



City of Portland, Oregon
Bureau of Development Services
Land Use Services

FROM CONCEPT TO CONSTRUCTION

Amanda Fritz, Commissioner
Paul L. Scarlett, Director
Phone: (503) 823-7300
Fax: (503) 823-5630
TTY: (503) 823-6868
www.portlandoregon.gov/bds

Date: February 3, 2014
To: Interested Person
From: Dave Skilton, Land Use Services 503-823-0660
dave.skilton@portlandoregon.gov

NOTICE OF A TYPE I DECISION ON A PROPOSAL IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

The Bureau of Development Services has **approved** a proposal in your neighborhood. The reasons for the decision are included in the version located on the BDS website <http://www.portlandonline.com/bds/index.cfm?c=46429>. Click on the District Coalition then scroll to the relevant Neighborhood, and case number. If you disagree with the decision, you can appeal it to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) at 775 Summer St NE, Suite 330, Salem, OR 97301-1283. The phone number for LUBA is 1-503-373-1265. Information on how to appeal this decision is listed at the end of this notice.

CASE FILE NUMBER: LU 13-236160 HR - REAR FAÇADE ALTERATION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant: Amy Griffith / Broken Box Designs LLC
1123 SE 34th Ave / Portland OR 97214

Owner: Marilyn Sewell
0841 SW Gaines Street #319 / Portland, OR 97239

Party of Interest: Noel Nelson / Nelson Building & Designs
9455 N Allegheny / Portland, OR 97203

Site Address: 1906-1908 NE Stanton Street

Legal Description: BLOCK 32 LOT 20, IRVINGTON **Tax Account No.:** R420406990
State ID No.: 1N1E26AD 06500 **Quarter Section:** 2732

Neighborhood: Irvington, contact Dean Gisvold at 503-284-3885.

Business District: North-Northeast Business Assoc, Joice Taylor at 503-445-1321.

District Coalition: NE Coalition of Neighborhoods, Claire Adamrick at 503-388-9030.

Other Designations: Contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District which was listed in the National Register of Historic Places on October 22, 2010.

Zoning: R5, Single Dwelling Residential 5000, with Historic Resource Overlay

Case Type: HR, Historic Resource Review

Procedure: Type I, an administrative decision with appeal to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

Proposal:

The applicant is seeking Historic Resource Review approval for a proposal to change non-historic siding on a pair of abutting, enclosed rear porches on a duplex, and to replace two existing non-historic doors with windows. Historic Resource Review is required because the proposal is for non-exempt alterations in a historic district.

Approval Criteria:

In order to be approved, this proposal must comply with the approval criteria specified in the Portland Zoning Code (Title 33 of the Portland City Code). The approval criteria are:

- 33.846.060 G – Other Approval Criteria

ANALYSIS

Site and Vicinity: The subject property is a story-and-a-half story Arts and Crafts (Tudor Revival) duplex on a corner lot, clad in painted stucco. It was built in 1912, and is evaluated as a contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District.

Platted in the late Nineteenth Century, today's Irvington Historic District represents the first additions to Portland that employed restrictive covenants from the outset. These included the exclusion of most non-residential uses from the interior of the neighborhood, and where non-residential uses were allowed, such as the fire station and the telephone exchange, the buildings were purposely disguised to appear more residential in character. Other deed restrictions excluded minority groups, established uniform front setbacks, and required minimum expenditure on new buildings. The area developed generally from southwest to northeast and its growth was greatly influenced by the installation of streetcar lines that introduced an easy commuting option to downtown.

The contributing resources in Irvington range in design character from expressions of the late Victorian Era styles, especially Queen Anne, through the many Period Revival modes of the early decades of the Twentieth Century, to a few early modernist examples. There is also a wide diversity in the sizes of lots and houses. In terms of the streetscape, the numbered north-south avenues in Irvington vary dramatically in width, and they mostly form rather long block faces which the houses generally face. The named east-west street block faces are more consistent in length, almost all being traditional 200' Portland blocks. All are lined with mature street trees. Original development in many cases included garages or other accessory structures, typically facing side streets on corner lots and accessed by a variety of driveway types on mid-block sites. Garages that were added within the historic period, were sometimes built at the sidewalk and/or out of architectural character with the house.

Zoning: The single-dwelling zones, including R5, are intended to preserve land for housing and to provide housing opportunities for individual households. The zones implement the comprehensive plan policies and designations for single-dwelling housing.

The Historic Resource Overlay zone protects certain historic resources in the region and preserves significant parts of the region's heritage. The regulations implement Portland's Comprehensive Plan policies that address historic preservation. These policies recognize the role historic resources have in promoting the education and enjoyment of those living in and visiting the region. The regulations foster pride among the region's citizens in their city and its heritage. Historic preservation beautifies the city, promotes the city's economic health, and helps to preserve and enhance the value of historic properties.

Land Use History: City records indicate there are no prior land use reviews for this site.

Public Notice: A "Notice of Proposal in Your Neighborhood" was mailed January 13, 2014.

Neighborhood Review: Two written responses were received from either the Neighborhood Association or notified property owners in response to the proposal.

- Dean Gisvold, on behalf of the Irvington Community Association Land Use Committee, wrote on January 23, 2014 with minor concerns about whether the proposed finish materials will blend with the existing walls.

Staff Response: Staff checked back with the applicant to confirm that the altered porches will be finished with textures and colors that exactly match the existing. Confusion may have arisen because the emphasis placed on the area of work in the drawings makes it appear darker than the adjoining walls.

ZONING CODE APPROVAL CRITERIA

Chapter 33.846.060 - Historic Resource Review

Purpose of Historic Resource Review

Historic Resource Review ensures the conservation and enhancement of the special characteristics of historic resources.

Historic Resource Review Approval Criteria

Requests for Historic Resource Review will be approved if the review body finds the applicant has shown that all of the approval criteria have been met.

Findings: The site is within the Irvington Historic District and the proposal is for non-exempt treatment. Therefore Historic Resource Review approval is required. The approval criteria are those listed in *33.846.060 G – Other Approval Criteria*.

Staff has considered all guidelines and addressed only those applicable to this proposal.

33.846.060 G - Other Approval Criteria

1. Historic character. The historic character of the property will be retained and preserved. Removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that contribute to the property's historic significance will be avoided.

Findings: The proposal is to convert the historic but previously altered pair of attached rear stoops into toilet rooms. They are at the rear, but, although they are utilitarian in nature, their mass contributes a significant element to the architectural design. The proposal retains that mass, provides a uniform and symmetrical overall treatment, and replaces the doors with small windows at the same head height. It is unclear if these porches were fully enclosed historically. Examples of both closed and open rear stoops are commonplace from the period. The proposal will blend into the overall historic design because the major change, replacement of doors, is proposed on a side that is less publically visible, and the massing, pattern and palette of materials, and color scheme are retained. *This criterion is met.*

8. Architectural compatibility. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will be compatible with the resource's massing, size, scale, and architectural features. When retrofitting buildings or sites to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities, design solutions will not compromise the architectural integrity of the historic resource.

9. Preserve the form and integrity of historic resources. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic resource and its environment would be unimpaired.

10. Hierarchy of compatibility. Exterior alterations and additions will be designed to be compatible primarily with the original resource, secondarily with adjacent properties, and finally, if located within a Historic or Conservation District, with the rest of the district. Where practical, compatibility will be pursued on all three levels.

Findings for 8, 9, and 10: As noted above, the proposal respects the essential characteristics of the rear stoops structure, retains the general historic pattern of wall treatment and materials, uses the existing color scheme, and limits the major alteration to a less visible side of the building. All of these approaches support compatibility with both the structure and the neighborhood setting. Doors would be easily returnable because the proposed new windows simply reuse the upper portion

of the historic opening. The windows will be wooden and installed traditionally.
These criteria are met.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Unless specifically required in the approval criteria listed above, this proposal does not have to meet the development standards in order to be approved during this review process. The plans submitted for a building or zoning permit must demonstrate that all development standards of Title 33 can be met, or have received an Adjustment or Modification via a land use review prior to the approval of a building or zoning permit.

CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of the Historic Resource Review process is to ensure that additions, new construction, and exterior alterations to historic resources do not compromise their ability to convey historic significance. This proposal meets the applicable Historic Resource Review criteria and therefore warrants approval.

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

Approval of exterior alterations on a contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District;

Approval is per Exhibits C-1 through C-5, signed and dated January 30, 2014, subject to the following condition:

- A. As part of the building permit application submittal, each of the 4 required site plans and any additional drawings must reflect the information and design approved by this land use review as indicated in Exhibits C-1 through C-5. The sheets on which this information appears must be labeled, "Proposal and design as approved in Case File # LU 13-236160 HR."

Staff Planner: Dave Skilton

Decision rendered by:  **on January 30, 2014.**
By authority of the Director of the Bureau of Development Services

Decision mailed: February 3, 2014

Procedural Information. The application for this land use review was submitted on December 10, 2013, and was determined to be complete on January 9, 2014.

Zoning Code Section 33.700.080 states that Land Use Review applications are reviewed under the regulations in effect at the time the application was submitted, provided that the application is complete at the time of submittal, or complete within 180 days. Therefore this application was reviewed against the Zoning Code in effect on December 10, 2013.

ORS 227.178 states the City must issue a final decision on Land Use Review applications within 120-days of the application being deemed complete. The 120-day review period may be waived or extended at the request of the applicant. In this case, the applicant did not waive or extend the 120-day review period.

Some of the information contained in this report was provided by the applicant.

As required by Section 33.800.060 of the Portland Zoning Code, the burden of proof is on the applicant to show that the approval criteria are met. The Bureau of Development Services has independently reviewed the information submitted by the applicant and has included this information only where the Bureau of Development Services has determined the information satisfactorily demonstrates compliance with the applicable approval criteria.

This report is the decision of the Bureau of Development Services with input from other City and public agencies.

Conditions of Approval. If approved, this project may be subject to a number of specific conditions, listed above. Compliance with the applicable conditions of approval must be documented in all related permit applications. Plans and drawings submitted during the permitting process must illustrate how applicable conditions of approval are met. Any project elements that are specifically required by conditions of approval must be shown on the plans, and labeled as such.

These conditions of approval run with the land, unless modified by future land use reviews. As used in the conditions, the term “applicant” includes the applicant for this land use review, any person undertaking development pursuant to this land use review, the proprietor of the use or development approved by this land use review, and the current owner and future owners of the property subject to this land use review.

This decision, and any conditions associated with it, is final. It may be appealed to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA), within 21 days of the date the decision is mailed, as specified in the Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 197.830. Among other things, ORS 197.830 requires that a petitioner at LUBA must have submitted written testimony during the comment period for this land use review. Contact LUBA at 775 Summer St NE Suite 330, Salem, OR 97301-1283 or phone 1-503-373-1265 for further information.

The file and all evidence on this case are available for your review by appointment only. Please call the Request Line at our office, 1900 SW Fourth Avenue, Suite 5000, phone 503-823-7617, to schedule an appointment. I can provide some information over the phone. Copies of all information in the file can be obtained for a fee equal to the cost of services. Additional information about the City of Portland, city bureaus, and a digital copy of the Portland Zoning Code is available on the internet at www.portlandonline.com.

Recording the final decision.

If this Land Use Review is approved, the final decision must be recorded with the Multnomah County Recorder. A building or zoning permit will be issued only after the final decision is recorded. The final decision may be recorded on or after **February 4, 2014**.

The applicant, builder, or a representative may record the final decision as follows:

- By Mail: Send the two recording sheets (sent in separate mailing) and the final Land Use Review decision with a check made payable to the Multnomah County Recorder to: Multnomah County Recorder, P.O. Box 5007, Portland OR 97208. The recording fee is identified on the recording sheet. Please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope.
- In Person: Bring the two recording sheets (sent in separate mailing) and the final Land Use Review decision with a check made payable to the Multnomah County Recorder to the County Recorder’s office located at 501 SE Hawthorne Boulevard, #158, Portland OR 97214. The recording fee is identified on the recording sheet.

For further information on recording, please call the County Recorder at 503-988-3034. For further information on your recording documents please call the Bureau of Development Services Land Use Services Division at 503-823-0625.

Expiration of this approval. An approval expires three years from the date the final decision is rendered unless a building permit has been issued, or the approved activity has begun.

Where a site has received approval for multiple developments, and a building permit is not issued for all of the approved development within three years of the date of the final decision, a new land use review will be required before a permit will be issued for the remaining development, subject to the Zoning Code in effect at that time.

Applying for your permits. A building permit, occupancy permit, or development permit may be required before carrying out an approved project. At the time they apply for a permit, permittees must demonstrate compliance with:


- All conditions imposed herein;
- All applicable development standards, unless specifically exempted as part of this land use review;
- All requirements of the building code; and
- All provisions of the Municipal Code for the City of Portland, and all other applicable ordinances, provisions and regulations of the City.

EXHIBITS

NOT ATTACHED UNLESS INDICATED

- A. Applicant's Statement
- B. Zoning Map (attached)
- C. Plans/Drawings:
 - 1. Site Plan (attached)
 - 2. West Elevation (attached)
 - 3. South Elevation (attached)
 - 4. Floor Plan
 - 5. Window Information
- D. Notification information:
 - 1. Mailing list
 - 2. Mailed notice
- E. Agency Responses: none
- F. Correspondence:
 - 1. Dean Gisvold, on behalf of the Irvington Community Association Land Use Committee, wrote on January 23, 2014 with minor concerns about whether the proposed finish materials will blend with the existing walls.
- G. Other:
 - 1. Original LU Application

The Bureau of Development Services is committed to providing equal access to information and hearings. Please notify us no less than five business days prior to the event if you need special accommodations. Call 503-823-7300 (TTY 503-823-6868).



ZONING



Site




Historic Landmark



This site lies within the:
IRVINGTON HISTORIC DISTRICT

File No. LU 13-236160 HR
 1/4 Section 2732
 Scale 1 inch = 200 feet
 State_Id 1N1E26AD 6500
 Exhibit B (Dec 11, 2013)

NE STANTON STREET



TYPE I REVIEW:
 TOTAL SQUARE FEET OF
 EXTERIOR FACADE BEING
 ALTERED = 135 SQ. FT.

Location Of
 Project

SITE PLAN
 SCALE: 1" = 8'-0"



12.27.2013

CS

Site Plan

Approved: _____


1906 - 1908 NE Stanton Street
 Portland, OR 97212

drawing by: **Broken Boxes, LLC**
 Amy Griffin CSIA
 503.754.1587
 www.brokenboxes.com

Planner: *David Miller*
 Date: 1.30.14

* This approval applies only to the reviews requested and is subject to all conditions of approval. Additional zoning requirements may apply.


TYPE I REVIEW:
 TOTAL SQUARE FEET OF
 EXTERIOR FACADE BEING
 ALTERED = 135 SQ. FT.



WEST ELEVATION
 SCALE: $\frac{3}{16}'' = 1'-0''$

Drawing by: Broken Box Designs, Inc. Amy Griffin CSBA 503.754.1987 amy@brokenboxpx.com www.brokenboxpx.com	Proposed Exterior Elevation Crandall Duplex 1906 - 1908 NE Stanton Street Portland, OR 97212	City of Portland - Bureau of Development Services Planner: <i>Doreen</i> Date: 1.30.14	A2.1 13-864 12.27.2013
	* This approval applies only to the reviews requested and is subject to all conditions of approval. Additional zoning requirements may apply.		

TYPE I REVIEW:
 TOTAL SQUARE FEET OF
 EXTERIOR FACADE BEING
 ALTERED = 135 SQ. FT.



SOUTH ELEVATION
 SCALE: $\frac{3}{16}'' = 1'-0''$

104 SQ. FT. OF EXTERIOR
 UPDATED ON SOUTH FACADE
 (including (N) Vents)

TWO (2) NEW PROPOSED WINDOWS
 MANUFACTURED BY LOEWEN
 PUSH-OUT AWNING - AW1 0705 A
 WOOD WINDOW WITH 6 DIVIDED LITES
 PRIMED AND PAINTED EXTERIOR

Broken Box Designs, LLC
 Amy G. Griffin, CSBA
 503.754.1587
 amy@brokenboxpd.com
 www.brokenboxpd.com

Crandall Duplex
 1906 - 1908 NE Stanton Street
 Portland, OR 97212

Proposed Exterior Elevation
 13-184

A2.0
 12/27/2013

City of Portland - Bureau of Development Services
 Planner: *Dave Smith*
 Date: 1.30.14
 * This approval applies only to the reviews requested and is subject to all conditions of approval. Additional zoning requirements may apply.

C-3