



City of Portland
Bureau of Development Services
Land Use Services Division

1900 SW Fourth Ave. Suite 5000
Portland, Oregon 97201
Telephone: 503-823-7300
TDD: 503-823-6868
FAX: 503-823-5630
www.portlandonline.com/bds

Date: April 17, 2008
To: Interested Person
From: Paul Cathcart, Land Use Services
Paul.Cathcart@ci.portland.or.us

NOTICE OF A TYPE I DECISION ON A PROPOSAL IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

The Bureau of Development Services has approved a proposal in your neighborhood. The reasons for the decision are included in this notice. If you disagree with the decision, you can appeal it to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) at 550 Capitol St. NE, Suite 235, Salem, OR 97301. The phone number for LUBA is 1-503-373-1265. Information on how to appeal this decision is listed at the end of this notice.

CASE FILE NUMBER: LU 08-105944 LDP

GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant: RP & Associates LLC
211 NE Weidler St
Portland, OR 97232-1155

Representative: Charles Stone
RP & Associates Construction, LLC
211 NE Weidler St
Portland, OR 97232

Site Address: 5706 N MISSISSIPPI AVE
Legal Description: LOT 1 BLOCK 11, WEST PIEDMONT
Tax Account No.: R893902810
State ID No.: 1N1E15CD 06000
Quarter Section: 2429
Neighborhood: Humboldt, contact Paul Anthony at 503-367-9679.
Business District: North Portland Business Assoc, contact Steve Weir at 503-283-3883., North-Northeast Business Assoc, contact Joyce Taylor at 503-445-1321.

District Coalition: Northeast Coalition of Neighborhoods, contact Joseph Santos-Lyons at 503-823-3151.

Zoning: Residential 1,000 (R1)
Case Type: Land Division Partition (LDP)
Procedure: Type I, an administrative decision with appeal to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

Proposal:

The applicant proposes to divide the 5,004 square foot property described above into two parcels. Parcel 1 would be 2,854 square feet and would contain the existing house. Parcel 2 would be 2,150 square feet and would provide buildable area for a detached house.

Relevant Approval Criteria:

In order to be approved, this proposal must comply with Zoning Code sections 33.660.120, Approval Criteria for Land Divisions in an Open Space or Residential Zone.

This partition is reviewed through a Type I land use review because: (1) the site is in a residential zone; (2) fewer than four lots are proposed; (3) none of the lots, utilities, or services are proposed within a Potential Landslide Hazard or Flood Hazard Area, and; (4) no other concurrent land use reviews (such as an Adjustment, Design Review, or Environmental Review) are requested or required (see 33.660.110).

For purposes of State Law, this land division is considered a partition. To partition land is to divide an area or tract of land into two or three parcels within a calendar year (See ORS 92.010).

ANALYSIS

Site and Vicinity: The site contains an existing single-family house that fronts N. Mississippi Avenue. The site does not contain any trees, driveway or parking area. Surrounding development is characterized by smaller one-story homes and apartments north of N. Jessup Street and by the PCC Cascade campus to the south of N. Jessup Street.

Zoning: The R1 designation is one of the City's multi-dwelling zones which is intended to create and maintain higher density residential neighborhoods. The zone implements the comprehensive plan policies and designations for multi-dwelling housing.

Land Use History: City records indicate there are no prior relevant land use reviews for this site.

Agency and Neighborhood Review: A Notice of Proposal in your Neighborhood was mailed on February 29, 2008.

1. Agency Review: Several Bureaus and agencies have responded to this proposal. Please see Exhibits E for details. The comments are addressed under the appropriate criteria for review of the proposal.

2. Neighborhood Review: No written responses have been received from either the Neighborhood Association or notified property owners in response to the proposal.

APPROVAL CRITERIA-**APPROVAL CRITERIA FOR LAND DIVISIONS IN OPEN SPACE AND RESIDENTIAL ZONES**

33.660.120 The Preliminary Plan for a land division will be approved if the review body finds that the applicant has shown that all of the following approval criteria have been met.

The relevant criteria are found in Section **33.660.120 [A-L], Approval Criteria for Land Divisions in Open Space and Residential Zones**. Due to the specific location of this site, and the nature of the proposal, some of the criteria are not applicable. The following table summarizes the applicability of each criterion.

Criterion	Code Chapter	Topic	Applicability Findings
A	33.612	Lots	Applicable - See findings below
B	33.630	Trees	Applicable - See findings below.
C	33.631	Flood Hazard Area	Not applicable - The site is not within the flood hazard area.

Criterion	Code Chapter	Topic	Applicability Findings
D	33.632	Potential Landslide Hazard Area	Not applicable - The site is not within the potential landslide hazard area.
E	33.633	Phased Land Division or Staged Final Plat	Not applicable - A phased land division or staged final plat has not been proposed.
F	33.634	Recreation Area	Not applicable. This is not required when the minimum density for the site is less than 40 units.
G	33.635 .100	Clearing and Grading	Applicable - See findings below.
G	33.635 .200	Land Suitability	Applicable - See findings below.
H	33.636	Tracts and Easements	Applicable - See findings below.
I	33.639	Solar Access	Not Applicable - Maintaining existing development on the site limits new parcel configuration (33.612.200 supercedes 33.639).
J	33.640	Streams, Springs, and Seeps	Not applicable - No streams, springs, or seeps are evident on the site.
K	33.641	Transportation Impacts	Applicable - See findings below
L	33.651 - 33.654	Services and Utilities	Applicable - See findings below

Applicable Approval Criteria are:

A. Lots. The standards and approval criteria of Chapters 33.605 through 33.612 must be met.

Findings: Chapter 33.612 contains the lot standards applicable in the Multi-dwelling zones. These density and lot dimension standards ensure that lots are consistent with the desired character of each zone while allowing lots to vary in size and shape provided the planned intensity of each zone is respected.

Density Standards

Density standards match housing density with the availability of services and with the carrying capacity of the land in order to promote efficient use of land, and maximize the benefits to the public from investment in infrastructure and services. These standards promote development opportunities for housing and promote urban densities in less developed areas. Maximum densities ensure that the number of lots created does not exceed the intensity planned for the area, given the base zone, overlay zone, and plan district regulations. Minimum densities ensure that enough dwelling units can be developed to accommodate the projected need for housing.

When single-dwelling or duplex development is proposed for some or all of the site, the applicant must show how the proposed lots can meet minimum density and not exceed the maximum density stated in Table 120-3. When development other than single-dwelling or duplex development is proposed, minimum and maximum density must be met at the time of development.

The total site area shown on the applicant's survey is 5,004 square feet. Site area devoted to streets is subtracted from the total site area in order to calculate the minimum and

maximum density. No new streets or public street dedications are proposed with this land division.

In this case, Parcel 1 is proposed for duplex development. Therefore, the density requirements for this site are calculated as follows:

Minimum = 5,004 (site area) ÷ 2,000 (minimum density from Table 120-3) = 2.5 (which rounds up to a minimum of 3 units, per 33.930.020.A).

Maximum = 5,004 (site area) ÷ 1,000 (maximum density from Table 120-3) = 5.

Because Parcels 1 and 2 will each be developed with one dwelling unit, the remainder of the minimum density for the site as a whole must be met on Parcel 1 at the time of redevelopment of this parcel. Because the proposed area of Parcels 1 and 2 would only trigger a minimum density requirement of 3 according to Table 120-3, a condition is needed to ensure that the density requirements of the land division site will be met on Parcel 1.

Lot Dimensions

The lot dimensional standards ensure that: (1) Each lot has enough room for development that meets all the requirements of the zoning code; (2) Lots are an appropriate size and shape so that development on each lot can be oriented toward the street as much as possible; (3) The multi-dwelling zones can be developed to full potential; and (4) Housing goals for the City are met.

The dimensions of the proposed lots as compared to the required lot dimension standards is shown in the following table (this information is found in Chapter 33.612 of the Zoning Code):

	R1 Zone Requirement	Proposed Lot 1	Proposed Lot 2
Lots for Attached or Detached Houses			
Minimum Lot Area	none		2,150 sq. ft.
Minimum Lot Width*	none		43 ft.
Minimum Lot Depth	none		50 ft.
Minimum Front Lot Line	10 ft.		43 ft.
Lots for Duplexes			
Minimum Lot Area	none	2,854 sq. ft.	
Minimum Lot Width*	none	50 ft.	
Minimum Lot Depth	none	57.08 ft.	
Minimum Front Lot Line	10 ft.	57 ft.	

*Width is measured from the midpoints of the side lot lines.

The findings above describe how the applicable lot standards are met. With the conditions of approval described above, this criterion is therefore met.

G. Clearing, Grading and Land Suitability. The approval criteria of Chapter 33.635, Clearing, Grading and Land Suitability must be met.

The approval criteria of Chapter 33.635 are found in two groups – clearing and grading, and land suitability.

33.635.100 – Clearing and Grading

- A. Existing contours and drainage patterns of the site must be left intact wherever practicable. Where alteration to existing drainage patterns is proposed, it must not adversely impact adjacent properties by significantly increasing volume of runoff or erosion;**
- B. Clearing and grading should be sufficient for construction of development shown on the Preliminary Clearing and Grading Plan;**

- C. Clearing and grading should be limited to areas of the site that are reasonably necessary for construction of development shown on the Preliminary Clearing and Grading Plan;**
- D. Topsoil must be preserved on site to the extent practicable for use on the site after grading is complete; and**
- E. Soil stockpiles must be kept on the site and located in areas designated for clearing and grading as much as is practicable.**

Findings: The regulations of Chapter 33.635 ensure that the proposed clearing and grading is reasonable given the infrastructure needs, site conditions, tree preservation requirements, and limit the impacts of erosion and sedimentation to help protect water quality and aquatic habitat.

In this case the site is primarily flat, and is not located within the Potential Landslide Hazard Area. Therefore, no significant clearing or grading will be required on the site to make the new lots developable. In addition, there are no trees required to be preserved in the areas where new development on the site is anticipated. This criteria is met.

33.635.200 – Land Suitability

Where geologic conditions or historic uses of the site indicate a hazard may exist, the applicant must show that the proposed land division will result in lots that are suitable for development. The applicant may be required to make specific improvements in order to make the lots suitable for their intended uses and the provision of services and utilities.

The site is currently in residential use, and there is no record of any other use in the past. As indicated above, the site is relatively flat and contains no known geological hazards. Therefore, there are no anticipated land suitability issues and the new lots can be considered suitable for new development. This criterion is met.

- H. Tracts and easements. The standards of Chapter 33.636, Tracts and Easements must be met;**

33.636.100 Requirements for Tracts and Easements

- A. Ownership of tracts. Tracts must be owned as follows unless otherwise specified in this Title or the land use decision:**

- 1. The owners of property served by the tract, or by any other individual or group of people. When the tract is owned by more than one person it must be held in common with an undivided interest;**
- 2. The Homeowners' Association for the area served by the tract;**
- 3. A public or private non-profit organization; or**
- 4. The City or other jurisdiction.**

Findings: No tracts are proposed or required for this land division, so criterion A does not apply.

- B. Maintenance agreement. The applicant must record with the County Recorder a maintenance agreement that commits the owners or owners' designee to maintain all elements of the tract or easement; however, facilities within the tract or easement that will be maintained by a specified City agency may be recorded in a separate maintenance agreement. The maintenance agreement must be approved by BDS and the City Attorney in advance of Final Plat approval and must be submitted to the County Recorder to be recorded with the Final Plat. For a Planned Development not done in conjunction with a land division, the maintenance agreement must be submitted to the County**

Recorder to be recorded prior to issuance of the first building permit related to the development.

Findings: The following easements are proposed and/or required for this land division:

- A Private Sanitary Sewer Easement is required across the relevant portions of Parcel 1, for a sanitary sewer lateral connection that will serve Parcel 2.

As stated in Section 33.636.100 of the Zoning Code, a maintenance agreement(s) will be required describing maintenance responsibilities for the easements described above and facilities within those areas. This criterion can be met with the condition that a maintenance agreement(s) is prepared and recorded with the final plat. In addition, the plat must reference the recorded maintenance agreement(s) with a recording block for each agreement, substantially similar to the following example:

“A Declaration of Maintenance agreement for private sanitary sewer easement has been recorded as document no. _____, Multnomah County Deed Records.”

With the conditions of approval discussed above, this criterion is met.

K. Transportation impacts. The approval criteria of Chapter 33.641, Transportation Impacts, must be met; and,

The relevant approval criteria of Chapter 33.641 are found in the two paragraphs below.

33.641.020. The transportation system must be capable of safely supporting the proposed development in addition to the existing uses in the area. Evaluation factors include: street capacity and level-of-service; vehicle access and loading; on-street parking impacts; the availability of transit service and facilities and connections to transit; impacts on the immediate and adjacent neighborhoods; and safety for all modes.

33.641.030. The applicant may meet the criterion in Section 33.641.020, above, by including mitigation measures as part of the land division proposal. Mitigation measures must be acceptable to the City Engineer and may include providing transportation demand management measures, an access management plan, constructing streets or bicycle, pedestrian, or transit facilities on or off the site or other capital improvement projects such as traffic calming devices.

Findings: The regulations of Chapter 33.641 allow the traffic impacts caused by dividing and then developing land to be identified, evaluated, and mitigated for if necessary. Small land divisions involving only a few dwelling units may not require a formal transportation impact study, while it might be required for larger projects (Title 17 includes technical standards describing when a more formal study is required).

The site has approximately 50 feet of frontage on N. Mississippi Avenue and 100 feet of frontage on N. Jessup Street. Both N. Mississippi Avenue and N. Jessup Street are classified as a local service street within a Pedestrian District for all modes in the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan. Tri-Met provides frequent transit service approximately 100 feet from the site on N. Albina via bus #4. Parking is currently allowed on both N. Mississippi Avenue and N. Jessup Street, on both sides. There are no existing off-street parking spaces on the site.

N. Mississippi Avenue and N. Jessup Street are fully improved with a paved roadway, curbs, planting strips, and sidewalks. In reviewing this land division, Portland Transportation relies on accepted civil and traffic engineering standards and specifications to determine if existing street improvements for motor vehicles, pedestrians and bicyclists can safely and efficiently serve the proposed new development. Portland Transportation has not identified or been made aware of any factors related to this proposal that lead to a

conclusion other than that two additional dwelling units can be safely served by this existing street without having any significant impact on the level of service provided.

This criterion is met.

L. Services and utilities. The regulations and criteria of Chapters 33.651 through 33.654, which address services and utilities, must be met.

Findings: Chapters 33.651 through 33.654 address water service standards, sanitary sewer disposal standards, stormwater management, utilities and rights of way.

- Parcel 1 has an existing water service from the water main in N. Mississippi Avenue. There is no existing water service on N. Jessup Street. The nearest water service for Parcel 2 is provided by the Portland Water Bureau in N. Mississippi Avenue. The applicant must make arrangements to extend a new water main in N. Jessup Street to ensure service is available to Parcel 2. In order to meet the standards of 33.651 and the technical requirements of Title 21, appropriate plans and assurances must be provided to the Portland Water Bureau prior to final plat approval. See Exhibit E-3 for more details.
- The sanitary sewer standards of 33.652 have been verified. There is an existing 12-inch public combination sewer located in N. Mississippi Avenue that can serve the sanitary needs of the proposed lots. There are no City plumbing records to indicate the location of the sanitary sewer lateral that serves the existing house on Parcel 1. Prior to final plat approval, the applicant will need to follow the requirements of Site Development to have a video inspection of the sanitary lateral that serves this house, the location of this lateral shown on the supplemental plan that accompanies the final plat, and the location of the lateral shown on the site. Sanitary sewer service to Parcel 2 will need to be obtained from the main in N. Mississippi Avenue through an easement over Parcel 1. A Maintenance Agreement for the easement must be submitted for approval by BDS and the City Attorney and must be recorded with the Final Plat. See Exhibit E-1 for more details.
- The technical standards of Chapter 33.653 related to stormwater management have been verified. The findings below for the Stormwater Management Approval Criteria of 33.653.020 incorporate a discussion of how the technical standards have been satisfied by the applicant's stormwater proposal.

33.653.020 Stormwater Management Approval Criteria

- A. If a stormwater tract is proposed or required, an adequate amount of land and an appropriate location must be designated on the Preliminary Plan; and**
- B. The application must show that a stormwater management system can be designed that will provide adequate capacity for the expected amount of stormwater.**

Findings: No stormwater tract is proposed or required. Therefore, criterion A is not applicable.

The City of Portland requires that stormwater from development be cleaned and disposed of in a manner that meets the requirements of the City's Stormwater Management Manual. In order to meet this approval criterion, land division proposals must demonstrate an approved method of cleaning (water quality treatment), detention (delayed release), and an approved disposal point.

The Stormwater Management Manual contains a hierarchy of acceptable methods of stormwater treatment and disposal. The hierarchy requires that applicants first explore the

use of methods that have a lower potential impact on groundwater, such as on-site surface infiltration swales and infiltration planters. If these methods are not feasible on a site, applicants may move lower on the hierarchy, to methods that inject water deeper into the ground through mechanical devices such as drywells or sumps, or carry it off of the site into storm sewers, drainageways, or other approved disposal points.

In addition to determining appropriate treatment and disposal methods by working through the hierarchy in the Stormwater Management Manual, stormwater facilities must be sized, through engineering calculations, to accommodate the expected amounts of stormwater. In some cases, sizing a stormwater facility necessitates testing the infiltration rate of the soil at the site.

The applicant has proposed the following stormwater management methods (Exhibit C.1), and the Bureaus have responded as follows (Exhibits E-1 and E-5):

- Parcel 2:** Stormwater from this lot will be directed to an individual soakage trench that will treat the water and slowly infiltrate it into the ground. This lot has sufficient area for a stormwater facility that can be adequately sized and located to meet setback standards, and accommodate water from a reasonably-sized home. Site Development has indicated conceptual approval of the soakage trench. To ensure that the size and setback requirements of the Stormwater Management Manual can be met, specific information regarding the existing building locations (on adjoining lots), future building footprints and the location for stormwater facilities shall be provided on the supplemental site plan prior to final plat approval by BES.
- Parcel 1 (the lot with the existing house):** The existing house has downspouts that drain onto the ground. Prior to final plat approval, splash blocks must be installed and inspected by Site Development. All downspouts that are closer than 5-feet to the property line must be relocated. The applicant is required to finalize plumbing permits prior to final plat approval for modifications to the stormwater disposal system on the existing house that will result in properly functioning gutters, downspouts, and disposal points, located outside of required setbacks.

With the conditions of approval described above, the stormwater management criteria are met. As shown by the findings above, the Services and Utilities criteria are met.

Right of Way Approval Criteria

Chapter 33.654 contains standards and approval criteria for rights of way. Due to the location of this site, and the type of street that is proposed, some of the criteria are not applicable. The following table summarizes the applicability of each criterion.

Code Section	Topic	Applicability Findings
33.654.110.B.1	Through streets and pedestrian connections	Applicable - See findings below
33.654.110.B.2	Dead end streets	Not applicable - No dead end streets are proposed.
33.654.110.B.3	Pedestrian connections in the I zones	Not applicable - The site is not located within an I zone.
33.654.110.B.4	Alleys in all zones	Not applicable - No alleys are proposed or required.
33.654.120.C.1	Width of the street right-of-way	Not applicable - The proposal does not include a street.
33.654.120.C.3.c	Turnarounds	Not applicable - No turnarounds are proposed or required.

Code Section	Topic	Applicability Findings
33.654.120.D	Common Greens	Not applicable – No common greens are proposed or required.
33.654.120.E	Pedestrian Connections	Not applicable – There are no pedestrian connections proposed or required.
33.654.120.F	Alleys	Not applicable – No alleys are proposed or required.
33.654.120.G	Shared Courts	Not applicable – No shared courts are proposed or required.
33.654.130.A	Utilities	Applicable - See findings below.
33.654.130.B	Extension of existing public dead-end streets and pedestrian connections	Not applicable – There are no existing public dead-end street or pedestrian connections adjacent to the site.
33.654.130.C	Future extension of proposed dead-end streets and pedestrian connections	Not applicable – No street extensions are required to serve abutting sites that are further dividable.
33.654.130.D	Partial rights-of-way	Not applicable – No partial public streets are proposed or required.

Applicable Approval Criteria are:

33.654.110.B.1 Approval criterion for through streets and pedestrian connections in OS, R, C, and E Zones. In OS, R, C, and E zones, through streets and pedestrian connections are required where appropriate and practicable, taking the following into consideration:

- a. **Through streets should generally be provided no more than 530 feet apart, and pedestrian connections should generally be provided no more than 330 feet apart. Through street and pedestrian connections should generally be at least 200 feet apart;**
- b. **Where the street pattern in the area immediately surrounding the site meets the spacing of subparagraph a., above, the existing street pattern should be extended onto the site;**
- c. **Characteristics of the site, adjacent sites, and vicinity, such as: (1) Terrain; (2) Whether adjacent sites may be further divided; (3) The location of existing streets and pedestrian connections; (4) Whether narrow frontages will constrain creation of a through street or pedestrian connection; (5) Whether environmental overlay zones interrupt the expected path of a through street or pedestrian connection; and (6) Whether existing dwelling units on- or off-site obstruct the expected path of a through street or pedestrian connection. Alternative locations or designs of rights-of-way should be considered that avoid existing dwelling units. However, provision of through streets or pedestrian connections should take precedence over protection of existing dwelling units where the surrounding transportation system will be significantly affected if a new through street or pedestrian connection is not created;**
- d. **Master street plans for the area identified in Goal 11B of the Comprehensive Plan;**
- e. **Pedestrian connections should take the most direct route practicable. Users should be able to see the ending of the connection from the entrance point, if possible.**

Findings: The site is located on the northeast corner of N. Mississippi Avenue and N. Jessup Street, therefore, there is no practicable opportunity to provide a through connection at this site.

In addition, the site is not within an area that has an adopted Master Street Plan, so criterion d. does not apply.

For the reasons described above, this criterion is met.

Utility Location, Extension of Streets, Partial Rights of Way

33.654.130 Additional Approval Criteria for Rights-of-Way

A. Utilities. Utilities must be located within rights-of-way or utility easements that are adjacent to rights-of-way to the maximum extent practicable. Utility easements up to 15 feet in width may be required adjacent to rights-of-way.

Findings: N. Mississippi Avenue has utilities located within its right-of-way that can serve the existing development on Parcel 1. Sanitary sewer service will be provided to Parcel 2 through an easement over Parcel 1. The applicant will be required to extend a water main within the N. Jessup Street right-of-way to serve Parcel 2. At this time no specific utility easements adjacent to the right-of-way have been identified as being necessary. Therefore, this criterion is met.

With the findings noted above, the Right of Way criteria are met. As shown by the findings above, the Services and Utilities criteria are met.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

General Information about Development Standards and Approval Criteria. The Zoning Code contains two types of regulations: Development standards and Approval criteria.

Approval criteria, such as those listed earlier in this report, are administered through a land use review process. Approval criteria are regulations where the decision-maker must exercise discretion to determine if the regulation is met. Public notice is provided and public comments received that address the approval criteria are addressed in the decision.

Development Standards: Development standards are clear and objective regulations (for example: building setbacks; number of required parking spaces; and maximum floor area). Compliance with development standards is reviewed as part of the administrative permitting process and are not considered to be discretionary reviews. Development standards that are not relevant to the land division review, have not been addressed in the review, but will have to be met at the time that each of the proposed lots is developed.

(If lots for detached houses are proposed that are at least 25 feet wide in the multi-dwelling zones). Section 33.120.270.D of the Zoning Code allows reduced side setbacks (3-feet from property lines) for detached houses in the multi-dwelling zones on lots that are at least 25 feet wide. This allowance only applies to the setbacks that are interior to the site. The setbacks around the perimeter of the land division site are that of the base zone. This proposal is eligible to use these provisions. **To take advantage of this allowance the reduced side setbacks must be shown on a supplemental survey for the land division at the time of final plat approval.**

Existing development that will remain after the land division. The existing development on the site will remain and be located on Parcel 1. The division of the property may not cause the structures to move out of conformance or further out of conformance to any development standard applicable in the R1 zone (Please see section on Other Technical Standards for Building Code standards.)

In this case, there is one Zoning Code standard that relate to existing development on the site:

- **Minimum Setbacks** – The existing house identified to remain on the site must meet the required Zoning Code setbacks from the proposed new lot lines. Alternatively, existing buildings must be set back from the new lot lines in conformance with an approved Adjustment or other Land Use Review decision that specifically approves alternative setbacks. The existing house will be 6 feet from the new property line. Therefore, the required setbacks are being met. To ensure this standard continues to be met at the final plat stage, the final plat must be accompanied by a supplemental survey showing the location of the existing building relative to the adjacent new lot lines.

With the conditions noted above, this land division proposal can meet the requirements of 33.700.015.

OTHER TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

Technical decisions have been made as part of this review process. These decisions have been made based on other City Titles, adopted technical manuals, and the technical expertise of appropriate service agencies. These related technical decisions are not considered land use actions. If future technical decisions result in changes that bring the project out of conformance with this land use decision, a new land use review may be required. The following is a summary of technical service standards applicable to this preliminary partition proposal.

Bureau	Code Authority	Topic	Contact Information
Water Works	Title 21	Water availability	503-823-7404 http://www.water.ci.portland.or.us/
Environmental Services	Title 17; 2002 Stormwater Manual	Sewer availability Stormwater Management	503-823-7740 http://www.bes.ci.portland.or.us/
Fire Bureau	Title 31 Policy B-1	Emergency Access	503-823-3700 http://www.fire.ci.portland.or.us/
Transportation	Title 17, Transportation System Plan	Design of public street	503-823-5185 http://www.trans.ci.portland.or.us/
Development Services	Titles 24 –27, Admin Rules for Private Rights of Way	Building Code, Erosion Control, Flood plain, Site Development & Private Streets	503-823-7300 http://www.bds.ci.portland.or.us.

As authorized in Section 33.800.070 of the Zoning Code conditions of approval related to these technical standards have been included in the Administrative Decision on this proposal.

- The applicant must meet the requirements of the Fire Bureau in regards to providing aerial fire department access roads if required. These requirements are based on the technical standards of Title 31 and Fire Bureau Policy B-1.

CONCLUSIONS

The applicant has proposed a 2-lot partition, as shown on the attached preliminary plan (Exhibit C.1). As discussed in this report, the relevant standards and approval criteria have

been met, or can be met with conditions. The primary issues identified with this proposal are:

- Water main extension in N Jessup Street

With conditions of approval that address these requirements this proposal can be approved.

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

Approval of a Preliminary Plan for a 2-lot partition, that will result in 1 lot for detached housing (Parcel 2) and 1 lot for a duplex (Parcel 1) as illustrated with Exhibit C.1, subject to the following conditions:

A. Supplemental Plan. Three copies of an additional supplemental plan shall be submitted with the final plat survey. That plan must portray how the conditions of approval listed below are met. In addition, the supplemental plan must show the surveyed location of the following:

- Any buildings or accessory structures on the site at the time of the final plat application;
- Any driveways and off-street vehicle parking areas on the site at the time of the final plat application;
- The proposed interior side setbacks for all of the lots if the reduced setback provisions of Section 33.120.270.D.1 are intended to be used.
- The location of existing buildings on adjacent lots and proposed general location of future building footprints and stormwater facilities for each of the vacant lots.
- Any other information specifically noted in the conditions listed below.

B. The final plat must show the following:

1. A private sanitary sewer easement, for the benefit of Parcel 2, shall be shown and labeled over the relevant portions of Parcel 1.
2. A recording block for the maintenance agreement as required by Condition C.3 below. The recording block(s) shall, at a minimum, include language substantially similar to the following example: "A Declaration of Maintenance Agreement for private sanitary sewer easement has been recorded as document no. _____, Multnomah County Deed Records."

C. The following must occur prior to Final Plat approval:

Utilities

1. The applicant shall meet the requirements of the Water Bureau for providing plans and financial assurances for the water main extension in N. Jessup Street.
2. The applicant shall meet the requirements of the Site Development Section of the Bureau of Development Services for conducting a video inspection of the sanitary sewer lateral that serves Parcel 1 and providing the location of this lateral on the supplemental plan (during final plat review) and at the site.

Existing Development

3. The applicant must meet the requirements of Site Development for the stormwater systems on the existing house to remain on Parcel 1. Specifically, the gutters and downspouts must function properly, and they must direct water to an approved disposal point that meets setback requirements from the new lot lines. If modifications to the system are required by Site Development, the applicant must obtain finalized plumbing permits for this work prior to final plat approval.

Required Legal Documents

4. A Maintenance Agreement shall be executed for the Private Sewer Management Easement area described in Condition B.2 above. The agreement shall include provisions assigning maintenance responsibilities for the easement area and any shared facilities within that area, consistent with the purpose of the easement, and all applicable City Code standards. The agreement must be reviewed by the City Attorney and the Bureau of Development Services, and approved as to form, prior to final plat approval.

D. The following conditions are applicable to site preparation and the development of individual lots:

1. The minimum and maximum density for the lots in this land division are as follows:

Lot	Minimum Density	Minimum Density
1	2	3
2	1	2

2. The applicant must provide a fire accessway to the satisfaction of the Fire Bureau or the height of the new structures shall be limited to 30 feet, measured to the gutter line.

Decision rendered by: Paul Cathcart **on April 15, 2008**
By authority of the Director of the Bureau of Development Services

Decision mailed April 17, 2008

Staff Planner: Paul Cathcart

About this Decision. This land use decision is **not a permit** for development. A Final Plat must be completed and recorded before the proposed lots can be sold or developed. Permits may be required prior to any work. Contact the Development Services Center at 503-823-7310 for information about permits.

Procedural Information. The application for this land use review was submitted on January 30, 2008, and was determined to be complete on February 25, 2008.

Zoning Code Section 33.700.080 states that Land Use Review applications are reviewed under the regulations in effect at the time the application was submitted, provided that the application is complete at the time of submittal, or complete within 180 days. Therefore this application was reviewed against the Zoning Code in effect on January 30, 2008.

ORS 227.178 states the City must issue a final decision on Land Use Review applications within 120-days of the application being deemed complete. The 120-day review period may be waived or extended at the request of the applicant. In this case, the applicant did not waive or extend the 120-day review period.

Some of the information contained in this report was provided by the applicant.

As required by Section 33.800.060 of the Portland Zoning Code, the burden of proof is on the applicant to show that the approval criteria are met. The Bureau of Development Services has independently reviewed the information submitted by the applicant and has included this information only where the Bureau of Development Services has determined the information satisfactorily demonstrates compliance with the applicable approval criteria. This report is the decision of the Bureau of Development Services with input from other City and public agencies.

Conditions of Approval. If approved, this project may be subject to a number of specific conditions, listed above. Compliance with the applicable conditions of approval must be

documented in all related permit applications. Plans and drawings submitted during the permitting process must illustrate how applicable conditions of approval are met. Any project elements that are specifically required by conditions of approval must be shown on the plans, and labeled as such.

These conditions of approval run with the land, unless modified by future land use reviews. As used in the conditions, the term "applicant" includes the applicant for this land use review, any person undertaking development pursuant to this land use review, the proprietor of the use or development approved by this land use review, and the current owner and future owners of the property subject to this land use review.

This decision, and any conditions associated with it, is final. It may be appealed to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA), within 21 days of the date the decision is mailed, as specified in the Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 197.830. Among other things, ORS 197.830 requires that a petitioner at LUBA must have submitted written testimony during the comment period for this land use review. You may call LUBA at 1-503-373-1265 for further information on filing an appeal.

The file and all evidence on this case is available for your review by appointment. Please contact the receptionist at 503-823-7967 to schedule an appointment. Copies of all information in the file can be obtained for a fee equal to the cost for such services. You may also find additional information about the City of Portland and City Bureaus, as well as a digital copy of the Portland Zoning Code, by visiting the City's homepage on the Internet at www.portlandonline.com.

Recording the land division. The final land division plat **must** be submitted to the City **within three years** of the date of the City's final approval of the preliminary plan. This final plat must be recorded with the County Recorder and Assessors Office after it is signed by the Planning Director or delegate, the City Engineer, and the City Land Use Hearings Officer, and approved by the County Surveyor. **The approved preliminary plan will expire unless a final plat is submitted within three years of the date of the City's approval of the preliminary plan.**


EXHIBITS

NOT ATTACHED UNLESS INDICATED

- A. Applicant's Submittals
 - 1. Land Division Approval Criteria response findings
 - 2. Statement of Action RP & Associates, LLC
 - 3. E-mail from Charles Stone to Paul Cathcart dated February 23, 2008 regarding the designation of density
 - 4. E-mail from Charles Stone to Paul Cathcart dated February 23, 2008 regarding the east wall building plane of the existing house
- B. Zoning Map (attached)
- C. Plans/Drawings:
 - 1. Site Plan (attached)
 - 2. Site Plan (large format)
- D. Notification information:
 - 1. Mailing list
 - 2. Mailed notice
- E. Agency Responses:
 - 1. Bureau of Environmental Services
 - 2. Bureau of Transportation Engineering and Development Review
 - 3. Water Bureau
 - 4. Fire Bureau
 - 5. Site Development Review Section of Bureau of Development Services
 - 6. Bureau of Parks, Forestry Division

- 7. Life Safety Section of Bureau of Development Services
- F. Correspondence: None received
- G. Other:
 - 1. Original LU Application
 - 2. Site History Research
 - 3. Incomplete Letter

The Bureau of Development Services is committed to providing equal access to information and hearings. If you need special accommodations, please call 503-823-0625 (TTY 503-823-6868).



ZONING



Site



NORTH

File No. LU 08-105944 LDP
 1/4 Section 2429
 Scale 1 inch = 200 feet
 State_Id 1N1E15CD 6000
 Exhibit B (Feb 04,2008)

LV08-105944 LDP

SITE PLAN


LOT 1, BLOCK 11, "WEST PIEDMONT",
SITUATED IN THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 15, T.1N., R.1E., W.M.,
IN THE CITY OF PORTLAND, MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON
DATE: JANUARY 22, 2008

REGISTERED
PROFESSIONAL
LAND SURVEYOR



OREGON
JULY 25, 1960
JOE H. FERGUSON
2119
RENEWAL DATE 12/31/09

NOTES:

- ZONE IS R1.
- THERE ARE NO TREES ON SITE.
- SOAKAGE TRENCH AND OTHER UTILITIES TO BE LOCATED AT THE TIME OF BUILDING PERMITS.
- DOWNSPOUTS ON EXISTING HOUSE WILL GO TO SPLASH BLOCKS.
- UTILITIES ARE DRAWN FROM MAPPING PROVIDED BY CITY.
- DIMENSIONS AND AREAS MAY VARY SLIGHTLY UPON FINAL BOUNDARY RESOLUTION.
- DISTANCES SHOWN FOR EXISTING HOUSE ON PARCEL 1 ARE PERPENDICULAR TO THE PROPOSED PARCEL LINES FROM THE FOUNDATION CORNERS.
- ELEVATION CHANGE IS LESS THAN 1.00 FOOT.



"WEST PIEDMONT"
Block 12




SYMBOLS:

- ⊕ = POWER POLE
- ⊗ = MANHOLE
- W = WATER METER
- G = GAS METER
- EL = ELECTRIC METER
- WV = WATER VALVE
- ⊙ = FIRE INDRANT
- ⊕ = DOWN SPOUTS
- W = WATER LINE
- S = SANITARY SEWER
- PW = PROPOSED WATER LINE
- PS = PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER

LEGEND:

- = INDICATES MONUMENT FOUND AS NOTED
- = INDICATES 5/8" X 3/4" IRON ROD W/ RED PLASTIC CAP STAMPED "FERGUSON LS 2445" SET MONTH XX, 2007
- ⊗ = INDICATES BRASS SCREW FOUND AS NOTED

VICINITY MAP
NO SCALE



CASE NO. LV08-105944 LDP
EXHIBIT C.1

CLIENT: RP & ASSOC.
5706 N. MISSISSIPPI ST.
PORTLAND, OR 97217

Ferguson Land Surveying, Inc.
646 SE 106TH AVE., PORTLAND, OR 97216
Phone (503) 408-0601 Fax (503) 408-0602

SHEET NO. 1 OF 1
JOB NO. 08-002 SITE
DRAFTED: 1/22/08 MY