



City of Portland, Oregon
Bureau of Development Services
Land Use Services
FROM CONCEPT TO CONSTRUCTION

Ted Wheeler, Mayor
Rebecca Esau, Director
Phone: (503) 823-7300
Fax: (503) 823-5630
TTY: (503) 823-6868
www.portlandoregon.gov/bds

Date: 7/30/2019
To: Interested Person
From: Tanya Paglia, Land Use Services
503-823-4989 / Tanya.Paglia@portlandoregon.gov

NOTICE OF A TYPE I DECISION ON A PROPOSAL IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

The Bureau of Development Services has **approved** a proposal in your neighborhood. The mailed copy of this document is only a summary of the decision. The reasons for the decision are included in the version located on the BDS website <http://www.portlandonline.com/bds/index.cfm?c=46429>. Click on the District Coalition then scroll to the relevant Neighborhood, and case number. If you disagree with the decision, you can appeal. Information on how to do so is included at the end of this decision.

CASE FILE NUMBER: LU 19-144518 HR – NEW REAR DORMER, COLUMNS, AND PORCH RAILINGS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant: Ben L Carstensen
2414 NE 10th Ave | Portland, OR 97212
bcarsten1@gmail.com

Site Address: **2414 NE 10TH AVE**

Legal Description: BLOCK 93 LOT 17, IRVINGTON
Tax Account No.: R420421190
State ID No.: 1N1E26CA 04400
Quarter Section: 2831

Neighborhood: NECN, Irvington, contact Dean Gisvold at 503-284-3885.
Business District: Soul District Business Association, contact at info@nnebaportland.org
District Coalition: Northeast Coalition of Neighborhoods, contact Laura Becker at 503-388-6088.

Plan District: None
Other Designations: Contributing Resource in the Irvington Historic District

Zoning: **R5** – Residential 5,000 with Historic Resource Protection Overlay
Case Type: **HR** – Historic Resource Review
Procedure: **Type I**, an administrative decision with appeal to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

Proposal:

The applicant is seeking Historic Resource Review approval for a proposal to add a second-floor rear dormer to allow space for a new bathroom and also make several other exterior alterations on a contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District. The proposed new

rear dormer will be identical to the home's two existing front dormers. The project will also include the following items:

- Replacement of two front metal porch columns with wood columns;
- Addition of front porch rail system with wood rails and pickets;
- Addition of rear porch rail system with wood rails metal lattice.

Note that replacement of ten windows was part of the original proposal but the applicant has decided to remove that element from the scope of this review while researching restoration alternatives.

Historic Resource Review is required because the proposal is for non-exempt exterior alterations in the Irvington Historic District.

Relevant Approval Criteria:

In order to be approved, this proposal must comply with the approval criteria of Title 33. The relevant approval criteria are:

- 33.846.060.G *Other approval criteria*

ANALYSIS

Site and Vicinity: The subject house is a single-family, one and a half-story house in the Bungalow style that is a contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District. It was built in 1925 and features two small symmetrical gabled front dormers. The house sits back from the street on a 5,000 SF lot facing west onto NE 10th Ave.

Irvington Historic District Platted in the late Nineteenth Century, today's Irvington Historic District represents the first additions to Portland that employed restrictive covenants from the outset. These included the exclusion of most non-residential uses from the interior of the neighborhood, and where non-residential uses were allowed, such as the fire station and the telephone exchange, the buildings were purposely disguised to appear more residential in character. The area developed generally from southwest to northeast and its growth was greatly influenced by the installation of streetcar lines that introduced an easy commuting option to downtown.

The Irvington area developed intensely with a mix of middle class housing types and sizes during the first two decades of the Twentieth Century. Contributing resources in Irvington range in design character from expressions of the late Victorian Era styles, especially Queen Anne, through the many Period Revival modes of the early decades of the Twentieth Century, to a few early modernist examples. There is also a wide diversity in the sizes of lots and houses. In terms of the streetscape, the numbered north-south avenues in Irvington vary dramatically in width, and they mostly form rather long block faces which the houses generally face. The named east-west street block faces are more consistent in length, almost all being traditional 200' Portland blocks. All are lined with mature street trees. Original development in many cases included garages or other accessory structures, typically facing side streets on corner lots and accessed by a variety of driveway types on mid-block sites. Garages that were added within the historic period were sometimes built at the sidewalk and/or out of architectural character with the house. These patterns help to lend the neighborhood the distinctive and homogeneous historic character.

Zoning: The Residential 5,000 (R5) single-dwelling zone is intended to preserve land for housing and to provide housing opportunities for individual households. The zone implements the comprehensive plan policies and designations for single-dwelling housing. Minimum lot size is 3,000 square feet, with minimum width and depth dimensions of 36 and 50 feet, respectively. Minimum densities are based on lot size and street configuration. Maximum densities are 1 lot per 5,000 square feet of site area.

The Historic Resource Protection overlay is comprised of Historic and Conservation Districts, as well as Historic and Conservation Landmarks and protects certain historic resources in the region and preserves significant parts of the region's heritage. The regulations implement Portland's Comprehensive Plan policies that address historic preservation. These

policies recognize the role historic resources have in promoting the education and enjoyment of those living in and visiting the region. The regulations foster pride among the region's citizens in their city and its heritage. Historic preservation beautifies the city, promotes the city's economic health, and helps to preserve and enhance the value of historic properties.

Land Use History: City records indicate there are no prior land use reviews for this site.

Agency Review: A Notice of Proposal in your Neighborhood was mailed on **June 14, 2019**. No agency review was provided given the scope of work.

Neighborhood Review: A Notice of Proposal in Your Neighborhood was mailed on **June 14, 2019**. One written response has been received from either the Neighborhood Association or notified property owners in response to the proposal.

1. Dean Gisvold, Chair of the Irvington Community Association Land Use Committee, 6/19/2019, wrote with comments supporting the dormer, wood columns, front rail system, but expressing concerns about the rear porch rail.

ZONING CODE APPROVAL CRITERIA

Chapter 33.846.060 - Historic Resource Review

Purpose of Historic Resource Review

Historic Resource Review ensures the conservation and enhancement of the special characteristics of historic resources.

Historic Resource Review Approval Criteria

Requests for Historic Resource Review will be approved if the review body finds the applicant has shown that all of the approval criteria have been met.

Findings: The site is within the Irvington Historic District and the proposal is for non-exempt treatment. Therefore Historic Resource Review approval is required. The approval criteria are those listed in *33.846.060 G – Other Approval Criteria*.

Staff has considered all guidelines and addressed only those applicable to this proposal.

33.846.060 G - Other Approval Criteria

1. **Historic character.** The historic character of the property will be retained and preserved. Removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that contribute to the property's historic significance will be avoided.
2. **Record of its time.** The historic resource will remain a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historic development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings will be avoided.
3. **Historic changes.** Most properties change over time. Those changes that have acquired historic significance will be preserved.
4. **Historic features.** Generally, deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where practical, in materials. Replacement of missing features must be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.
5. **Historic materials.** Historic materials will be protected. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.
7. **Differentiate new from old.** New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials that characterize a property. New work will be differentiated from the old.

8. Architectural compatibility. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will be compatible with the resource's massing, size, scale, and architectural features. When retrofitting buildings or sites to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities, design solutions will not compromise the architectural integrity of the historic resource.

9. Preserve the form and integrity of historic resources. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic resource and its environment would be unimpaired.

10. Hierarchy of compatibility. Exterior alterations and additions will be designed to be compatible primarily with the original resource, secondarily with adjacent properties, and finally, if located within a Historic or Conservation District, with the rest of the district. Where practical, compatibility will be pursued on all three levels.

Findings for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10: The proposed alterations will not detract from the historic character of this contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District. The proposal is using high quality materials that are well integrated with the building as well as the neighborhood. The visual impact of the proposed alterations on the public realm will be positive as the most visible alterations – those to the front façade which include the replacement of metal columns with wood, and the addition of a new wood rail system – are more in keeping with the house than the previous porch finishes. The wood columns are of a scale and design that restore the home's front porch to a state closer to the likely original architecture.

The proposed new rear dormer will match the home's two existing front dormers and will include a wood window with a profile to match original windows on the house. The proposed project will allow the historic character of this Bungalow style house to be retained while allowing the property to modernize to meet the needs of the current occupants by providing vertical space for an upstairs bathroom. The rear porch rail, while of a more modern design than the front porch rail system, is sufficiently compatible with the home's rear elevation. Though adding a modern element to the rear of the house, the metal lattice is framed with wood posts and a top rail that are visually dominant to the lattice and provide a more traditional sensibility than most other railings that incorporate metal lattice.

The integrity of the resource will be preserved with the proposed alterations, and the house will remain a physical record of its time, place and use and the project will not alter any changes made over time that have acquired historic significance.

Conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings that create a false sense of historical development will not be added. If the new dormer, columns, and rail systems were to be removed in the future, the form and integrity of the building would remain intact. The proposed alterations respect the original character and design of the subject house and will not adversely impact the character defining features of the property.

The proposed dormer, columns, and rail systems will not compromise the architectural integrity of the 1925-built house and will be a compatible addition in size, scale and features. In altering the front façade to a design more in keeping with the style of the house's historical conditions, the proposed design is compatible with the original resource's features. In the same way, the exterior alterations will be compatible with the adjacent properties and the rest of the district. Overall the proposed work will not visually detract from the historic aesthetic of the house, adjacent properties and district.

These criteria are met.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Unless specifically required in the approval criteria listed above, this proposal does not have to meet the development standards in order to be approved during this review process. The

plans submitted for a building or zoning permit must demonstrate that all requirements of Title 11 can be met, and that all development standards of Title 33 can be met or have received an Adjustment or Modification via a land use review, prior to the approval of a building or zoning permit.

CONCLUSIONS

The proposed alterations will employ high quality materials and are designed to be well integrated with the building as well as the neighborhood. The project will not detract from the house's role as a contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District with alterations designed to contribute to the historical aesthetic of the building. The purpose of the Historic Resource Review process is to ensure that additions, new construction, and exterior alterations to historic resources do not compromise their ability to convey historic significance. This proposal meets the applicable Historic Resource Review criteria and therefore warrants approval.

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

Approval of a proposal to add a second-floor rear dormer, replace two front metal porch columns with wood columns, add a front porch rail system with wood rails and pickets, and add a rear porch rail system with wood rails metal lattice on a contributing resource in the Irvington Historic District, per the approved site plans, Exhibits C-1 through C-11, signed and dated 7/25/2019, subject to the following conditions:

- A. As part of the building permit application submittal, the following development-related conditions (B through C) must be noted on each of the four required site plans or included as a sheet in the numbered set of plans. The sheet on which this information appears must be labeled "ZONING COMPLIANCE PAGE- Case File LU 19-144518 HR." All requirements must be graphically represented on the site plan, landscape, or other required plan and must be labeled "REQUIRED."
- B. At the time of building permit submittal, a signed Certificate of Compliance form (<https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bds/article/623658>) must be submitted to ensure the permit plans comply with the Design/Historic Resource Review decision and approved exhibits.
- C. No field changes allowed.

Staff Planner: Tanya Paglia



Decision rendered by: _____ **on 7/25/2019.**

By authority of the Director of the Bureau of Development Services

Decision mailed: 7/30/2019

Procedural Information. The application for this land use review was submitted on April 8, 2019, and was determined to be complete on June 10, 2019.

Zoning Code Section 33.700.080 states that Land Use Review applications are reviewed under the regulations in effect at the time the application was submitted, provided that the application is complete at the time of submittal, or complete within 180 days. Therefore this application was reviewed against the Zoning Code in effect on April 8, 2019.

ORS 227.178 states the City must issue a final decision on Land Use Review applications within 120-days of the application being deemed complete. The 120-day review period may be waived or extended at the request of the applicant. In this case, the applicant did not

waive or extend the 120-day review period. Unless further extended by the applicant, **the 120 days will expire on: 10/8/2019.**

Some of the information contained in this report was provided by the applicant.

As required by Section 33.800.060 of the Portland Zoning Code, the burden of proof is on the applicant to show that the approval criteria are met. The Bureau of Development Services has independently reviewed the information submitted by the applicant and has included this information only where the Bureau of Development Services has determined the information satisfactorily demonstrates compliance with the applicable approval criteria. This report is the decision of the Bureau of Development Services with input from other City and public agencies.

Conditions of Approval. If approved, this project may be subject to a number of specific conditions, listed above. Compliance with the applicable conditions of approval must be documented in all related permit applications. Plans and drawings submitted during the permitting process must illustrate how applicable conditions of approval are met. Any project elements that are specifically required by conditions of approval must be shown on the plans, and labeled as such.

These conditions of approval run with the land, unless modified by future land use reviews. As used in the conditions, the term “applicant” includes the applicant for this land use review, any person undertaking development pursuant to this land use review, the proprietor of the use or development approved by this land use review, and the current owner and future owners of the property subject to this land use review.

This decision, and any conditions associated with it, is final. It may be appealed to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA), within 21 days of the date the decision is mailed, as specified in the Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 197.830. Among other things, ORS 197.830 requires that a petitioner at LUBA must have submitted written testimony during the comment period for this land use review. Contact LUBA at 775 Summer St NE Suite 330, Salem, OR 97301-1283 or phone 1-503-373-1265 for further information.

The file and all evidence on this case are available for your review by appointment only. Please call the Request Line at our office, 1900 SW Fourth Avenue, Suite 5000, phone 503-823-7617, to schedule an appointment. I can provide some information over the phone. Copies of all information in the file can be obtained for a fee equal to the cost of services. Additional information about the City of Portland, city bureaus, and a digital copy of the Portland Zoning Code is available on the internet at www.portlandonline.com.

Recording the final decision.

If this Land Use Review is approved the final decision will be recorded with the Multnomah County Recorder.

- *Unless appealed*, the final decision will be recorded after **7/30/2019** by the Bureau of Development Services.

The applicant, builder, or a representative does not need to record the final decision with the Multnomah County Recorder.

For further information on your recording documents please call the Bureau of Development Services Land Use Services Division at 503-823-0625.

Expiration of this approval. An approval expires three years from the date the final decision is rendered unless a building permit has been issued, or the approved activity has begun.

Where a site has received approval for multiple developments, and a building permit is not issued for all of the approved development within three years of the date of the final decision, a new land use review will be required before a permit will be issued for the remaining development, subject to the Zoning Code in effect at that time.

Applying for your permits. A building permit, occupancy permit, or development permit may be required before carrying out an approved project. At the time they apply for a permit, permittees must demonstrate compliance with:

- All conditions imposed herein;
- All applicable development standards, unless specifically exempted as part of this land use review;
- All requirements of the building code; and
- All provisions of the Municipal Code for the City of Portland, and all other applicable ordinances, provisions and regulations of the City.

EXHIBITS

NOT ATTACHED UNLESS INDICATED

- A. Applicant's Submittal
 - 1. Applicant's Statement
 - 2. Packet about bathroom remodel prepared by designer for homeowner
 - 3. Applicant's Original Window Profiles – Not Approved – Reference Only
 - 4. Photo Documentation of Window Conditions
- B. Zoning Map (attached)
- C. Plans/Drawings:
 - 1. Site Plan (attached)
 - 2. West (Front) Elevation – Proposed (attached)
 - 3. East (Rear) Elevation – Proposed (attached)
 - 4. Building Sections showing new dormer – Proposed
 - 5. Building Section showing new dormer – Proposed
 - 6. Building Section showing new dormer – Proposed
 - 7. Window Details for Dormer Window – Proposed
 - 8. Roof Plan with new dormer – Proposed
 - 9. New bathroom floorplan and wall sections – Proposed
 - 10. South (Side) Elevation – Existing
 - 11. North (Side) Elevation – Existing
- D. Notification information:
 - 1. Mailing list
 - 2. Mailed notice
- E. Agency Responses: None Received
- F. Correspondence:
 - 1. Dean Gisvold, Chair of the Irvington Community Association Land Use Committee, wrote on June 19, 2019 in support of the proposal while also expressing some concerns from ICA members.
- G. Other:
 - 1. Original LU Application
 - 2. Incomplete Letter
 - 3. Oregon Historic Site Record

The Bureau of Development Services is committed to providing equal access to information and hearings. Please notify us no less than five business days prior to the event if you need special accommodations. Call 503-823-7300 (TTY 503-823-6868).



ZONING

THIS SITE LIES WITHIN THE:
IRVINGTON HISTORIC DISTRICT




Site



Historic Landmark

File No.	LU 19-144518 HR
1/4 Section	2831
Scale	1 inch = 200 feet
State ID	1N1E26CA 4400
Exhibit	B Apr 10, 2019

NE 10th Avenue



1 Site Plan
 A0.1 Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"

Approved
 City of Portland - Bureau of Development Services
 Planner _____ Date 7/25/2015
 * This approval applies only to the reviews requested and is subject to all conditions of approval. Additional zoning requirements may apply.


PERMIT SET

ISSUE DATE: 03.29.2019
 [Project: 11-19001]
 2414 NE 10th Ave
 Portland, Oregon 97211

Site Plan

A0.1

2019-144518 HR C-1



(E) Dormers: Typ.

Replace window Typ. at (E) Dormer x2

No Window Replacement

(E) Siding

1 West Elevation
A2.1 Scale: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PERMIT SET

2414 NE 10th Ave Dormer Addition
Project: 11-19001
2414 NE 10th Ave.
Portland, Oregon 97211

ISSUE DATE: 03.29.2019

Elevations


A2.1

C-2

NOTE: Where provided Elevation Drawings are for reference only; Contractor to field document and verify all conditions prior to beginning demolition and construction activities. Do not scale drawings.

Approved
City of Portland - Bureau of Development Services
Planner: [Signature] Date: 7/25/2019
* This approval applies only to the reviews requested and is subject to all conditions of approval. Additional zoning requirements may apply.

LU 19 - 144518 HR



1 East Elevation
A2.2 Scale: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PERMIT SET

2414 NE 10th Ave Corner Addition
[Project: 11-19001]
2414 NE 10th Ave.
Portland, Oregon 97211

ISSUE DATE: 03.29.2019

Elevations

A2.2
C-3

NOTE: Where provided Elevation Drawings are for reference only; Contractor to field document and verify all conditions prior to beginning demolition and construction activities. Do not scale drawings.

Approved
 City of Portland - Bureau of Development Services
 Planner _____ Date 7/25/2019
 * This approval applies only to the reviews requested and is subject to all conditions of approval. Additional zoning requirements may apply.

LV 19-144518 HR