)	(21,620)	(3,187)	(5,304)	(5,234)	(4,749)	(3,640)	(3,541)	(3,686)	
17B	Commute during peak hours?	Morning	10%	9%	10%	11%	11%	9%	11%	10%	9%	10%	12%	17%	17%	18%	16%	17%	16%
	Evening	9%	8%	11%	8%	10%	12%	14%	11%	10%	11%	12%	11%	10%	10%	10%	12%	10%	
	Both	69%	68%	64%	68%	65%	66%	60%	65%	68%	65%	62%	56%	57%	56%	58%	54%	56%	
	Neither	12%	15%	15%	13%	14%	13%	15%	14%	13%	14%	15%	16%	16%	16%	16%	17%	18%	
		(1,492)	(987)	(1,498)	(1,516)	(1,111)	(3,743)	(2,542)	(12,889)	(1,828)	(14,056)	(2,173)	(3,636)	(3,509)	(3,343)	(2,391)	(2,267)	(2,485)	
17C	Usual mode of travel	Drive alone	76%	58%	69%	62%	74%	65%	82%	70%	72%	71%	72%	72%	71%	70%	69%	70%	70%
	Drive w/ others	7%	3%	9%	9%	7%	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	
	Bus or Max	7%	13%	10%	11%	9%	11%	6%	10%	10%	8%	10%	11%	10%	11%	12%	12%	12%	
	Drive partway	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	8%	10%	11%	10%	10%	11%	12%	12%	
	Walk	3%	17%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	
	Bicycle	3%	4%	6%	12%	4%	10%	1%	6%	6%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	
			(1,500)	(976)	(1,494)	(1,486)	(1,109)	(3,698)	(2,520)	(12,783)	(1,784)	(14,131)	(2,184)	(3,598)	(3,481)	(3,293)	(2,363)	(2,247)	(2,468)

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
		SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East											
17D	Alternate mode of travel	NONE	52%	44%	41%	35%	46%	40%	54%	44%	44%	46%	53%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Drive alone	8%	8%	10%	10%	8%	10%	5%	9%	11%	9%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Drive w/ others	12%	8%	13%	11%	12%	12%	17%	13%	12%	12%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Bus or Max	14%	20%	19%	20%	16%	18%	12%	17%	17%	17%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Drive partway	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Walk	5%	11%	3%	6%	3%	6%	2%	5%	5%	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Bicycle	7%	8%	11%	16%	10%	12%	6%	10%	9%	9%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-
			(1,482)	(978)	(1,461)	(1,481)	(1,090)	(3,660)	(2,436)	(12,588)	(1,784)	(13,893)	(2,186)	-	-	-	-	-	
17E	How often alternate mode used	A few times/yr	41%	30%	39%	32%	38%	35%	50%	38%	41%	42%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		More than a few times	33%	29%	28%	33%	33%	30%	23%	29%	29%	29%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Fairly frequently	19%	30%	23%	23%	22%	25%	17%	23%	21%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Almost half	8%	11%	10%	12%	7%	10%	10%	10%	9%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			(670)	(517)	(792)	(906)	(538)	(2,069)	(1,040)	(6,532)	(1,125)	(7,419)	-	-	-	-	-		
18A	Rating neighborhood housing affordability	Very good	4%	5%	8%	3%	6%	4%	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	
		Good	29%	25%	41%	25%	39%	31%	46%	35%	32%	33%	35%	39%	38%	37%	39%	41%	
		Neither	33%	29%	30%	29%	31%	30%	31%	31%	30%	30%	32%	30%	30%	30%	31%	27%	
		Bad	27%	30%	17%	31%	19%	26%	14%	23%	25%	24%	21%	20%	20%	20%	18%	19%	
		Very bad	6%	11%	5%	12%	5%	8%	3%	7%	8%	8%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	
			(2,196)	(1,489)	(2,043)	(2,087)	(1,569)	(5,175)	(4,283)	(18,842)	(2,607)	(20,552)	(3,205)	(5,085)	(5,028)	(4,555)	(3,496)		
18B	Rating neighborhood housing physical condition	Very good	21%	29%	7%	14%	9%	11%	8%	13%	15%	12%	13%	13%	12%	11%	11%	13%	
		Good	61%	50%	50%	51%	52%	54%	48%	52%	54%	52%	52%	53%	49%	52%	54%	53%	
		Neither	15%	15%	34%	27%	29%	26%	30%	26%	24%	27%	27%	25%	30%	27%	27%	26%	
		Bad	2%	4%	8%	8%	9%	8%	12%	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	9%	7%	7%	
		Very bad	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
			(2,273)	(1,546)	(2,120)	(2,136)	(1,628)	(5,393)	(4,492)	(19,588)	(2,663)	(21,312)	(3,277)	(5,241)	(5,163)	(4,710)	(3,611)		

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
18C	Rating neighborhood park closeness	Very good	36%	51%	32%	31%	23%	33%	12%	29%	30%	27%	27%	29%	27%	27%	26%	26%	27%
	Good	48%	39%	54%	53%	49%	53%	54%	51%	51%	53%	54%	53%	53%	53%	53%	54%	54%	52%
	Neither	12%	6%	10%	11%	15%	10%	22%	13%	13%	14%	14%	13%	14%	14%	16%	16%	15%	
	Bad	4%	2%	3%	4%	10%	3%	10%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	
	Very bad	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
		(2,273)	(1,560)	(2,118)	(2,143)	(1,621)	(5,438)	(4,406)	(19,559)	(2,666)	(21,223)	(3,248)	(5,222)	(5,165)	(4,666)	(3,573)	(3,448)	(3,674)	
18D	Rating neighborhood walking distance to bus/max	Very good	39%	56%	46%	54%	40%	52%	25%	43%	44%	43%	45%	48%	45%	45%	42%	44%	45%
	Good	39%	28%	44%	41%	46%	41%	55%	43%	42%	44%	42%	40%	43%	43%	45%	42%	43%	
	Neither	10%	6%	7%	4%	8%	5%	12%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%	
	Bad	8%	5%	3%	1%	5%	2%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	
	Very bad	4%	5%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
		(2,264)	(1,544)	(2,127)	(2,141)	(1,621)	(5,428)	(4,477)	(19,602)	(2,677)	(21,329)	(3,277)	(5,240)	(5,229)	(4,736)	(3,636)	(3,502)	(3,718)	
18E	Rating neighborhood access to services	Very good	22%	45%	22%	38%	26%	35%	16%	28%	28%	28%	29%	30%	28%	28%	26%	27%	29%
	Good	47%	35%	46%	44%	47%	45%	57%	47%	49%	48%	45%	46%	46%	47%	46%	47%	46%	
	Neither	21%	11%	20%	12%	17%	15%	19%	16%	15%	16%	18%	16%	18%	17%	18%	17%	16%	
	Bad	9%	6%	9%	5%	9%	4%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	7%	
	Very bad	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	
		(2,278)	(1,557)	(2,124)	(2,137)	(1,630)	(5,411)	(4,546)	(19,683)	(2,686)	(21,548)	(3,291)	(5,278)	(5,258)	(4,767)	(3,676)	(3,522)	(3,737)	
18F	Rating neighborhood on-street parking	Very good	17%	13%	22%	28%	21%	24%	11%	19%	21%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	35%	27%	48%	46%	46%	44%	45%	43%	42%	43%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Neither	24%	21%	18%	13%	17%	17%	24%	19%	18%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Bad	17%	24%	9%	9%	10%	11%	13%	13%	13%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Very bad	8%	15%	4%	3%	6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		(2,236)	(1,462)	(2,108)	(2,094)	(1,612)	(5,330)	(4,417)	(19,259)	(2,626)	(21,077)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
19A	Neighborhood street smoothness	Very good	10%	12%	10%	11%	9%	9%	10%	10%	12%	11%	9%	10%	11%	12%	12%	11%	14%
	Good	40%	40%	47%	49%	44%	45%	49%	45%	47%	48%	44%	47%	46%	46%	50%	45%	46%	
	Neither	21%	20%	21%	24%	21%	24%	26%	23%	22%	21%	23%	23%	21%	22%	20%	23%	22%	
	Bad	19%	21%	15%	12%	19%	15%	11%	15%	14%	14%	17%	14%	15%	14%	13%	15%	13%	
	Very bad	10%	7%	7%	4%	7%	7%	4%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%
			(2,287)	(1,531)	(2,137)	(2,139)	(1,632)	(5,420)	(4,564)	(19,710)	(2,675)	(21,474)	(3,307)	(5,291)	(5,266)	(4,787)	(3,688)	(3,503)	(3,676)
19B	Neighborhood street cleanliness	Very good	16%	17%	9%	11%	11%	11%	9%	12%	14%	12%	10%	12%	11%	13%	12%	12%	14%
	Good	55%	53%	48%	47%	52%	52%	45%	50%	52%	50%	52%	51%	48%	50%	53%	51%	51%	
	Neither	20%	17%	24%	21%	21%	24%	26%	23%	21%	22%	24%	23%	24%	22%	23%	23%	22%	
	Bad	6%	9%	14%	16%	12%	10%	14%	12%	10%	10%	12%	12%	11%	12%	10%	10%	10%	
	Very bad	3%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	
			(2,265)	(1,539)	(2,126)	(2,116)	(1,617)	(5,381)	(4,487)	(19,531)	(2,684)	(21,556)	(3,310)	(5,302)	(5,263)	(4,779)	(3,676)	(3,488)	(3,666)
19C	Neighborhood traffic speed	Very good	8%	9%	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%
	Good	42%	45%	40%	38%	37%	40%	36%	39%	40%	38%	39%	38%	32%	32%	32%	33%	31%	
	Neither	24%	24%	22%	25%	25%	26%	23%	24%	24%	24%	25%	25%	24%	24%	26%	25%	24%	
	Bad	19%	17%	23%	22%	24%	20%	25%	22%	21%	23%	22%	23%	26%	26%	25%	25%	26%	
	Very bad	7%	6%	9%	8%	9%	7%	12%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%	13%	12%	12%	12%	13%	
			(2,242)	(1,509)	(2,110)	(2,100)	(1,605)	(5,340)	(4,454)	(19,360)	(2,670)	(21,480)	(3,303)	(5,289)	(5,258)	(4,778)	(3,671)	(3,471)	(3,651)
19D	Neighborhood pedestrian safety	Very good	8%	12%	9%	10%	8%	10%	6%	9%	9%	8%	8%	8%	7%	8%	7%	-	-
	Good	34%	45%	49%	52%	44%	49%	39%	44%	46%	43%	42%	43%	40%	39%	41%	-	-	
	Neither	20%	19%	23%	22%	22%	23%	25%	23%	22%	24%	24%	25%	25%	26%	26%	-	-	
	Bad	24%	16%	13%	11%	18%	13%	21%	17%	16%	17%	18%	17%	18%	19%	17%	-	-	
	Very bad	14%	9%	6%	4%	7%	5%	9%	8%	8%	8%	9%	8%	10%	8%	9%	-	-	
			(2,257)	(1,538)	(2,106)	(2,112)	(1,616)	(5,369)	(4,465)	(19,463)	(2,685)	(21,425)	(3,295)	(5,282)	(5,253)	(4,746)	(3,645)	-	-
19E	Neighborhood bicyclist safety	Very good	6%	8%	7%	8%	7%	8%	6%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	-	-
	Good	31%	36%	45%	43%	38%	43%	37%	40%	40%	38%	38%	38%	38%	35%	36%	-	-	
	Neither	25%	25%	28%	26%	28%	26%	28%	27%	28%	28%	28%	29%	28%	29%	29%	-	-	
	Bad	24%	20%	14%	16%	19%	17%	20%	19%	17%	19%	19%	19%	19%	20%	20%	-	-	
	Very bad	14%	10%	6%	6%	8%	5%	9%	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	-	-	
			(2,184)	(1,421)	(2,049)	(2,036)	(1,552)	(5,143)	(4,272)	(18,657)	(2,605)	(20,788)	(3,205)	(5,102)	(5,086)	(4,603)	(3,538)	-	-

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
		SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East											
20A	Quality of parks: well-maintained grounds	Very good	29%	38%	27%	26%	21%	29%	17%	26%	26%	23%	24%	21%	21%	24%	25%	25%	24%
		Good	53%	48%	55%	58%	58%	56%	55%	55%	53%	56%	56%	56%	56%	59%	59%	58%	56%
		Neither	14%	11%	13%	12%	17%	12%	22%	15%	16%	16%	16%	18%	18%	14%	13%	13%	16%
		Bad	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%
		Very bad	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
			(2,094)	(1,485)	(2,013)	(2,035)	(1,462)	(5,087)	(3,883)	(18,059)	(2,505)	(19,933)	(3,105)	(4,912)	(4,849)	(4,374)	(3,320)	(3,206)	(3,365)
20B	Quality of parks: beauty of landscaping	Very good	24%	40%	24%	22%	13%	25%	13%	22%	23%	20%	21%	19%	20%	22%	21%	22%	22%
		Good	47%	43%	47%	49%	48%	47%	47%	47%	45%	47%	48%	47%	48%	50%	52%	50%	49%
		Neither	23%	14%	22%	22%	30%	22%	31%	24%	24%	26%	24%	28%	25%	22%	22%	23%	24%
		Bad	5%	3%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	4%	4%
		Very bad	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
			(2,088)	(1,464)	(2,013)	(2,022)	(1,454)	(5,046)	(3,829)	(17,916)	(2,502)	(19,858)	(3,091)	(4,919)	(4,861)	(4,378)	(3,326)	(3,184)	(3,347)
20C	Quality of parks: well-maintained facilities	Very good	23%	28%	16%	16%	12%	17%	12%	17%	18%	16%	16%	13%	13%	15%	16%	16%	14%
		Good	47%	44%	44%	46%	45%	47%	42%	45%	44%	44%	46%	42%	42%	44%	46%	45%	43%
		Neither	24%	21%	27%	27%	31%	27%	35%	28%	28%	30%	28%	34%	32%	31%	29%	29%	32%
		Bad	5%	5%	9%	10%	10%	7%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	10%	7%	8%	8%	8%
		Very bad	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%
			(1,986)	(1,335)	(1,847)	(1,839)	(1,304)	(4,641)	(3,523)	(16,475)	(2,324)	(18,324)	(2,860)	(4,195)	(4,110)	(3,703)	(2,746)	(2,590)	(2,741)
21A	Visits to any City park in last 12 months	Never	8%	4%	8%	6%	12%	9%	22%	11%	10%	11%	12%	11%	12%	12%	14%	14%	13%
		1 or 2	14%	11%	15%	12%	18%	15%	26%	17%	17%	18%	16%	18%	18%	18%	19%	19%	18%
		3 - 5	15%	14%	16%	14%	17%	14%	18%	15%	17%	16%	15%	16%	17%	17%	18%	18%	17%
		6 - 10	14%	14%	12%	14%	12%	12%	11%	12%	12%	13%	15%	13%	13%	15%	13%	14%	13%
		10+	49%	56%	48%	54%	42%	51%	23%	44%	43%	42%	42%	43%	40%	38%	36%	35%	39%
			(2,202)	(1,488)	(2,046)	(2,051)	(1,569)	(5,215)	(4,293)	(18,864)	(2,670)	(21,342)	(3,291)	(5,250)	(5,228)	(4,733)	(3,638)	(3,469)	(3,655)
21B	Visits to neigh. park in last 12 months	Never	12%	6%	10%	8%	17%	10%	28%	14%	14%	15%	15%	14%	14%	16%	17%	17%	16%
		1 or 2	18%	13%	19%	17%	23%	17%	26%	20%	19%	21%	18%	20%	21%	20%	22%	22%	21%
		3 - 5	14%	14%	16%	16%	14%	15%	16%	15%	16%	16%	15%	16%	16%	17%	16%	17%	16%
		6 - 10	12%	14%	13%	11%	11%	11%	10%	11%	12%	11%	13%	12%	11%	12%	12%	12%	11%
		10+	43%	54%	43%	47%	35%	46%	19%	39%	39%	38%	39%	38%	38%	35%	33%	32%	36%
			(2,207)	(1,485)	(2,050)	(2,056)	(1,571)	(5,243)	(4,323)	(18,935)	(2,644)	(21,160)	(3,225)	(5,155)	(5,154)	(4,627)	(3,587)	(3,401)	(3,574)

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
22A	Recreation: affordable	Very satis.	23%	16%	20%	26%	18%	22%	14%	20%	20%	18%	17%	17%	18%	18%	19%	16%	15%
	Satis.	47%	43%	49%	50%	45%	48%	44%	47%	46%	47%	49%	47%	48%	48%	49%	51%	50%	
	Neither	22%	30%	23%	18%	31%	24%	31%	25%	26%	26%	26%	27%	25%	25%	24%	25%	26%	
	Dissat	7%	8%	6%	5%	5%	5%	9%	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	4%	
	Very dissat	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
		(1,644)	(817)	(1,457)	(1,460)	(1,022)	(3,452)	(2,713)	(12,565)	(1,786)	(14,026)	(2,133)	(3,543)	(3,412)	(3,154)	(2,247)	(1,969)	(2,046)	
22B	Recreation: good variety	Very satis.	24%	15%	17%	23%	18%	23%	12%	19%	20%	18%	17%	16%	17%	17%	17%	17%	16%
	Satis.	51%	45%	49%	52%	47%	48%	45%	48%	48%	48%	48%	48%	48%	48%	50%	51%	49%	
	Neither	21%	31%	26%	19%	28%	24%	34%	26%	26%	27%	29%	29%	28%	28%	28%	27%	29%	
	Dissat	3%	7%	6%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	
	Very dissat	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	
		(1,624)	(810)	(1,434)	(1,429)	(1,020)	(3,423)	(2,646)	(12,386)	(1,770)	(13,915)	(2,099)	(3,465)	(3,355)	(3,093)	(2,196)	(1,917)	(1,966)	
22C	Recreation: quality of instruction, coaching, etc	Very satis.	21%	13%	14%	19%	15%	19%	12%	16%	16%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Satis.	47%	38%	44%	46%	44%	45%	39%	43%	43%	43%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Neither	29%	42%	35%	29%	36%	32%	42%	35%	36%	35%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Dissat	3%	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Very dissat	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		(1,336)	(674)	(1,203)	(1,159)	(868)	(2,793)	(2,325)	(10,358)	(1,479)	(11,691)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	How many members of your household took part in a City recreation activity in the past 12 months? (% calculated)	12 years old & under	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44%	48%	48%	64%	67%	-	-	-	-	
	13 - 18 years old	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35%	40%	42%	48%	47%	-	-	-	-	
	19 - 54 years old	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27%	31%	29%	30%	29%	-	-	-	-	
	55 and older	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	25%	25%	23%	23%	-	-	-	-	

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
24	Visits to Willamette River in last 12 months	Never	17%	15%	23%	20%	33%	24%	48%	28%	27%	29%	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1 or 2	23%	20%	22%	21%	25%	22%	28%	23%	23%	22%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	3 - 5	22%	19%	21%	22%	20%	19%	13%	18%	19%	20%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	6 - 10	13%	15%	10%	13%	10%	12%	6%	11%	12%	11%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	10+	25%	31%	23%	25%	12%	22%	6%	19%	20%	19%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		(2,215)	(1,506)	(2,045)	(2,075)	(1,578)	(5,247)	(4,374)	(19,040)	(2,671)	(21,168)	(3,278)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25A	Commercial development last 12 mo.s?	Yes	40%	69%	64%	78%	70%	67%	46%	61%	61%	57%	54%	52%	48%	49%	48%	48%	44%
	No	60%	31%	36%	22%	30%	33%	54%	39%	39%	43%	46%	48%	52%	51%	52%	52%	56%	
		(2,266)	(1,544)	(2,114)	(2,128)	(1,621)	(5,378)	(4,466)	(19,517)	(2,645)	(21,183)	(3,221)	(5,158)	(5,087)	(4,623)	(3,549)	(3,375)	(3,478)	
25B	Commercial development attractiveness	Very good	13%	19%	19%	23%	16%	15%	8%	16%	18%	20%	23%	19%	19%	18%	17%	14%	16%
	Good	39%	43%	51%	50%	46%	43%	39%	44%	48%	44%	43%	44%	46%	44%	41%	38%	41%	
	Neither	33%	24%	20%	18%	23%	27%	36%	26%	23%	24%	25%	25%	23%	26%	29%	31%	28%	
	Bad	12%	6%	7%	6%	11%	11%	11%	9%	7%	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	9%	11%	10%	
	Very bad	4%	7%	3%	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	
		(875)	(1,018)	(1,311)	(1,616)	(1,092)	(3,457)	(1,911)	(11,280)	(1,568)	(11,473)	(1,692)	(2,658)	(2,373)	(2,254)	(1,638)	(1,572)	(1,461)	
25C	Commercial development improved access to services	Very good	6%	15%	15%	19%	14%	11%	5%	12%	15%	16%	20%	15%	16%	14%	12%	12%	12%
	Good	22%	32%	42%	40%	33%	31%	31%	33%	35%	33%	32%	33%	34%	34%	31%	30%	30%	
	Neither	53%	39%	31%	32%	38%	45%	47%	41%	38%	38%	36%	39%	38%	38%	42%	40%	42%	
	Bad	13%	9%	9%	7%	9%	9%	11%	9%	8%	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%	9%	11%	10%	
	Very bad	6%	6%	4%	2%	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	6%	7%	6%	
		(830)	(989)	(1,278)	(1,544)	(1,047)	(3,264)	(1,817)	(10,769)	(1,490)	(11,002)	(1,636)	(2,542)	(2,258)	(2,151)	(1,562)	(1,467)	(1,380)	

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS									
Q#		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
		SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East										
26A	Residential development last 12 months? Yes	79%	86%	81%	77%	65%	74%	67%	74%	73%	69%	61%	58%	53%	51%	52%	59%	58%
	No	21% (2,292)	14% (1,563)	19% (2,118)	23% (2,134)	35% (1,639)	26% (5,396)	33% (4,506)	26% (19,648)	27% (2,645)	32% (21,162)	39% (3,184)	42% (5,103)	47% (5,074)	49% (4,607)	48% (3,558)	41% (2,910)	42% (2,880)
26B	Residential development attractiveness Very good	16%	23%	15%	17%	13%	11%	8%	13%	16%	15%	17%	14%	15%	16%	14%	13%	15%
	Good	41%	39%	39%	44%	37%	36%	34%	38%	38%	39%	38%	37%	40%	38%	38%	35%	37%
	Neither	25%	20%	24%	22%	27%	28%	31%	26%	24%	27%	25%	26%	26%	29%	31%	30%	32%
	Bad	12%	12%	16%	10%	15%	17%	19%	15%	14%	14%	14%	15%	13%	12%	12%	15%	11%
	Very bad	6% (1,746)	6% (1,298)	7% (1,692)	6% (1,609)	7% (1,038)	8% (3,910)	8% (2,951)	7% (14,244)	7% (1,870)	6% (13,968)	6% (1,886)	6% (3,004)	8% (2,618)	6% (2,390)	5% (1,792)	6% (1,666)	7% (1,594)
26C	Residential development neighborhood improvement Very good	12%	18%	13%	17%	11%	9%	6%	11%	15%	12%	-	12%	13%	14%	11%	10%	11%
	Good	27%	29%	34%	38%	30%	30%	26%	30%	29%	31%	-	29%	30%	30%	28%	27%	28%
	Neither	37%	31%	29%	29%	33%	35%	32%	33%	30%	33%	-	32%	34%	34%	37%	35%	37%
	Bad	16%	13%	15%	10%	15%	17%	21%	16%	17%	16%	-	17%	14%	14%	16%	17%	14%
	Very bad	8% (1,709)	9% (1,267)	9% (1,660)	5% (1,570)	11% (1,011)	9% (3,788)	16% (2,853)	10% (13,858)	10% (1,830)	9% (13,636)	-	11% (2,934)	9% (2,541)	8% (2,319)	8% (1,713)	11% (1,635)	10% (1,534)
27A	Overall neighborhood livability Very good	50%	50%	27%	41%	29%	37%	14%	33%		32%	34%	32%	32%	34%	32%	32%	34%
	Good	44%	40%	57%	48%	50%	47%	51%	48%	35% 49%	49%	49%	50%	50%	48%	52%	51%	50%
	Neither	6%	6%	13%	8%	15%	12%	24%	13%	12%	14%	13%	14%	13%	13%	12%	13%	12%
	Bad	1%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	8%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%
	Very bad	0% (2,291)	1% (1,567)	1% (2,130)	1% (2,157)	1% (1,638)	0% (5,441)	2% (4,502)	1% (19,726)	1% (2,681)	1% (21,388)	1% (3,386)	1% (5,291)	1% (5,275)	1% (4,812)	1% (3,691)	1% (3,550)	1% (3,769)
27B	Overall City livability Very good	31%	38%	29%	42%	27%	32%	12%	28%	25%	24%	25%	22%	23%	27%	23%	22%	23%
	Good	53%	48%	51%	46%	54%	50%	52%	51%	54%	52%	52%	52%	54%	52%	57%	56%	56%
	Neither	11%	10%	14%	8%	14%	12%	26%	15%	15%	17%	16%	18%	17%	16%	16%	17%	16%
	Bad	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	8%	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	Very bad	1% (2,239)	1% (1,546)	1% (2,077)	1% (2,121)	1% (1,600)	1% (5,291)	2% (4,351)	1% (19,225)	1% (2,586)	2% (20,718)	2% (3,238)	2% (5,085)	2% (5,129)	2% (4,687)	1% (3,571)	1% (3,422)	1% (3,644)

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
28	Overall local government job	Very good	8%	10%	7%	10%	7%	8%	4%	7%	9%	6%	6%	6%	7%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	Good	54%	54%	47%	55%	48%	51%	37%	48%	54%	45%	48%	43%	46%	52%	57%	53%	53%	
	Neither	28%	23%	32%	25%	33%	29%	38%	31%	27%	32%	30%	32%	32%	29%	26%	31%	30%	
	Bad	8%	9%	10%	7%	9%	9%	15%	10%	7%	12%	11%	12%	10%	7%	7%	7%	6%	
	Very bad	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	6%	4%	3%	5%	5%	7%	5%	3%	2%	2%	2%	
		(2,156)	(1,427)	(1,991)	(2,014)	(1,522)	(5,052)	(4,212)	(18,374)	(2,489)	(19,808)	(3,158)	(4,982)	(4,904)	(4,435)	(3,365)	(3,159)	(3,410)	
29A	Overall quality rating: POLICE	Very good	14%	13%	11%	10%	13%	12%	15%	13%	13%	12%	15%	16%	17%	19%	16%	17%	18%
	Good	55%	49%	54%	47%	52%	51%	52%	51%	55%	51%	48%	47%	51%	51%	55%	56%	55%	
	Neither	23%	25%	23%	27%	25%	26%	23%	24%	22%	25%	23%	23%	20%	20%	20%	19%	19%	
	Bad	6%	8%	8%	12%	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%	9%	10%	9%	8%	7%	7%	6%	6%	
	Very bad	1.9%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	
		(2,055)	(1,368)	(2,024)	(1,960)	(1,521)	(5,005)	(4,381)	(18,314)	(2,447)	(20,046)	(3,127)	(5,015)	(4,971)	(4,483)	(3,393)	(3,262)	(3,495)	
29B	Overall quality rating: FIRE	Very good	34%	33%	32%	32%	30%	33%	33%	33%	34%	32%	33%	32%	32%	34%	31%	32%	33%
	Good	57%	56%	59%	57%	61%	58%	57%	58%	56%	58%	56%	57%	58%	57%	59%	59%	58%	
	Neither	9%	10%	8%	10%	8%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	11%	10%	9%	9%	10%	9%	9%	
	Bad	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Very bad	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		(1,836)	(1,197)	(1,798)	(1,675)	(1,334)	(4,333)	(4,087)	(16,260)	(2,237)	(17,666)	(2,878)	(4,737)	(4,737)	(4,241)	(3,153)	(3,039)	(3,207)	
29C	Overall quality rating: 911	Very good	22%	22%	20%	19%	20%	21%	24%	22%	22%	23%	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Good	54%	50%	56%	53%	56%	54%	52%	54%	54%	55%	54%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Neither	20%	22%	18%	21%	19%	20%	19%	20%	20%	18%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Bad	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Very bad	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		(1,550)	(965)	(1,648)	(1,512)	(1,224)	(3,816)	(3,762)	(14,477)	(1,950)	(15,510)	(2,531)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29D	Overall quality rating: WATER	Very good	23%	25%	18%	21%	20%	19%	16%	19%	15%	12%	14%	13%	14%	15%	16%	17%	19%
	Good	53%	55%	56%	56%	55%	55%	53%	54%	54%	50%	48%	47%	46%	46%	56%	55%	54%	
	Neither	15%	15%	18%	17%	19%	18%	19%	18%	21%	23%	24%	23%	23%	22%	19%	21%	19%	
	Bad	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	9%	7%	8%	11%	10%	11%	11%	10%	6%	5%	6%	
	Very bad	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%	6%	6%	7%	3%	2%	2%	
		(2,183)	(1,438)	(2,059)	(2,029)	(1,570)	(5,197)	(4,368)	(18,844)	(2,545)	(20,238)	(3,226)	(5,020)	(4,900)	(4,412)	(3,383)	(3,346)	(3,552)	

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#	2007								Prior Year CITY TOTALS									
	Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
	SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East											
29E	Overall quality rating: Very good	30%	34%	26%	31%	23%	30%	14%	26%	25%	22%	21%	22%	21%	25%	24%	23%	22%
	PARKS																	
	Good	55%	55%	57%	56%	59%	56%	56%	56%	57%	57%	56%	57%	58%	58%	60%	60%	59%
	Neither	12%	9%	14%	10%	15%	12%	25%	15%	15%	17%	18%	17%	17%	14%	13%	15%	16%
	Bad	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Very bad	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
		(2,192)	(1,523)	(2,070)	(2,098)	(1,564)	(5,258)	(4,072)	(18,777)	(2,530)	(20,149)	(3,183)	(4,962)	(4,934)	(4,459)	(3,355)	(3,352)	(3,577)
29F	Overall quality rating: Very good	27%	19%	20%	24%	21%	23%	14%	21%	20%	18%	19%	19%	18%	21%	20%	18%	17%
	REC. ACTIVITIES																	
	Good	54%	52%	53%	56%	50%	55%	49%	53%	54%	52%	51%	53%	55%	53%	55%	56%	52%
	Neither	16%	24%	23%	18%	24%	20%	31%	23%	22%	25%	25%	25%	23%	22%	21%	22%	26%
	Bad	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
	Very bad	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
		(1,791)	(969)	(1,609)	(1,616)	(1,136)	(3,941)	(3,136)	(14,198)	(1,964)	(15,402)	(2,537)	(3,974)	(3,988)	(3,679)	(2,710)	(2,726)	(2,842)
29G	Overall quality rating: Very good	22%	20%	20%	23%	22%	20%	16%	20%	24%	23%	26%	23%	23%	25%	23%	22%	25%
	RECYCLING																	
	Good	57%	58%	57%	56%	58%	59%	58%	58%	58%	58%	56%	56%	58%	56%	58%	57%	55%
	Neither	14%	15%	15%	13%	14%	15%	20%	16%	14%	14%	14%	15%	14%	14%	14%	16%	14%
	Bad	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%
	Very bad	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
		(2,245)	(1,426)	(2,092)	(2,112)	(1,609)	(5,337)	(4,438)	(19,259)	(2,575)	(20,738)	(3,262)	(5,061)	(5,043)	(4,544)	(3,494)	(3,428)	(3,655)
29H	Overall quality rating: Very good	8%	10%	7%	8%	9%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	9%	8%	9%	9%	8%	11%	12%
	SEWERS																	
	Good	43%	43%	49%	44%	47%	44%	46%	45%	43%	40%	41%	41%	43%	42%	46%	46%	47%
	Neither	30%	29%	28%	32%	29%	30%	31%	30%	29%	31%	27%	30%	30%	30%	29%	26%	26%
	Bad	14%	15%	12%	13%	12%	15%	12%	13%	14%	15%	14%	13%	12%	13%	12%	12%	11%
	Very bad	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	6%	7%	8%	7%	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%
		(2,028)	(1,237)	(1,913)	(1,848)	(1,450)	(4,707)	(4,098)	(17,281)	(2,361)	(18,890)	(3,027)	(4,740)	(4,631)	(4,159)	(3,219)	(3,266)	(3,455)
29I	Overall quality rating: Very good	6%	7%	7%	6%	8%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	8%	9%
	STORM DRAINAGE																	
	Good	37%	37%	42%	38%	41%	38%	40%	39%	39%	36%	33%	32%	36%	36%	37%	38%	37%
	Neither	31%	29%	29%	32%	29%	31%	31%	31%	30%	31%	29%	30%	30%	30%	29%	28%	28%
	Bad	19%	21%	17%	20%	17%	20%	17%	19%	18%	20%	20%	21%	19%	19%	20%	18%	19%
	Very bad	6%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	7%	6%	7%	8%	10%	10%	8%	9%	8%	8%	7%
		(2,048)	(1,302)	(1,932)	(1,883)	(1,458)	(4,799)	(4,137)	(17,559)	(2,367)	(18,883)	(3,023)	(4,736)	(4,675)	(4,165)	(3,217)	(3,211)	(3,423)

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS									
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East												
29J	Overall quality rating: Very good	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%
	STREET MAINT.																	
	Good	30%	37%	37%	40%	35%	34%	34%	35%	38%	39%	34%	36%	37%	37%	40%	38%	40%
	Neither	31%	28%	31%	33%	29%	34%	35%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	31%	32%	32%	32%
	Bad	23%	20%	19%	17%	22%	19%	19%	20%	18%	18%	20%	18%	18%	18%	17%	17%	15%
Very bad	11%	8%	8%	4%	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	8%	7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%	
		(2,241)	(1,509)	(2,091)	(2,107)	(1,617)	(5,323)	(4,463)	(19,351)	(2,614)	(20,922)	(3,327)	(5,177)	(5,128)	(4,641)	(3,574)	(3,477)	(3,719)
29K	Overall quality rating: Very good	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	8%	9%
	STREET LIGHTING																	
	Good	48%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	51%	53%	52%	50%	51%	53%	52%	53%	53%	51%
	Neither	32%	26%	27%	28%	26%	28%	27%	28%	27%	27%	29%	28%	26%	27%	25%	27%	28%
	Bad	10%	10%	11%	9%	11%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	9%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%
Very bad	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
		(2,225)	(1,528)	(2,111)	(2,121)	(1,621)	(5,366)	(4,513)	(19,485)	(2,608)	(21,148)	(3,317)	(5,233)	(5,199)	(4,728)	(3,640)	(3,504)	(3,724)
29L	Overall quality rating: Very good	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	3%	3%
	TRAFFIC MGMT- CONGEST																	
	Good	23%	24%	24%	28%	25%	26%	24%	25%	24%	26%	25%	-	-	-	-	21%	21%
	Neither	34%	34%	34%	34%	35%	36%	34%	34%	34%	35%	36%	-	-	-	-	32%	34%
	Bad	29%	26%	26%	25%	27%	26%	27%	27%	29%	27%	24%	-	-	-	-	32%	30%
Very bad	11%	13%	13%	9%	10%	9%	12%	11%	11%	10%	11%	-	-	-	-	12%	12%	
		(2,198)	(1,479)	(2,040)	(2,059)	(1,573)	(5,236)	(4,343)	(18,928)	(2,552)	(20,479)	(3,253)	-	-	-	-	(3,373)	(3,616)
29M	Overall quality rating: Very good	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	-	-	-	-	3%	4%
	TRAFFIC MGMT- SAFETY																	
	Good	32%	35%	34%	39%	35%	35%	31%	34%	35%	34%	33%	-	-	-	-	31%	29%
	Neither	39%	39%	38%	37%	37%	38%	39%	38%	38%	39%	39%	-	-	-	-	38%	40%
	Bad	18%	16%	17%	15%	18%	17%	18%	17%	17%	18%	17%	-	-	-	-	20%	19%
Very bad	6%	6%	8%	5%	6%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	-	-	-	-	8%	8%	
		(2,164)	(1,461)	(2,013)	(2,024)	(1,553)	(5,133)	(4,225)	(18,573)	(2,505)	(20,117)	(3,178)	-	-	-	-	(3,316)	(3,550)

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS									
		Coalition							2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
		SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East	City Total									
29N	Overall quality rating: Very good HOUSING & NUISANCE INSPECTIONS	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%
	Good	27%	28%	28%	27%	22%	25%	21%	25%	28%	25%	25%	25%	25%	26%	27%	28%	27%
	Neither	52%	46%	42%	43%	48%	47%	42%	45%	46%	45%	48%	44%	45%	44%	46%	45%	48%
	Bad	12%	14%	19%	17%	17%	17%	22%	18%	14%	18%	16%	17%	17%	16%	16%	15%	14%
	Very bad	5%	6%	8%	7%	8%	8%	11%	8%	8%	9%	6%	9%	9%	9%	7%	7%	7%
		(1,245)	(823)	(1,469)	(1,321)	(1,034)	(3,228)	(3,144)	(12,264)	(1,659)	(13,489)	(2,125)	(3,556)	(3,507)	(3,176)	(2,324)	(2,085)	(2,197)
29O	Overall quality rating: Very good HOUSING DEVELOPMENT	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%
	Good	30%	34%	36%	39%	30%	29%	22%	30%	32%	30%	29%	29%	32%	33%	33%	30%	29%
	Neither	42%	39%	36%	38%	45%	45%	40%	41%	40%	43%	46%	42%	42%	42%	43%	43%	46%
	Bad	18%	16%	17%	13%	16%	17%	22%	17%	18%	17%	15%	16%	14%	13%	15%	15%	15%
	Very bad	6%	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	13%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%	6%	5%	8%	6%
		(1,821)	(1,251)	(1,849)	(1,749)	(1,296)	(4,263)	(3,643)	(15,872)	(2,158)	(17,013)	(2,576)	(4,349)	(4,178)	(3,751)	(2,871)	(2,603)	(2,754)
29P	Overall quality rating: Very good LAND USE PLANNING	7%	10%	6%	11%	7%	7%	4%	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	10%	8%	7%	8%
	Good	35%	37%	35%	42%	33%	34%	20%	32%	32%	31%	31%	30%	33%	34%	33%	31%	32%
	Neither	33%	28%	34%	31%	35%	34%	36%	34%	33%	34%	34%	34%	33%	34%	36%	36%	35%
	Bad	16%	15%	17%	10%	15%	16%	24%	17%	17%	19%	17%	18%	16%	14%	16%	16%	16%
	Very bad	9%	10%	9%	6%	10%	9%	16%	11%	10%	10%	10%	11%	10%	8%	7%	10%	9%
		(1,865)	(1,240)	(1,774)	(1,706)	(1,281)	(4,183)	(3,464)	(15,513)	(2,165)	(16,896)	(2,653)	(4,374)	(4,190)	(3,845)	(2,897)	(2,738)	(2,959)
30	What part of the City do you live in?	12%	8%	11%	11%	8%	28%	23%	100%	100%								
		(2,350)	(1,606)	(2,200)	(2,220)	(1,698)	(5,609)	(4,716)	(20,400)	(2,741)	(22,071)	(3,442)	(5,374)	(5,364)	(4,883)	(3,758)	(3,645)	(3,848)
31	What is your sex?																	
	Male	41%	44%	36%	36%	37%	38%	41%	39%	47%	39%	46%	49%	46%	47%	46%	48%	49%
	Female	59%	56%	64%	64%	63%	62%	59%	61%	53%	61%	54%	51%	54%	53%	54%	52%	51%
		(2,246)	(1,526)	(2,095)	(2,114)	(1,636)	(5,389)	(4,519)	(19,525)	(2,667)	(21,067)	(3,363)	(5,327)	(5,291)	(4,829)	(3,703)	(3,477)	(3,667)

2007 Resident Survey

December 2007

NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Total number of respondents shown in parentheses.

Q#		2007							Prior Year CITY TOTALS										
		Coalition							City Total	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	
SW	NW/Downtown	North	Inner NE	Central NE	SE	East													
32	What is your age?																		
	Under 20	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	20-29	5%	11%	10%	11%	7%	11%	9%	7%	9%	10%	9%	11%	12%	12%	11%	10%	10%	
	30-44	24%	25%	35%	36%	31%	30%	28%	30%	29%	29%	30%	30%	31%	28%	27%	31%	31%	
	45-59	38%	29%	27%	29%	31%	30%	31%	34%	33%	32%	34%	30%	30%	28%	27%	28%	28%	
	60-74	22%	26%	19%	17%	20%	18%	21%	19%	18%	17%	17%	17%	16%	18%	19%	19%	19%	
	Over 74	11%	9%	9%	7%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%	12%	11%	12%	11%	14%	16%	12%	12%	
		(2,292)	(1,571)	(2,140)	(2,163)	(1,657)	(5,466)	(4,580)	(19,869)	(2,660)	(21,517)	(3,369)	(5,321)	(5,293)	(4,821)	(3,710)	(3,466)	(3,684)	
33	How many people live 12 years old & in your household?																		
	under	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,520	1,030	8,444	927	1,570	1,617	1,560	1,056	-	1,103	
	13 - 18 years old	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,774	358	3,135	456	773	748	667	505	-	563	
	19 - 54 years old	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,420	2,891	24,479	4,036	6,522	6,428	6,091	4,246	-	4,389	
	55 and older	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,011	1,703	13,000	1,932	3,144	3,197	2,542	2,251	-	2,902	
34	Ethnicity																		
	White	92%	89%	84%	79%	86%	88%	87%	87%	86%	87%	86%	85%	86%	87%	89%	89%	90%	
	African American	1%	1%	4%	11%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	
	Asian	3%	5%	4%	2%	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	
	Native American	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	Hispanic	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	
	Other	2%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	
		(2,246)	(1,541)	(2,104)	(2,130)	(1,628)	(5,429)	(4,581)	(19,659)	(2,650)	(21,291)	(3,328)	(5,232)	(5,227)	(4,759)	(3,659)	(3,447)	(3,659)	
35	Education																		
	Elem.	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	Some HS	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	
	HS grad	3%	4%	13%	6%	10%	9%	17%	10%	11%	13%	13%	13%	15%	16%	16%	16%	16%	
	Some college	20%	21%	33%	26%	36%	30%	44%	32%	26%	30%	29%	30%	31%	31%	31%	31%	30%	
	College grad	77%	74%	51%	67%	52%	58%	35%	56%	61%	54%	55%	53%	50%	49%	48%	48%	50%	
		(2,293)	(1,566)	(2,139)	(2,162)	(1,651)	(5,479)	(4,605)	(19,895)	(2,646)	(21,463)	(3,367)	(5,300)	(5,288)	(4,811)	(3,702)	(3,476)	(3,692)	