



City of Portland, Oregon
Bureau of Development Services
Land Use Services
FROM CONCEPT TO CONSTRUCTION

Ted Wheeler, Mayor
Rebecca Esau, Director
Phone: (503) 823-7300
Fax: (503) 823-5630
TTY: (503) 823-6868
www.portlandoregon.gov/bds

Date: November 6, 2019
To: Interested Person
From: Benjamin Nielsen, Land Use Services
503-823-7812 / Benjamin.Nielsen@portlandoregon.gov

NOTICE OF A TYPE II DECISION ON A PROPOSAL IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

The Bureau of Development Services has approved a proposal in your neighborhood. The mailed copy of this document is only a summary of the decision. The reasons for the decision are included in the version located on the BDS website <http://www.portlandonline.com/bds/index.cfm?c=46429>. Click on the District Coalition then scroll to the relevant Neighborhood, and case number. If you disagree with the decision, you can appeal. Information on how to do so is included at the end of this decision.

CASE FILE NUMBER: LU 19-217805 DZ – OREGON RAIL HERITAGE CENTER TURNTABLE

GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant/Consultant

Representative: Debbie Cleek, The Bookin Group
1140 SW 11th Ave #500, Portland, OR 97205
(503) 241-2423, cleek@bookingroup.com

Applicant: Greg Fitzgerald, Oregon Rail Heritage Foundation
PO Box 42443, Portland, OR 97214

Owners on Record: Portland, City Of
1120 SW 5th Ave #800, Portland, OR 97204

Site Address: **2250 SE WATER AVE**

Legal Description: BLOCK 47&53&54&68 TL 8200 LAND ONLY SEE R657726 (R794002791) FOR IMPS, STEPHENS ADD

Tax Account No.: R794002790
State ID No.: 1S1E02CC 08200
Quarter Section: 3230, 3231

Neighborhood: Hosford-Abernethy, contact wade.michael@comcast.net.
Business District: Central Eastside Industrial Council, contact ceic@ceic.cc.
District Coalition: Southeast Uplift, contact Leah Fisher at 503-232-0010 x313.

Plan District: Central City - Central Eastside
Zoning: EXd – Central Employment with Design Overlay
Case Type: DZ – Design Review
Procedure: Type II – an administrative decision with appeal to the Design Commission.

Proposal:

The applicants request Design Review for proposed exterior alterations to the Oregon Rail Heritage Center site in the Central Eastside Subdistrict of the Central City Plan District. These proposed alterations include the installation of a historic railroad turntable and a new metal guardrail to surround it, several railroad spurs, new permeable pavers, several railroad crossing signals, new exterior lighting, and new flagpoles. These exterior alterations are proposed to be completed in two phases.

Design Review is required for proposed non-exempt exterior alterations in the design overlay zone of the Central City Plan District.

Relevant Approval Criteria:

In order to be approved, this proposal must comply with the approval criteria of Title 33. The relevant criteria are:

- Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines
- Oregon Statewide Planning Goals
- Special Guidelines for the Design Zone of the Central Eastside District of the Central City Plan

ANALYSIS

Site and Vicinity: The subject site is located near the Tilikum Crossing bridge at the south end of the Central Eastside Subdistrict of the Central City Plan District. The area is generally characterized by industrial and transportation uses, with notable exceptions of three institutional uses: the Oregon Museum of Science and Industry, the offices of the Portland Opera, and the Oregon Rail Heritage Center museum itself. Railroad tracks for the Union Pacific Railroad lie to the immediate east of the site, as does the Grand Ave viaduct, which looms above the site. Railroad tracks for the Oregon Pacific Railroad lie to the immediate west of the site, between the museum and SE Water Ave. The southern boundary of the site is defined by SE Tilikum Way, which functions solely as a street for bus, light rail, pedestrian, and bicycle use.

SE Tilikum Way is classified as a Central City Transit/Pedestrian Street and Regional Transitway in the city's Transportation System Plan. The site also lies within a freight district.

Zoning: The Central Employment (EX) zone allows mixed-uses and is intended for areas in the center of the City that have predominantly industrial type development. The intent of the zone is to allow industrial and commercial uses which need a central location. Residential uses are allowed, but are not intended to predominate or set development standards for other uses in the area. The development standards are intended to allow new development which is similar in character to existing development.

The "d" overlay promotes the conservation and enhancement of areas of the City with special historic, architectural or cultural value. New development and exterior modifications to existing development are subject to design review. This is achieved through the creation of design districts and applying the Design Overlay Zone as part of community planning projects, development of design guidelines for each district, and by requiring design review. In addition, design review ensures that certain types of infill development will be compatible with the neighborhood and enhance the area.

The Central City Plan District implements the Central City 2035 Plan. The regulations address the unique role the Central City plays as the region's premier center for jobs, health and human services, tourism, entertainment and urban living. The regulations encourage a high-density urban area with a broad mix of commercial, residential, industrial and institutional uses, and foster transit-supportive development, pedestrian and bicycle-friendly streets, a vibrant public realm and a healthy urban river. The site is within the Central Eastside Subdistrict of this plan district.

Land Use History: City records indicate there are no prior land use reviews for this site.

Agency Review: A “Notice of Proposal in Your Neighborhood” was mailed **September 18, 2019**. The following Bureaus have responded with no issues or concerns:

- Fire Bureau
- Site Development Section of BDS

Neighborhood Review: A Notice of Proposal in Your Neighborhood was mailed on September 18, 2019. No written responses have been received from either the Neighborhood Association or notified property owners in response to the proposal.

ZONING CODE APPROVAL CRITERIA

Chapter 33.825 Design Review

Section 33.825.010 Purpose of Design Review

Design review ensures that development conserves and enhances the recognized special design values of a site or area. Design review is used to ensure the conservation, enhancement, and continued vitality of the identified scenic, architectural, and cultural values of each design district or area. Design review ensures that certain types of infill development will be compatible with the neighborhood and enhance the area. Design review is also used in certain cases to review public and private projects to ensure that they are of a high design quality.

Section 33.825.055, Design Review Approval Criteria

A design review application will be approved if the review body finds the applicant to have shown that the proposal complies with the design guidelines for the area.

Findings: The site is designated with design overlay zoning (d), therefore the proposal requires Design Review approval. Because the site is located generally within the Central City Plan District, the applicable design guidelines are the Central City Plan Fundamental Design Guidelines. As the site is also specifically located within the Design Zone of the Central Eastside District, the Special Design Guidelines for the Design Zone of the Central Eastside District of the Central City Plan also apply.

Special Design Guidelines for the Design Zone of the Central Eastside District of the Central City Plan and Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines

The Central Eastside is a unique neighborhood. The property and business owners are proud of the district’s heritage and service to the community and region. Light industry, distribution/warehousing, and transportation are important components of the district’s personality. To the general public, retail stores and commercial businesses provide the central focus within the district.

The underlying urban design objective for the Central Eastside is to capitalize on and emphasize its unique assets in a manner that is respectful, supportive, creative and compatible with each area as a whole. Part of the charm and character of the Central Eastside District, which should be celebrated, is its eclectic mixture of building types and uses. An additional strength, which should be built on, is the pattern of pedestrian friendly retail uses on Grand Avenue, East Burnside and Morrison Streets, as well as portions of 11th and 12th Avenues.

The Central City Fundamental Design Guidelines focus on four general categories. **(A) Portland Personality**, addresses design issues and elements that reinforce and enhance Portland’s character. **(B) Pedestrian Emphasis**, addresses design issues and elements that contribute to a successful pedestrian environment. **(C) Project Design**, addresses specific building characteristics and their relationships to the public environment. **(D) Special Areas**, provides design guidelines for the four special areas of the Central City.

Central Eastside Design Goals

The following goals and objectives define the urban design vision for new development and other improvements in the Central Eastside

- Encourage the special distinction and identity of the design review areas of the Central Eastside District.
- Provide continuity between the Central Eastside and the Lloyd District.
- Provide continuity between the Central Eastside and the river, downtown, and adjacent residential neighborhoods.
- Enhance the safety, convenience, pleasure, and comfort of pedestrians.

Central City Plan Design Goals

This set of goals are those developed to guide development throughout the Central City. They apply within all of the Central City policy areas. The nine goals for design review within the Central City are as follows:

1. Encourage urban design excellence in the Central City;
2. Integrate urban design and preservation of our heritage into the development process;
3. Enhance the character of the Central City's districts;
4. Promote the development of diversity and areas of special character within the Central City;
5. Establish an urban design relationship between the Central City's districts and the Central City as a whole;
6. Provide for a pleasant, rich and diverse pedestrian experience for pedestrians;
7. Provide for the humanization of the Central City through promotion of the arts;
8. Assist in creating a 24-hour Central City which is safe, humane and prosperous;
9. Ensure that new development is at a human scale and that it relates to the scale and desired character of its setting and the Central City as a whole.

Staff has considered all guidelines and has addressed only those guidelines considered applicable to this project.

A1. Integrate the River. Orient architectural and landscape elements including, but not limited to, lobbies, entries, balconies, terraces, and outdoor areas to the Willamette River and greenway. Develop accessways for pedestrians that provide connections to the Willamette River and greenway.

Findings: The proposed new turntable and other exterior improvements are oriented towards the south side of the site, facing SE Tilikum Way. This street connects directly to the Tilikum Crossing bridge over the Willamette River.

Therefore, this guideline is met.

A2. Emphasize Portland Themes. When provided, integrate Portland-related themes with the development's overall design concept.

A2-1. Recognize Transportation Modes, Produce, and Commerce as Primary Themes of East Portland. Recognize and incorporate East Portland themes into a project design, when appropriate.

A5. Enhance, Embellish, and Identify Areas. Enhance an area by reflecting the local character within the right-of-way. Embellish an area by integrating elements in new development that build on the area's character. Identify an area's special features or qualities by integrating them into new development.

C3-1. Design to Enhance Existing Themes in the District. Look to buildings from throughout the district for contextual precedent. Innovation and creativity are encouraged in design proposals, which enhance overall district character.

Findings for A2, A2-1, A5, & C3-1: The proposal expands the exploration and celebration of railroad industry and transportation that defines the Central Eastside subdistrict, and particularly the western portion of the district.

Therefore, these guidelines are met.

A7. Establish and Maintain a Sense of Urban Enclosure. Define public rights-of-way by creating and maintaining a sense of urban enclosure.

A7-1. Maintain a Sense of Urban Enclosure When Single-Story Buildings are Set Back. Maintain a sense of urban enclosure, through the use of landscaping and other means, when single-story buildings are set back from the property line. Do not set buildings back from the property line within the East Portland Grand Avenue Historic District.

A8. Contribute to a Vibrant Streetscape. Integrate building setbacks with adjacent sidewalks to increase the space for potential public use. Develop visual and physical connections into buildings' active interior spaces from adjacent sidewalks. Use architectural elements such as atriums, grand entries and large ground-level windows to reveal important interior spaces and activities.

Findings for A7, A7-1, & A8: The proposed exterior alterations will provide for exterior train exhibition space in addition to displays of rail signals. These alterations will provide additional visual interest and will lead to increased activity outside the museum, leading to a more vibrant streetscape than exists today. The proposed installations, along with a new entry gate, will help to provide a greater sense of urban enclosure along the SE Tilikum Way sidewalk.

Therefore, these guidelines are met.

B4. Provide Stopping and Viewing Places. Provide safe, comfortable places where people can stop, view, socialize and rest. Ensure that these places do not conflict with other sidewalk uses.

Findings: Exterior improvements include the addition, over two phases, of a new permeable paver system that will provide additional paved area for people to stop and view the proposed exterior installations and to socialize off the sidewalk.

Therefore, this guideline is met.

B7. Integrate Barrier-Free Design. Integrate access systems for all people with the building's overall design concept.

Findings: The proposed new permeable paver walkway area, to be installed over two phases, allows for barrier-free access for all visitors to the site. There are no steps or other impediments that would limit mobility.

Therefore, this guideline is met.

C2. Promote Quality and Permanence in Development. Use design principles and building materials that promote quality and permanence.

C3. Respect Architectural Integrity. Respect the original character of an existing building when modifying its exterior. Develop vertical and horizontal additions that are compatible with the existing building, to enhance the overall proposal's architectural integrity.

C5. Design for Coherency. Integrate the different building and design elements including, but not limited to, construction materials, roofs, entrances, as well as window, door, sign, and lighting systems, to achieve a coherent composition.

Findings for C2, C3, & C5: The proposed exterior alterations are composed of high-quality building and industrial components that complement and integrate well with the existing museum building and on-site rail infrastructure.

Therefore, these guidelines are met.

C12. Integrate Exterior Lighting. Integrate exterior lighting and its staging or structural components with the building’s overall design concept. Use exterior lighting to highlight the building’s architecture, being sensitive to its impacts on the skyline at night.

Findings: Proposed exterior lighting integrates well with the other exterior alterations and shines downward, limiting impacts to the skyline at night.

Therefore, this guideline is met.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goals

Goal 1: Citizen Involvement

Goal 1 calls for “the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process.” It requires each city and county to have a citizen involvement program containing six components specified in the goal. It also requires local governments to have a Committee for Citizen Involvement (CCI) to monitor and encourage public participation in planning.

Findings: The City of Portland maintains an extensive citizen involvement program which complies with all relevant aspects of Goal 1, including specific requirements in Zoning Code Chapter 33.730 for public notice of land use review applications that seek public comment on proposals. There are opportunities for the public to testify at a local hearing on land use proposals for Type III land use review applications, and for Type II and Type Ix land use decisions if appealed. For this application, a written seeking comments on the proposal was mailed to property-owners and tenants within 150 feet of the site, and to recognized organizations in which the site is located and recognized organizations within 400 of the site. There is also an opportunity to appeal the administrative decision at a local hearing.

The public notice requirements for this application have been and will continue to be met, and nothing about this proposal affects the City’s ongoing compliance with Goal 1. Therefore, the proposal is consistent with this goal.

Goal 2: Land Use Planning

Goal 2 outlines the basic procedures of Oregon’s statewide planning program. It states that land use decisions are to be made in accordance with a comprehensive plan, and that suitable “implementation ordinances” to put the plan’s policies into effect must be adopted. It requires that plans be based on “factual information”; that local plans and ordinances be coordinated with those of other jurisdictions and agencies; and that plans be reviewed periodically and amended as needed. Goal 2 also contains standards for taking exceptions to statewide goals. An exception may be taken when a statewide goal cannot or should not be applied to a particular area or situation.

Findings: Compliance with Goal 2 is achieved, in part, through the City’s comprehensive planning process and land use regulations. For quasi-judicial proposals, Goal 2 requires that the decision be supported by an adequate factual base, which means it must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. As discussed earlier in the findings that respond to the relevant approval criteria contained in the Portland Zoning Code, the proposal complies with the applicable regulations, as supported by substantial evidence in the record. As a result, the proposal meets Goal 2.

Goal 3: Agricultural Lands

Goal 3 defines “agricultural lands,” and requires counties to inventory such lands and to “preserve and maintain” them through farm zoning. Details on the uses allowed in farm zones are found in ORS Chapter 215 and in Oregon Administrative Rules, Chapter 660, Division 33.

Goal 4: Forest Lands

This goal defines forest lands and requires counties to inventory them and adopt policies and ordinances that will “conserve forest lands for forest uses.”

Findings for Goals 3 and 4: In 1991, as part of Ordinance No. 164517, the City of Portland took an exception to the agriculture and forestry goals in the manner authorized by state law and Goal 2. Since this review does not change any of the facts or analyses upon which the exception was based, the exception is still valid and Goal 3 and Goal 4 do not apply.

Goal 5: Open Spaces, Scenic and Historic Areas and Natural Resources

Goal 5 relates to the protection of natural and cultural resources. It establishes a process for inventorying the quality, quantity, and location of 12 categories of natural resources. Additionally, Goal 5 encourages but does not require local governments to maintain inventories of historic resources, open spaces, and scenic views and sites.

Findings: The City complies with Goal 5 by identifying and protecting natural, scenic, and historic resources in the City’s Zoning Map and Zoning Code. Natural and scenic resources are identified by the Environmental Protection (“p”), Environmental Conservation (“c”), and Scenic (“s”) overlay zones on the Zoning Map. The Zoning Code imposes special restrictions on development activities within these overlay zones. Historic resources are identified on the Zoning Map either with landmark designations for individual sites or as Historic Districts or Conservation Districts. This site contains designated historic landmarks within the existing building; however, the proposal only alters the exterior of the site. No historic resources are impacted by this proposal. Compliance with all requirements related to this designation have been verified as part of this land use review, and historic resource review is not required. Therefore, the proposal is consistent with Goal 5.

Goal 6: Air, Water and Land Resources Quality

Goal 6 requires local comprehensive plans and implementing measures to be consistent with state and federal regulations on matters such as groundwater pollution.

Findings: Compliance with Goal 6 is achieved through the implementation of development regulations such as the City’s Stormwater Management Manual at the time of building permit review, and through the City’s continued compliance with Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) requirements for cities. The proposal will comply with applicable requirements at time of building permit, but the scope of the proposal will not impact the building’s existing stormwater management system and does not result in an increase in impervious surface. Staff finds the proposal is consistent with Goal 6.

Goal 7: Areas Subject to Natural Disasters and Hazards

Goal 7 requires that jurisdictions adopt development restrictions or safeguards to protect people and property from natural hazards. Under Goal 7, natural hazards include floods, landslides, earthquakes, tsunamis, coastal erosion, and wildfires. Goal 7 requires that local governments adopt inventories, policies, and implementing measures to reduce risks from natural hazards to people and property.

Findings: The City complies with Goal 7 by mapping natural hazard areas such as floodplains and potential landslide areas, which can be found in the City’s MapWorks geographic information system. The City imposes additional requirements for development in those areas through a variety of regulations in the Zoning Code, such as through special plan districts or land division regulations. The subject site is not within any mapped floodplain or landslide hazard area, so Goal 7 does not apply.

Goal 8: Recreation Needs

Goal 8 calls for each community to evaluate its areas and facilities for recreation and develop plans to deal with the projected demand for them. It also sets forth detailed standards for expediting siting of destination resorts.

Findings: The City maintains compliance with Goal 8 through its comprehensive planning process, which includes long-range planning for parks and recreational facilities. Staff finds the current proposal will not affect existing or proposed parks or recreation facilities in any way that is not anticipated by the zoning for the site, or by the parks and recreation system development charges that are assessed at time of building permit. Furthermore, nothing about the proposal will undermine planning for future facilities. Therefore, the proposal is consistent with Goal 8.

Goal 9: Economy of the State

Goal 9 calls for diversification and improvement of the economy. Goal 9 requires communities to inventory commercial and industrial lands, project future needs for such lands, and plan and zone enough land to meet those needs.

Findings: Land needs for a variety of industrial and commercial uses are identified in the adopted and acknowledged Economic Opportunity Analysis (EOA) (Ordinance 187831). The EOA analyzed adequate growth capacity for a diverse range of employment uses by distinguishing several geographies and conducting a buildable land inventory and capacity analysis in each. In response to the EOA, the City adopted policies and regulations to ensure an adequate supply of sites of suitable size, type, location and service levels in compliance with Goal 9. The City must consider the EOA and Buildable Lands Inventory when updating the City's Zoning Map and Zoning Code. Because this proposal does not change the supply of industrial or commercial land in the City, the proposal is consistent with Goal 9.

Goal 10: Housing

Goal 10 requires local governments to plan for and accommodate needed housing types. The Goal also requires cities to inventory its buildable residential lands, project future needs for such lands, and plan and zone enough buildable land to meet those needs. It also prohibits local plans from discriminating against needed housing types.

Findings: The City complies with Goal 10 through its adopted and acknowledged inventory of buildable residential land (Ordinance 187831), which demonstrates that the City has zoned and designated an adequate supply of housing. For needed housing, the Zoning Code includes clear and objective standards. Since this proposal is not related to housing or to land zoned for residential use, Goal 10 is not applicable.

Goal 11: Public Facilities and Services

Goal 11 calls for efficient planning of public services such as sewers, water, law enforcement, and fire protection. The goal's central concept is that public services should be planned in accordance with a community's needs and capacities rather than be forced to respond to development as it occurs.

Findings: The City of Portland maintains an adopted and acknowledged public facilities plan to comply with Goal 11. See Citywide Systems Plan adopted by Ordinance 187831. The public facilities plan is implemented by the City's public services bureaus, and these bureaus review development applications for adequacy of public services. Where existing public services are not adequate for a proposed development, the applicant is required to extend public services at their own expense in a way that conforms to the public facilities plan. In this case, no new public services are required to serve the proposed exterior alterations. Since the City will require the proposal to conform to the City's public facilities plan at the time of permit, the proposal is consistent with Goal 11.

Goal 12: Transportation

Goal 12 seeks to provide and encourage "safe, convenient and economic transportation system." Among other things, Goal 12 requires that transportation plans consider all modes of transportation and be based on inventory of transportation needs.

Findings: The City of Portland maintains a Transportation System Plan (TSP) to comply with Goal 12, adopted by Ordinances 187832, 188177 and 188957. The City's TSP aims to

“make it more convenient for people to walk, bicycle, use transit, use automobile travel more efficiently, and drive less to meet their daily needs.” The extent to which a proposal affects the City’s transportation system and the goals of the TSP is evaluated by the Portland Bureau of Transportation (PBOT). This proposal was not reviewed by PBOT, will not impact the right-of-way, and will not impact the transportation system. Therefore, Goal 12 is not applicable.

Goal 13: Energy

Goal 13 seeks to conserve energy and declares that “land and uses developed on the land shall be managed and controlled so as to maximize the conservation of all forms of energy, based upon sound economic principles.”

Findings: With respect to energy use from transportation, as identified above in response to Goal 12, the City maintains a TSP that aims to “make it more convenient for people to walk, bicycle, use transit, use automobile travel more efficiently, and drive less to meet their daily needs.” This is intended to promote energy conservation related to transportation. Additionally, at the time of building permit review and inspection, the City will also implement energy efficiency requirements for the building itself, as required by the current building code. For these reasons, staff finds the proposal is consistent with Goal 13.

Goal 14: Urbanization

This goal requires cities to estimate future growth and needs for land and then plan and zone enough land to meet those needs. It calls for each city to establish an “urban growth boundary” (UGB) to “identify and separate urbanizable land from rural land.” It specifies seven factors that must be considered in drawing up a UGB. It also lists four criteria to be applied when undeveloped land within a UGB is to be converted to urban uses.

Findings: In the Portland region, most of the functions required by Goal 14 are administered by the Metro regional government rather than by individual cities. The desired development pattern for the region is articulated in Metro’s Regional 2040 Growth Concept, which emphasizes denser development in designated centers and corridors. The Regional 2040 Growth Concept is carried out by Metro’s Urban Growth Management Functional Plan, and the City of Portland is required to conform its zoning regulations to this functional plan. This land use review proposal does not change the UGB surrounding the Portland region and does not affect the Portland Zoning Code’s compliance with Metro’s Urban Growth Management Functional Plan. Therefore, Goal 14 is not applicable.

Goal 15: Willamette Greenway

Goal 15 sets forth procedures for administering the 300 miles of greenway that protects the Willamette River.

Findings: The City of Portland complies with Goal 15 by applying Greenway overlay zones which impose special requirements on development activities near the Willamette River. The subject site for this review is not within a Greenway overlay zone near the Willamette River, so Goal 15 does not apply.

Goal 16: Estuarine Resources

This goal requires local governments to classify Oregon’s 22 major estuaries in four categories: natural, conservation, shallow-draft development, and deep-draft development. It then describes types of land uses and activities that are permissible in those “management units.”

Goal 17: Coastal Shorelands

This goal defines a planning area bounded by the ocean beaches on the west and the coast highway (State Route 101) on the east. It specifies how certain types of land and resources there are to be managed: major marshes, for example, are to be protected. Sites best suited for unique coastal land uses (port facilities, for example) are reserved for “water-dependent” or “water-related” uses.

Goal 18: Beaches and Dunes

Goal 18 sets planning standards for development on various types of dunes. It prohibits residential development on beaches and active foredunes, but allows some other types of development if they meet key criteria. The goal also deals with dune grading, groundwater drawdown in dunal aquifers, and the breaching of foredunes.

Goal 19: Ocean Resources

Goal 19 aims “to conserve the long-term values, benefits, and natural resources of the nearshore ocean and the continental shelf.” It deals with matters such as dumping of dredge spoils and discharging of waste products into the open sea. Goal 19’s main requirements are for state agencies rather than cities and counties.

Findings: Since Portland is not within Oregon’s coastal zone, Goals 16-19 do not apply.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Unless specifically required in the approval criteria listed above, this proposal does not have to meet the development standards in order to be approved during this review process. The plans submitted for a building or zoning permit must demonstrate that all requirements of Title 11 can be met, and that all development standards of Title 33 can be met or have received an Adjustment or Modification via a land use review, prior to the approval of a building or zoning permit.

CONCLUSIONS

The design review process exists to promote the conservation, enhancement, and continued vitality of areas of the City with special scenic, architectural, or cultural value. The proposal meets the applicable design guidelines and therefore warrants approval.

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

Approval of proposed exterior alterations to the Oregon Rail Heritage Center site in the Eastside Subdistrict of the Central City Plan District, to be completed in two phases, including the installation of a historic railroad turntable and a new metal guardrail to surround it, several railroad spurs, new permeable pavers, several railroad crossing signals, new exterior lighting, and new flagpoles.

Approval per the approved site plans, Exhibits C.1 through C.9, signed and dated 11/4/2019, subject to the following conditions:

- A. As part of the building permit application submittal, the following development-related conditions (B through C) must be noted on each of the 4 required site plans or included as a sheet in the numbered set of plans. The sheet on which this information appears must be labeled "ZONING COMPLIANCE PAGE - Case File LU 19-217805 DZ." All requirements must be graphically represented on the site plan, landscape, or other required plan and must be labeled "REQUIRED."
- B. At the time of building permit submittal, a signed Certificate of Compliance form (<https://www.portlandoregon.gov/bds/article/623658>) must be submitted to ensure the permit plans comply with the Design/Historic Resource Review decision and approved exhibits.
- C. No field changes allowed.

Staff Planner: Benjamin Nielsen

Decision rendered by:  on November 4, 2019.
By authority of the Director of the Bureau of Development Services

Decision mailed: November 6, 2019

About this Decision. This land use decision is **not a permit** for development. Permits may be required prior to any work. Contact the Development Services Center at 503-823-7310 for information about permits.

Procedural Information. The application for this land use review was submitted on August 27, 2019, and was determined to be complete on September 10, 2019.

Zoning Code Section 33.700.080 states that Land Use Review applications are reviewed under the regulations in effect at the time the application was submitted, provided that the application is complete at the time of submittal, or complete within 180 days. Therefore this application was reviewed against the Zoning Code in effect on August 27, 2019.

ORS 227.178 states the City must issue a final decision on Land Use Review applications within 120-days of the application being deemed complete. The 120-day review period may be waived or extended at the request of the applicant. In this case, the applicant requested that the 120-day review period be extended by 14 days (see Exhibit G.2). Unless further extended by the applicant, **the 120 days will expire on: January 22, 2020.**

Some of the information contained in this report was provided by the applicant.

As required by Section 33.800.060 of the Portland Zoning Code, the burden of proof is on the applicant to show that the approval criteria are met. The Bureau of Development Services has independently reviewed the information submitted by the applicant and has included this information only where the Bureau of Development Services has determined the information satisfactorily demonstrates compliance with the applicable approval criteria. This report is the decision of the Bureau of Development Services with input from other City and public agencies.

Conditions of Approval. If approved, this project may be subject to a number of specific conditions, listed above. Compliance with the applicable conditions of approval must be documented in all related permit applications. Plans and drawings submitted during the permitting process must illustrate how applicable conditions of approval are met. Any project elements that are specifically required by conditions of approval must be shown on the plans, and labeled as such.

These conditions of approval run with the land, unless modified by future land use reviews. As used in the conditions, the term “applicant” includes the applicant for this land use review, any person undertaking development pursuant to this land use review, the proprietor of the use or development approved by this land use review, and the current owner and future owners of the property subject to this land use review.

Appealing this decision. This decision may be appealed to the Design Commission, which will hold a public hearing. Appeals must be filed **by 4:30 PM on November 20, 2019** at 1900 SW Fourth Ave. Appeals can be filed at the 5th floor reception desk of 1900 SW 4th Avenue Monday through Friday between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm. **An appeal fee of \$250 will be charged.** The appeal fee will be refunded if the appellant prevails. There is no fee for ONI recognized organizations appealing a land use decision for property within the organization’s boundaries. The vote to appeal must be in accordance with the organization’s bylaws. Assistance in filing the appeal and information on fee waivers is available from BDS in the Development Services Center. Please see the appeal form for additional information.

The file and all evidence on this case are available for your review by appointment only. Please call the Request Line at our office, 1900 SW Fourth Avenue, Suite 5000, phone 503-823-7617, to schedule an appointment. I can provide some information over the phone. Copies of all information in the file can be obtained for a fee equal to the cost of services. Additional information about the City of Portland, city bureaus, and a digital copy of the Portland Zoning Code is available on the internet at www.portlandonline.com.

Attending the hearing. If this decision is appealed, a hearing will be scheduled, and you will be notified of the date and time of the hearing. The decision of the Design Commission is final; any further appeal must be made to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) within 21 days of the date of mailing the decision, pursuant to ORS 197.620 and 197.830. Contact LUBA at 775 Summer St NE, Suite 330, Salem, Oregon 97301-1283, or phone 1-503-373-1265 for further information.

Failure to raise an issue by the close of the record at or following the final hearing on this case, in person or by letter, may preclude an appeal to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) on that issue. Also, if you do not raise an issue with enough specificity to give the Design Commission an opportunity to respond to it, that also may preclude an appeal to LUBA on that issue.

Recording the final decision.

If this Land Use Review is approved the final decision will be recorded with the Multnomah County Recorder.

- *Unless appealed*, the final decision will be recorded after **November 21, 2019** by the Bureau of Development Services.

The applicant, builder, or a representative does not need to record the final decision with the Multnomah County Recorder.

For further information on your recording documents please call the Bureau of Development Services Land Use Services Division at 503-823-0625.

Expiration of this approval. An approval expires three years from the date the final decision is rendered unless a building permit has been issued, or the approved activity has begun.

Where a site has received approval for multiple developments, and a building permit is not issued for all of the approved development within three years of the date of the final decision, a new land use review will be required before a permit will be issued for the remaining development, subject to the Zoning Code in effect at that time.

Applying for your permits. A building permit, occupancy permit, or development permit may be required before carrying out an approved project. At the time they apply for a permit, permittees must demonstrate compliance with:

- All conditions imposed herein;
- All applicable development standards, unless specifically exempted as part of this land use review;
- All requirements of the building code; and
- All provisions of the Municipal Code of the City of Portland, and all other applicable ordinances, provisions and regulations of the City.


EXHIBITS

NOT ATTACHED UNLESS INDICATED

- A. Applicant's Submittals
 1. Summary of Application
 2. "Exhibit A" Surface Lease
 3. "Exhibit B" Photos
 4. "Exhibit D" Communications with BES and BES Special Circumstances Application
 5. Drawing Set
 6. Revised Site Plans and Site Lighting Plans, received 10/25/2019
 7. Selux Olivio Grande LED lighting cutsheet, received 10/25/2019
- B. Zoning Map (attached)
- C. Plans/Drawings:
 1. Master Site Plan – Area of Work

2. Enlarged Master Site Plan (attached)
 3. Enlarged Site Plan – Phase 1
 4. Site Lighting Plan
 5. Section at Turntable (attached)
 6. Section at Turntable Edge
 7. Details – Guardrail
 8. Elevation & Details – Entry Gate
 9. Lighting Cutsheet
- D. Notification information:
1. Mailing list
 2. Mailed notice
- E. Agency Responses:
1. Fire Bureau
 2. Life Safety Review Section of BDS
- F. Correspondence:
- No correspondence was received.*
- G. Other:
1. Original LU Application
 2. Email thread re: extension and signed Request for Extension of 120-Day Review Period

The Bureau of Development Services is committed to providing equal access to information and hearings. Please notify us no less than five business days prior to the event if you need special accommodations. Call 503-823-7300 (TTY 503-823-6868).



ZONING


↑ NORTH

CENTRAL CITY PLAN DISTRICT
CENTRAL EASTSIDE SUB DISTRICT

-  Site
-  Also Owned Parcels
-  Historic Landmark
-  Bridge
-  Recreational Trails

File No.	LU 19 - 217805 DZ
1/4 Section	3230,3231
Scale	1 inch = 400 feet
State ID	1S1E02CC 8200
Exhibit	B Aug 30, 2019

Date: 11/20/19
 Planner: [Signature]
 City of Portland
 Bureau of Development Services
 Approved*



GENERAL NOTES:
 1. PROPOSED SITE DEVELOPMENT WILL BE PHASED.
 THIS SITE PLAN SHOWS ALL PROPOSED POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PHASES. PHASE 1 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.2
 PHASE 2 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.3
 PHASE 3 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.4
 PHASE 4 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.5
 PHASE 5 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.6
 PHASE 6 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.7
 PHASE 7 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.8
 PHASE 8 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.9
 PHASE 9 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.10
 PHASE 10 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.11
 PHASE 11 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.12
 PHASE 12 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.13
 PHASE 13 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.14
 PHASE 14 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.15
 PHASE 15 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.16
 PHASE 16 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.17
 PHASE 17 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.18
 PHASE 18 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.19
 PHASE 19 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.20
 PHASE 20 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.21
 PHASE 21 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.22
 PHASE 22 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.23
 PHASE 23 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.24
 PHASE 24 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.25
 PHASE 25 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.26
 PHASE 26 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.27
 PHASE 27 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.28
 PHASE 28 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.29
 PHASE 29 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.30
 PHASE 30 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.31
 PHASE 31 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.32
 PHASE 32 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.33
 PHASE 33 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.34
 PHASE 34 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.35
 PHASE 35 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.36
 PHASE 36 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.37
 PHASE 37 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.38
 PHASE 38 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.39
 PHASE 39 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.40
 PHASE 40 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.41
 PHASE 41 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.42
 PHASE 42 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.43
 PHASE 43 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.44
 PHASE 44 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.45
 PHASE 45 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.46
 PHASE 46 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.47
 PHASE 47 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.48
 PHASE 48 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.49
 PHASE 49 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.50
 PHASE 50 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.51
 PHASE 51 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.52
 PHASE 52 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.53
 PHASE 53 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.54
 PHASE 54 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.55
 PHASE 55 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.56
 PHASE 56 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.57
 PHASE 57 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.58
 PHASE 58 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.59
 PHASE 59 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.60
 PHASE 60 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.61
 PHASE 61 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.62
 PHASE 62 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.63
 PHASE 63 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.64
 PHASE 64 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.65
 PHASE 65 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.66
 PHASE 66 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.67
 PHASE 67 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.68
 PHASE 68 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.69
 PHASE 69 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.70
 PHASE 70 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.71
 PHASE 71 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.72
 PHASE 72 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.73
 PHASE 73 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.74
 PHASE 74 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.75
 PHASE 75 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.76
 PHASE 76 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.77
 PHASE 77 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.78
 PHASE 78 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.79
 PHASE 79 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.80
 PHASE 80 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.81
 PHASE 81 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.82
 PHASE 82 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.83
 PHASE 83 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.84
 PHASE 84 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.85
 PHASE 85 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.86
 PHASE 86 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.87
 PHASE 87 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.88
 PHASE 88 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.89
 PHASE 89 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.90
 PHASE 90 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.91
 PHASE 91 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.92
 PHASE 92 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.93
 PHASE 93 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.94
 PHASE 94 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.95
 PHASE 95 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.96
 PHASE 96 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.97
 PHASE 97 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.98
 PHASE 98 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.99
 PHASE 99 DEVELOPMENT SEE SHEET 2.100

2.1 - ENLARGED MASTER SITE PLAN
 10/19-21/2019 DZ
 C.Z

ORHC TURNTABLE | OREGON RAIL HERITAGE FOUNDATION | 08/23/19

Hennebery Eddy Architects



Approved
 City of Portland
 Bureau of Development Services
 Planner *[Signature]*
 Date *8/23/2019*
 * This approval applies only to the review requested and is subject to all applicable rules and regulations.

EXISTING TURNTABLE EQUIPMENT ASSEMBLY PRIOR TO RESTORATION

