

Appendix B Demographics cross-tab tables for questionnaire data

“One set of changes will address the scale of houses and what may be allowed as new houses are built and old houses are remodeled in existing neighborhoods. For each of the following, please indicate if you think the proposed change to address the housing needs of current and future generations is moving in the right direction or in the wrong direction.” (Question 8)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Limit the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot it's built on.				
Own	80.7%	12.8%	6.5%	1,877
Rent	69.8%	18.7%	11.4%	315
Allow additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs). ADUs are detached spaces that sometimes function as rental units or mother-in-law apartments.				
Own	68.4%	20.1%	11.5%	1,876
Rent	76.3%	13.1%	10.6%	312
Allow additional square footage for basements.				
Own	79.4%	7.2%	13.4%	1,863
Rent	77%	5.8%	17.3%	313
Allow taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.				
Own	58.9%	24.9%	16.2%	1,866
Rent	58.8%	21.4%	19.8%	313
Reduce overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.				
Own	64%	17.9%	18.1%	1,859
Rent	41.5%	28.1%	30.4%	313
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).				
Own	61.9%	18.8%	19.3%	1,865
Rent	42.8%	29.1%	28.1%	313
Increase minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allow houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.				
Own	68.5%	18.1%	13.4%	1,861
Rent	56.8%	24.5%	18.7%	310

Differences between age groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Limit the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot it's built on.				
Under 45	72%	17.5%	10.5%	978
Over 45	84.9%	10.4%	4.6%	1,228
Allow additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs). ADUs are detached spaces that sometimes function as rental units or mother-in-law apartments.				
Under 45	77.4%	12.8%	9.7%	975
Over 45	63.5%	23.7%	12.8%	1,227
Allow additional square footage for basements.				
Under 45	82.2%	4.2%	13.6%	971
Over 45	76.6%	9%	14.4%	1,220
Allow taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.				

Under 45	56.6%	23.9%	19.5%	972
Over 45	61%	24.4%	14.6%	1,220
Reduce overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.				
Under 45	49.4%	26.3%	24.3%	971
Over 45	70.1%	13.7%	16.2%	1,214
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).				
Under 45	48%	27.9%	24.2%	973
Over 45	68.2%	13.9%	17.9%	1,219
Increase minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allow houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.				
Under 45	60%	23.1%	16.9%	970
Over 45	72.2%	15.7%	12.1%	1,213

Differences between income groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Limit the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot it's built on.				
Under \$50k	81%	13%	7%	288
\$50K - \$99K	81%	12%	6%	690
\$100K or more	78%	15%	7%	745
Allow additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).				
Under \$50k	69%	20%	11%	287
\$50K - \$99K	72%	16%	12%	688
\$100K or more	77%	15%	8%	746
Allow additional square footage for basements.				
Under \$50k	79%	8%	14%	289
\$50K - \$99K	80%	5%	15%	683
\$100K or more	83%	5%	11%	745
Allow taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.				
Under \$50k	61%	22%	17%	286
\$50K - \$99K	60%	21.9%	18%	688
\$100K or more	61.4%	23.4%	15.2%	744
Reduce overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.				
Under \$50k	61.7%	16.4%	22%	287
\$50K - \$99K	64.1%	16.8%	19%	683
\$100K or more	57.9%	22.5%	19.7%	743
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).				
Under \$50k	60%	19.3%	20.7%	290
\$50K - \$99K	62%	18.4%	19.6%	689
\$100K or more	55.9%	24.1%	20.1%	743
Increase minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allow houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.				
Under \$50k	63.5%	21.5%	14.9%	288
\$50K - \$99K	66.7%	17.5%	15.8%	684
\$100K or more	68.4%	19.9%	11.7%	744

Differences between different household sizes

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Limit the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot it's built on.				
1 or 2 people	82.2%	11.5%	6.3%	1,234
3 or more people	75.4%	17%	7.6%	778
Allow additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs). ADUs are detached spaces that sometimes function as rental units or mother-in-law apartments.				
1 or 2 people	67.9%	20.3%	11.8%	1,232
3 or more people	74.4%	16.2%	9.4%	777
Allow additional square footage for basements.				
1 or 2 people	77.7%	7.7%	14.5%	1,226
3 or more people	82.7%	5.8%	11.5%	774
Allow taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.				
1 or 2 people	61.7%	23.5%	14.8%	1,227
3 or more people	56%	25%	19%	775
Reduce overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.				
1 or 2 people	64.5%	17.4%	18.1%	1,223
3 or more people	56.8%	22.2%	20.9%	774
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).				
1 or 2 people	62.8%	18.2%	18.9%	1,230
3 or more people	55.4%	23.9%	20.8%	775
Increase minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allow houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.				
1 or 2 people	67.9%	18.6%	13.5%	1,226
3 or more people	64.6%	20.6%	14.9%	773

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Limit the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot it's built on.				
Caucasian/White	79.9%	13.4%	6.7%	1,929
Communities of Color	77.7%	12.5%	9.8%	184
Allow additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs). ADUs are detached spaces that sometimes function as rental units or mother-in-law apartments.				
Caucasian/White	71.6%	17.6%	10.8%	1,928
Communities of Color	67.4%	20.7%	12%	184
Allow additional square footage for basements.				
Caucasian/White	79.8%	6.5%	13.7%	1,919
Communities of Color	78.7%	7.1%	14.2%	183
Allow taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.				
Caucasian/White	60%	23.2%	16.8%	1,916
Communities of Color	57.4%	25.1%	17.5%	183
Reduce overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.				
Caucasian/White	61%	18.9%	20.1%	1,913
Communities of Color	57.1%	22.5%	20.3%	182
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).				
Caucasian/White	59.5%	19.9%	20.6%	1,920

Communities of Color	53.3%	22.5%	24.2%	182
Increase minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allow houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.				
Caucasian/White	67.6%	18.1%	14.3%	1,912
Communities of Color	57.5%	27.1%	15.5%	181

Differences by length of time living in Portland

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Limit the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot it's built on.				
Less than 10 years	75.6%	15.6%	8.9%	630
20 years or more	80.6%	13.7%	5.6%	1,033
Allow additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs). ADUs are detached spaces that sometimes function as rental units or mother-in-law apartments.				
Less than 10 years	76.1%	14.2%	9.7%	627
20 years or more	64.3%	23.2%	12.5%	1,033
Allow additional square footage for basements.				
Less than 10 years	77.5%	6.5%	15.9%	627
20 years or more	80%	6.7%	13.3%	1,025
Allow taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.				
Less than 10 years	59.8%	23.3%	16.9%	627
20 years or more	57.8%	27.5%	14.7%	1,027
Reduce overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.				
Less than 10 years	51.7%	26.5%	21.8%	623
20 years or more	65.6%	16.5%	17.9%	1,022
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).				
Less than 10 years	52.4%	25.6%	22%	628
20 years or more	63.6%	18.4%	18%	1,028
Increase minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allow houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.				
Less than 10 years	61.7%	23.8%	14.5%	621
20 years or more	69.7%	17.6%	12.7%	1,024

Differences by geography¹

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Limit the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot it's built on.				
Western ZIP codes	78.8%	16.7%	4.5%	401
Inner ZIP codes	79.4%	12.9%	7.7%	1,666
Eastern ZIP codes	79.9%	12.7%	7.5%	134
Allow additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs). ADUs are detached spaces that sometimes function as rental units or mother-in-law apartments.				
Western ZIP codes	66%	22%	12%	400
Inner ZIP codes	70.4%	18.1%	11.5%	1,662
Eastern ZIP codes	69.6%	23%	7.4%	135
Allow additional square footage for basements.				
Western ZIP codes	75.4%	7.9%	16.8%	394

¹ Western ZIP codes include 97005, 97006, 97201, 97205, 97209, 97210, 97219, 97221, 97223, 97225, 97229, 97239. Inner ZIP codes include 97203, 97217, 97211, 97218, 97227, 97212, 97213, 97215, 97214, 97232, 97202, 97206, 97222. Eastern ZIP codes include 97220, 97216, 97266, 97230, 97233, 97236

Inner ZIP codes	79.5%	6.6%	13.8%	1,656
Eastern ZIP codes	84.4%	5.9%	9.6%	135
Allow taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.				
Western ZIP codes	62.5%	25.5%	12%	400
Inner ZIP codes	58.1%	23.7%	18.2%	1,651
Eastern ZIP codes	57.8%	25.9%	16.3%	135
Reduce overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.				
Western ZIP codes	57.4%	23.7%	18.9%	397
Inner ZIP codes	62.5%	17.7%	19.8%	1,647
Eastern ZIP codes	55.6%	21.8%	22.6%	133
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).				
Western ZIP codes	55.4%	24.4%	20.2%	397
Inner ZIP codes	60.5%	18.6%	20.9%	1,654
Eastern ZIP codes	56.7%	24.6%	18.7%	134
Increase minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allow houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.				
Western ZIP codes	67.2%	20.8%	12%	399
Inner ZIP codes	66.3%	18.9%	14.8%	1,646
Eastern ZIP codes	75.2%	11.3%	13.5%	133

"From this list (from Question 8), which one is most important to you?" (Question 9)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Own	Rent
Limiting the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot they are built on.	54%	38%
Allowing additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).	22%	37%
Allowing additional square footage for basements.	4%	5%
Allowing taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.	5%	8%
Reducing overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.	8%	5%
Lowering the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).	2%	2%
Increasing minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allowing houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.	5%	4%
N =	1757	295

Differences between age groups

	Under 45	Over 45
Limiting the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot they are built on.	42.1%	59.5%
Allowing additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).	33.5%	17.3%
Allowing additional square footage for basements.	4.0%	3.5%
Allowing taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.	7.2%	4.1%

Reducing overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.	6.8%	9.0%
Lowering the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).	1.8%	1.7%
Increasing minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allowing houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.	4.6%	4.9%
N =	933	1131

Differences between income groups

	Under \$50k	\$50K - \$99K	\$100K and above
Limiting the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot they are built on.	55%	52%	46%
Allowing additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).	22%	25%	30%
Allowing additional square footage for basements.	4%	3%	4%
Allowing taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.	6%	4%	6%
Reducing overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.	7%	7%	8%
Lowering the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).	1%	2%	1%
Increasing minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allowing houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.	4%	5%	5%
N =	273	656	708

Differences between different household sizes

	1 or 2 people	3 or more people
Limiting the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot they are built on.	55%	47%
Allowing additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).	22%	30%
Allowing additional square footage for basements.	4%	4%
Allowing taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.	6%	5%
Reducing overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.	8%	8%
Lowering the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).	2%	2%
Increasing minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allowing houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.	5%	4%
N =	1174	731

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Caucasian/ White	Communities of Color
Limiting the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot they are built on.	52%	43%
Allowing additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).	25%	29%
Allowing additional square footage for basements.	4%	4%

Allowing taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.	5%	9%
Reducing overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.	8%	10%
Lowering the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).	2%	2%
Increasing minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allowing houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.	5%	4%
N =	1812	171

Differences by number of years lived in Portland

	Less than 10 years	20 years or more
Limiting the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot they are built on.	47%	56%
Allowing additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).	32%	18%
Allowing additional square footage for basements.	4%	4%
Allowing taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.	6%	5%
Reducing overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.	5%	10%
Lowering the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).	2%	2%
Increasing minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allowing houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.	4%	6%
N =	600	955

Differences by geography

	Western ZIP codes	Inner ZIP codes	Eastern ZIP codes
Limiting the square footage of new houses in relation to the size of the lot they are built on.	55%	52%	43%
Allowing additional square footage for detached structures, like garages and accessory dwelling units (ADUs).	22%	25%	29%
Allowing additional square footage for basements.	3%	4%	6%
Allowing taller houses with a smaller footprint or shorter houses that are more spread out, but not houses that are both tall and spread out.	5%	6%	4%
Reducing overall heights by measuring the height of new houses from the lowest instead of the highest point around the house.	8%	8%	8%
Lowering the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs by 5 feet (from 30 to 25 feet).	2%	1%	3%
Increasing minimum front yard setbacks by 5 feet, but allowing houses to be as close to the street as neighboring houses.	6%	4%	7%
N =	373	1560	127

“The new Comprehensive Plan and recent City Council direction seeks to encourage relatively smaller, less expensive housing types near Centers and Corridors with frequent transit service. These housing types could include multiple units within a structure and would be limited to the same scale as a single dwelling house. Do you think this is where this type of development should be focused?” (Question 10)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Own	Rent
This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	27%	28%
These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	45%	59%
These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	21%	7%
Don't know/Uncertain.	7%	5%
N =	1788	295

Differences between age groups

	Under 45	Over 45
This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	28.2%	26.0%
These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	53.3%	42.7%
These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	13.8%	22.9%
Don't know/Uncertain.	4.6%	8.4%
N =	928	1169

Differences between income groups

	Under \$50k	\$50K - \$99K	\$100K and above
This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	30%	25%	30%
These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	50%	51%	49%
These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	14%	18%	16%
Don't know/Uncertain.	6%	6%	5%
N =	282	685	737

Differences between different household sizes

	1 or 2 people	3 or more people
This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	27%	26%
These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	48%	47%
These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	18%	21%
Don't know/Uncertain.	6%	6%
N =	1218	765

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Caucasian/ White	Communities of Color
This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	28%	24%
These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	47%	51%
These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	18%	19%
Don't know/Uncertain.	6%	7%
N =	1837	177

Differences by years lived in Portland

	Less than 10 years	20 years or more
This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	30%	25%
These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	50%	46%
These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	15%	21%
Don't know/Uncertain.	5%	8%
N =	602	978

Differences by geography

	Western ZIP codes	Inner ZIP codes	Eastern ZIP codes
This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	27%	27%	33%
These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	46%	48%	43%
These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	22%	19%	15%
Don't know/Uncertain.	5%	7%	9%
N =	378	1581	129

Differences between 14 ZIP codes with most respondents

ZIP code	ZIP code area	These housing types should be more broadly applied throughout the city to offer more choices in more places.	These housing types should be more concentrated in specific, smaller areas of the city to focus change.	This is the right place to encourage these housing types.	N =
97203	North	65%	9%	23%	69
97218	Northeast	62%	9%	17%	47
97206	Southeast	55%	6%	31%	163
97217	North	55%	15%	24%	121
97239	Southwest	53%	19%	23%	53
97214	Southeast	52%	19%	24%	200
97215	Southeast	49%	25%	21%	116
97213	Northeast	44%	23%	24%	215
97211	North	43%	19%	28%	159
97202	Southeast	42%	20%	30%	248
97232	Northeast	41%	27%	28%	71
97219	Southwest	38%	26%	28%	197
97212	Northeast	37%	25%	33%	150
97220	East	37%	17%	40%	35
Total		47%	19%	27%	2114

“For each of the following please indicate if you think the proposed change for housing types near Centers and Corridors is moving in the right direction or the wrong direction to address the needs of current and future residents. These housing types would be limited to the same scale as a single dwelling house.” (Question 11)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow duplexes on all lots.				
Own	49.8%	41.6%	8.6%	1,805
Rent	79%	13.7%	7.3%	300
Allow triplexes on corner lots.				
Own	47.6%	41.3%	11%	1,795
Rent	77.6%	13.7%	8.7%	299
Allow houses to have two ADUs (one in the house and one in a detached structure).				
Own	50.3%	39.4%	10.2%	1,805
Rent	70%	21.7%	8.3%	300
Allow a duplex to have a detached ADU.				
Own	43%	44.5%	12.6%	1,795
Rent	70%	18.3%	11.7%	300
Offer a bonus unit for providing an affordable or accessible unit.				
Own	55.6%	29.1%	15.3%	1,800
Rent	79.3%	12%	8.7%	300
Offer a bonus unit for internally converting an existing or historic house.				
Own	61.9%	24.1%	14%	1,800
Rent	77.9%	12.1%	10.10%	298

Differences between age groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow duplexes on all lots.				
Under 45	65.4%	26.4%	8.2%	936
Over 45	45.3%	46.1%	8.5%	1,182
Allow triplexes on corner lots.				
Under 45	65.1%	24.2%	10.7%	935
Over 45	41.5%	47.7%	10.8%	1,172
Allow houses to have two ADUs (one in the house and one in a detached structure).				
Under 45	67.2%	24%	8.8%	938
Over 45	42.1%	46.7%	11.2%	1,180
Allow a duplex to have a detached ADU.				
Under 45	61.4%	27%	11.7%	935
Over 45	35.6%	50.9%	13.5%	1,173
Offer a bonus unit for providing an affordable or accessible unit.				
Under 45	69.9%	17.9%	12.2%	936
Over 45	50.5%	33.3%	16.2%	1,177
Offer a bonus unit for internally converting an existing or historic house.				
Under 45	72.6%	15.3%	12%	932
Over 45	57.7%	27.7%	14.7%	1,179

Differences between income groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow duplexes on all lots.				
Under \$50k	55.8%	37.1%	7.1%	283
\$50K - \$99K	59%	33.1%	7.8%	688
\$100K or more	58.8%	32.4%	8.7%	746
Allow triplexes on corner lots.				
Under \$50k	53.6%	36.4%	10%	280
\$50K - \$99K	54.2%	35.5%	10.2%	684
\$100K or more	58.6%	31.8%	9.7%	746
Allow houses to have two ADUs (one in the house and one in a detached structure).				
Under \$50k	52.8%	37.7%	9.5%	284
\$50K - \$99K	56.2%	33.8%	10%	689
\$100K or more	60.1%	30.4%	9.5%	746
Allow a duplex to have a detached ADU.				
Under \$50k	48.8%	39.5%	11.7%	281
\$50K - \$99K	50.4%	36.9%	12.7%	686
\$100K or more	53.4%	34.3%	12.3%	740
Offer a bonus unit for providing an affordable or accessible unit.				
Under \$50k	60.1%	23.3%	16.6%	283
\$50K - \$99K	63.5%	23.5%	12.9%	688
\$100K or more	64.3%	21.7%	14%	745
Offer a bonus unit for internally converting an existing or historic house.				
Under \$50k	66.4%	19.6%	13.9%	280
\$50K - \$99K	67.8%	19.4%	12.8%	687
\$100K or more	67.7%	20.3%	12%	743

Differences between different household sizes

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow duplexes on all lots.				
1 or 2 people	54.5%	37.6%	7.9%	1,227
3 or more people	54.4%	37.2%	8.4%	774
Allow triplexes on corner lots.				
1 or 2 people	50.9%	39.2%	10%	1,223
3 or more people	55%	34.5%	10.5%	769
Allow houses to have two ADUs (one in the house and one in a detached structure).				
1 or 2 people	50.9%	39.8%	9.4%	1,227
3 or more people	58%	32%	10.1%	776
Allow a duplex to have a detached ADU.				
1 or 2 people	45%	43.3%	11.7%	1,219
3 or more people	51.7%	35.2%	13.1%	772
Offer a bonus unit for providing an affordable or accessible unit.				
1 or 2 people	58.2%	28.5%	13.3%	1,224
3 or more people	60.7%	24.1%	15.2%	776
Offer a bonus unit for internally converting an existing or historic house.				
1 or 2 people	63.3%	23.8%	12.8%	1,222
3 or more people	66.3%	20.1%	13.6%	772

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow duplexes on all lots.				
Caucasian/White	55.6%	35.6%	8.7%	1,853
Communities of Color	48.6%	41.3%	10.1%	179
Allow triplexes on corner lots.				
Caucasian/White	53.7%	35.7%	10.6%	1,844
Communities of Color	48%	40.7%	11.3%	177
Allow houses to have two ADUs (one in the house and one in a detached structure).				
Caucasian/White	54.8%	35.2%	10%	1,854
Communities of Color	54.2%	36.3%	9.5%	179
Allow a duplex to have a detached ADU.				
Caucasian/White	48.6%	38.9%	12.5%	1,846
Communities of Color	48.3%	38.6%	13.1%	176
Offer a bonus unit for providing an affordable or accessible unit.				
Caucasian/White	60.3%	25.2%	14.5%	1,849
Communities of Color	61.5%	27.4%	11.2%	179
Offer a bonus unit for internally converting an existing or historic house.				
Caucasian/White	65.1%	21.4%	13.5%	1,845
Communities of Color	63.7%	22.9%	13.4%	179

Differences by years lived in Portland

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow duplexes on all lots.				
Less than 10 years	62.2%	29.1%	8.7%	609
20 years or more	46.8%	45%	8.2%	985
Allow triplexes on corner lots.				
Less than 10 years	62.2%	28.4%	9.4%	609
20 years or more	44%	44.6%	11.4%	977
Allow houses to have two ADUs (one in the house and one in a detached structure).				
Less than 10 years	63.7%	27.2%	9.2%	611
20 years or more	42.2%	46.6%	11.2%	984
Allow a duplex to have a detached ADU.				
Less than 10 years	58%	30.6%	11.4%	605
20 years or more	37.7%	49.3%	13.1%	980
Offer a bonus unit for providing an affordable or accessible unit.				
Less than 10 years	69.1%	19.8%	11.1%	611
20 years or more	51.7%	33.5%	14.7%	978
Offer a bonus unit for internally converting an existing or historic house.				
Less than 10 years	72.6%	15.6%	11.8%	609
20 years or more	57.6%	28.8%	13.6%	979

Differences by geography

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow duplexes on all lots.				
Western ZIP codes	55%	38.5%	6.5%	387
Inner ZIP codes	53.7%	37.4%	8.9%	1,592
Eastern ZIP codes	53.1%	38.5%	8.5%	130
Allow triplexes on corner lots.				
Western ZIP codes	51.3%	38.3%	10.4%	384
Inner ZIP codes	51.9%	37.3%	10.8%	1,587

Eastern ZIP codes	51.6%	36.7%	11.7%	128
Allow houses to have two ADUs (one in the house and one in a detached structure).				
Western ZIP codes	47.7%	41.5%	10.9%	386
Inner ZIP codes	54.1%	36%	9.9%	1,594
Eastern ZIP codes	54.6%	33.8%	11.5%	130
Allow a duplex to have a detached ADU.				
Western ZIP codes	42.7%	45%	12.3%	382
Inner ZIP codes	47.8%	39.3%	12.9%	1,587
Eastern ZIP codes	47.7%	42.3%	10%	130
Offer a bonus unit for providing an affordable or accessible unit.				
Western ZIP codes	54.7%	30.5%	14.8%	384
Inner ZIP codes	59.6%	26%	14.4%	1,590
Eastern ZIP codes	58.5%	26.2%	15.4%	130
Offer a bonus unit for internally converting an existing or historic house.				
Western ZIP codes	58.8%	24.4%	16.8%	386
Inner ZIP codes	65.3%	21.8%	12.9%	1,585
Eastern ZIP codes	63.6%	24.8%	11.6%	129

“To further encourage other housing types citywide, beyond just those in Centers and Corridors, the following changes are being proposed for all single-dwelling zoned lots. Please indicate if you think the proposed change to address the needs of current and future residents is moving in the right direction or the wrong direction.” (Question 12)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow cottage clusters on large lots (at least 10,000 square feet).				
Own	65%	22.8%	12.2%	1,801
Rent	81.6%	10%	8.4%	299
Require at least two housing units for double sized lots in the R2.5 zone.				
Own	32.1%	46.9%	21%	1,801
Rent	62%	18%	20%	300

Differences between age groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow cottage clusters on large lots (at least 10,000 square feet).				
Under 45	73.5%	15.4%	11.1%	935
Over 45	62.7%	25.2%	12.1%	1,177
Require at least two housing units for double sized lots in the R2.5 zone.				
Under 45	44.6%	34.2%	21.3%	936
Over 45	29.9%	49.4%	20.6%	1,177

Differences between income groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow cottage clusters on large lots (at least 10,000 square feet).				
Under \$50k	69.2%	17.5%	13.3%	286
\$50K - \$99K	71.7%	17.2%	11.1%	686
\$100K or more	72.5%	17%	10.5%	746
Require at least two housing units for double sized lots in the R2.5 zone.				
Under \$50k	41.7%	38.9%	19.4%	283
\$50K - \$99K	41%	38.5%	20.4%	685
\$100K or more	38.4%	40.8%	20.7%	747

Differences between different household sizes

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow cottage clusters on large lots (at least 10,000 square feet).				
1 or 2 people	68.8%	21.4%	9.8%	1,223
3 or more people	67.1%	19.5%	13.4%	776
Require at least two housing units for double sized lots in the R2.5 zone.				
1 or 2 people	40.1%	42%	18%	1,225
3 or more people	32%	44.3%	23.7%	772

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow cottage clusters on large lots (at least 10,000 square feet).				
Caucasian/White	68.8%	20%	11.2%	1,849
Communities of Color	62.7%	23.2%	14.1%	177
Require at least two housing units for double sized lots in the R2.5 zone.				
Caucasian/White	38.2%	41.1%	20.7%	1,851
Communities of Color	33.7%	43.8%	22.5%	178

Differences by years lived in Portland

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow cottage clusters on large lots (at least 10,000 square feet).				
Less than 10 years	73.6%	16.1%	10.2%	607
20 years or more	61.7%	26.5%	11.8%	984
Require at least two housing units for double sized lots in the R2.5 zone.				
Less than 10 years	44.7%	35.8%	19.5%	609
20 years or more	29.7%	51%	19.2%	982

Differences by geography

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Allow cottage clusters on large lots (at least 10,000 square feet).				
Western ZIP codes	65.1%	25.8%	9.1%	384
Inner ZIP codes	68.3%	19.4%	12.3%	1,591
Eastern ZIP codes	60.8%	27.7%	11.5%	130
Require at least two housing units for double sized lots in the R2.5 zone.				
Western ZIP codes	35.8%	48%	16.2%	383
Inner ZIP codes	36.5%	41.8%	21.7%	1,593
Eastern ZIP codes	28.7%	45.7%	25.6%	129

“For reference, historically narrow lots were created before modern zoning. Most are 25 feet wide and 100 feet deep. For each of the following, please indicate if you think the proposed change is moving in the right direction or the wrong direction as one solution to address the housing needs of current and future residents.” (Question 13)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs on narrow lots by 3 feet (from 23 to 20 feet).				
Own	62.8%	17.5%	19.7%	1,754
Rent	44.8%	28.3%	26.9%	290
Prevent street-facing garages for new houses on narrow lots; encourage shared driveways or alley accessed garages instead.				
Own	62.4%	24.8%	12.8%	1,757
Rent	71.4%	15.5%	13.1%	290
Preserve on-street parking by not requiring new houses on narrow lots to provide off-street parking.				
Own	36.4%	50.2%	13.3%	1,754
Rent	56.6%	29%	14.5%	290
Require new houses on narrow lots to be attached when replacing an existing house.				
Own	37.9%	25%	37.1%	1,749
Rent	40.9%	16.5%	42.6%	291
Allow flag lots (one lot behind another) when keeping an existing house as an alternative to narrow houses.				
Own	59.6%	24%	16.4%	1,311
Rent	68.2%	13.6%	18.2%	220

Differences between age groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs on narrow lots by 3 feet (from 23 to 20 feet).				
Under 45	49.7%	25.6%	24.7%	902
Over 45	68.5%	13.6%	17.9%	1,154
Prevent street-facing garages for new houses on narrow lots; encourage shared driveways or alley accessed garages instead.				
Under 45	66.4%	20.6%	13%	905
Over 45	61.4%	25.7%	12.8%	1,154
Preserve on-street parking by not requiring new houses on narrow lots to provide off-street parking.				
Under 45	49.9%	35.3%	14.7%	903
Over 45	31%	56.4%	12.6%	1,153
Require new houses on narrow lots to be attached when replacing an existing house.				
Under 45	37.3%	22.3%	40.4%	904
Over 45	39%	24.9%	36.1%	1,148
Allow flag lots (one lot behind another) when keeping an existing house as an alternative to narrow houses.				
Under 45	64.4%	17.7%	18%	657
Over 45	58%	26.1%	15.8%	884

Differences between income groups

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs on narrow lots by 3 feet (from 23 to 20 feet).				
Under \$50k	61.8%	17.7%	20.5%	283
\$50K - \$99K	63.4%	16.3%	20.3%	681
\$100K or more	54.7%	22.6%	22.6%	742
Prevent street-facing garages for new houses on narrow lots; encourage shared driveways or alley accessed garages instead.				
Under \$50k	64.3%	24.4%	11.3%	283
\$50K - \$99K	66.7%	21.5%	11.8%	685
\$100K or more	65.5%	22%	12.5%	745
Preserve on-street parking by not requiring new houses on narrow lots to provide off-street parking.				
Under \$50k	39.9%	49.1%	11%	283
\$50K - \$99K	41.6%	44.1%	14.4%	681
\$100K or more	45.5%	39.6%	14.9%	743
Require new houses on narrow lots to be attached when replacing an existing house.				
Under \$50k	40.4%	22%	37.6%	282
\$50K - \$99K	40.9%	20.6%	38.5%	684
\$100K or more	37.5%	24.9%	37.6%	742
Allow flag lots (one lot behind another) when keeping an existing house as an alternative to narrow houses.				
Under \$50k	64%	21.2%	14.9%	222
\$50K - \$99K	61.9%	20.8%	17.3%	520
\$100K or more	65.3%	19.5%	15.1%	548

Differences between different household sizes

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs on narrow lots by 3 feet (from 23 to 20 feet).				
1 or 2 people	62.5%	17.2%	20.4%	1,223
3 or more people	57%	21.6%	21.4%	767
Prevent street-facing garages for new houses on narrow lots; encourage shared driveways or alley accessed garages instead.				
1 or 2 people	63.3%	23.5%	13.2%	1,223
3 or more people	65.9%	23.1%	11%	771
Preserve on-street parking by not requiring new houses on narrow lots to provide off-street parking.				
1 or 2 people	37.7%	49.9%	12.4%	1,222
3 or more people	43.4%	41.4%	15.2%	768
Require new houses on narrow lots to be attached when replacing an existing house.				
1 or 2 people	40.8%	23.3%	35.9%	1,219
3 or more people	35%	24.8%	40.2%	766
Allow flag lots (one lot behind another) when keeping an existing house as an alternative to narrow houses.				
1 or 2 people	62.6%	22.5%	14.9%	924
3 or more people	59.6%	22%	18.4%	572

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs on narrow lots by 3 feet (from 23 to 20 feet).				
Caucasian/White	60.4%	18.3%	21.4%	1,801
Communities of Color	53.8%	22.2%	24%	171
Prevent street-facing garages for new houses on narrow lots; encourage shared driveways or alley accessed garages instead.				
Caucasian/White	65%	21.9%	13.1%	1,802
Communities of Color	56.2%	27.8%	16%	169
Preserve on-street parking by not requiring new houses on narrow lots to provide off-street parking.				
Caucasian/White	40.5%	45.9%	13.7%	1,801
Communities of Color	38.1%	46.4%	15.5%	168
Require new houses on narrow lots to be attached when replacing an existing house.				
Caucasian/White	38%	22.7%	39.3%	1,797
Communities of Color	32%	32.5%	35.5%	169
Allow flag lots (one lot behind another) when keeping an existing house as an alternative to narrow houses.				
Caucasian/White	62.1%	21.5%	16.4%	1,359
Communities of Color	58.1%	21.8%	20.2%	124

Differences by years lived in Portland

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs on narrow lots by 3 feet (from 23 to 20 feet).				
Less than 10 years	50.4%	23.5%	26.1%	595
20 years or more	65.6%	16.7%	17.7%	963
Prevent street-facing garages for new houses on narrow lots; encourage shared driveways or alley accessed garages instead.				
Less than 10 years	66.7%	19.5%	13.8%	595
20 years or more	59.7%	27.7%	12.6%	965
Preserve on-street parking by not requiring new houses on narrow lots to provide off-street parking.				
Less than 10 years	49.2%	37.7%	13.1%	594
20 years or more	31.5%	55.8%	12.7%	965
Require new houses on narrow lots to be attached when replacing an existing house.				
Less than 10 years	36.9%	23.3%	39.8%	593
20 years or more	38.6%	27%	34.4%	962
Allow flag lots (one lot behind another) when keeping an existing house as an alternative to narrow houses.				
Less than 10 years	64.3%	17.2%	18.4%	429
20 years or more	58.6%	26%	15.4%	749

Differences by geography

	Right direction	Wrong direction	Don't know/Uncertain	N =
Lower the allowed height of new houses with flat roofs on narrow lots by 3 feet (from 23 to 20 feet).				
Western ZIP codes	57.2%	21.8%	21%	376
Inner ZIP codes	61%	18.1%	20.9%	1,552
Eastern ZIP codes	63.7%	19.4%	16.9%	124
Prevent street-facing garages for new houses on narrow lots; encourage shared driveways or alley accessed garages instead.				
Western ZIP codes	57.9%	26.4%	15.7%	382
Inner ZIP codes	66.7%	22%	11.3%	1,548
Eastern ZIP codes	43.2%	36%	20.8%	125
Preserve on-street parking by not requiring new houses on narrow lots to provide off-street parking.				
Western ZIP codes	30.5%	56.2%	13.3%	377

Inner ZIP codes	41.5%	44.8%	13.7%	1,550
Eastern ZIP codes	33.9%	56.5%	9.7%	124
Require new houses on narrow lots to be attached when replacing an existing house.				
Western ZIP codes	35.1%	27.1%	37.8%	376
Inner ZIP codes	38.5%	22.8%	38.7%	1,548
Eastern ZIP codes	44.4%	26.6%	29%	124
Allow flag lots (one lot behind another) when keeping an existing house as an alternative to narrow houses.				
Western ZIP codes	66.2%	21.2%	12.6%	278
Inner ZIP codes	58.7%	22.9%	18.4%	1,162
Eastern ZIP codes	67.4%	24.2%	8.4%	95

“Historically narrow lots (predominantly 25 feet wide by 100 feet deep) only appear in some parts of the city. These lots provide another option for smaller, less expensive new homes. Where should housing be allowed on historically narrow lots? From the following options, please select the one you agree with the most.” (Question 14)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Own	Rent
Houses should not be allowed on any historically narrow lot.	14.9%	6.5%
Houses should be allowed on historically narrow lots in some areas, such as within two blocks of neighborhood centers and transit corridors.	17.7%	11.0%
Houses should be allowed on most historically narrow lots, such as within ¼ mile of transit stations, neighborhood centers and transit corridors	14.4%	13.7%
Houses should be allowed on all historically narrow lots.	23.3%	30.8%
The City should explore other ways to allow narrow houses throughout more parts of the city, regardless of historical platting.	20.5%	33.6%
None of the above.	9.2%	4.5%
N =	1744	292

Differences between age groups

	Under 45	Over 45
Houses should not be allowed on any historically narrow lot.	11.0%	15.7%
Houses should be allowed on historically narrow lots in some areas, such as within two blocks of neighborhood centers and transit corridors.	12.0%	20.5%
Houses should be allowed on most historically narrow lots, such as within ¼ mile of transit stations, neighborhood centers and transit corridors	15.3%	13.6%
Houses should be allowed on all historically narrow lots.	30.4%	19.4%
The City should explore other ways to allow narrow houses throughout more parts of the city, regardless of historical platting.	25.2%	20.7%
None of the above.	6.0%	10.2%
N =	900	1147

Differences between income groups

	Under \$50k	\$50K - \$99K	\$100K and above
Houses should not be allowed on any historically narrow lot.	16%	11%	12%
Houses should be allowed on historically narrow lots in some areas, such as within two blocks of neighborhood centers and transit corridors.	15%	18%	16%
Houses should be allowed on most historically narrow lots, such as within ¼ mile of transit stations, neighborhood centers and transit corridors	12%	16%	15%
Houses should be allowed on all historically narrow lots.	22%	23%	29%
The City should explore other ways to allow narrow houses throughout more parts of the city, regardless of historical platting.	28%	25%	22%
None of the above.	8%	8%	6%
N =	282	682	738

Differences between different household sizes

	1 or 2 people	3 or more people
Houses should not be allowed on any historically narrow lot.	14%	13%
Houses should be allowed on historically narrow lots in some areas, such as within two blocks of neighborhood centers and transit corridors.	18%	15%
Houses should be allowed on most historically narrow lots, such as within ¼ mile of transit stations, neighborhood centers and transit corridors	14%	16%
Houses should be allowed on all historically narrow lots.	24%	25%
The City should explore other ways to allow narrow houses throughout more parts of the city, regardless of historical platting.	22%	23%
None of the above.	9%	7%
N =	1221	767

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Caucasian/ White	Communities of Color
Houses should not be allowed on any historically narrow lot.	13%	15%
Houses should be allowed on historically narrow lots in some areas, such as within two blocks of neighborhood centers and transit corridors.	17%	11%
Houses should be allowed on most historically narrow lots, such as within ¼ mile of transit stations, neighborhood centers and transit corridors	15%	13%
Houses should be allowed on all historically narrow lots.	25%	25%
The City should explore other ways to allow narrow houses throughout more parts of the city, regardless of historical platting.	23%	26%
None of the above.	8%	10%
N =	1790	170

Differences by years lived in Portland

	Less than 10 years	20 years or more
Houses should not be allowed on any historically narrow lot.	11%	16%
Houses should be allowed on historically narrow lots in some areas, such as within two blocks of neighborhood centers and transit corridors.	15%	18%
Houses should be allowed on most historically narrow lots, such as within ¼ mile of transit stations, neighborhood centers and transit corridors	16%	14%
Houses should be allowed on all historically narrow lots.	30%	19%

The City should explore other ways to allow narrow houses throughout more parts of the city, regardless of historical platting.	24%	22%
None of the above.	4%	12%
N =	589	960

Differences by geography

	Western ZIP codes	Inner ZIP codes	Eastern ZIP codes
Houses should not be allowed on any historically narrow lot.	11%	14%	12%
Houses should be allowed on historically narrow lots in some areas, such as within two blocks of neighborhood centers and transit corridors.	23%	15%	18%
Houses should be allowed on most historically narrow lots, such as within ¼ mile of transit stations, neighborhood centers and transit corridors	13%	15%	11%
Houses should be allowed on all historically narrow lots.	25%	24%	23%
The City should explore other ways to allow narrow houses throughout more parts of the city, regardless of historical platting.	19%	23%	29%
None of the above.	8%	8%	7%
N =	378	1543	123

“From this list, what one item are you most interested in?” (Question 15)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Own	Rent
Where in the city duplexes and triplexes would be allowed	15.6%	16.2%
Where in the city cottage clusters would be allowed	6.3%	4.7%
Encouraging more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	9.1%	4.7%
Encouraging additional affordable units	17.4%	42.8%
Encouraging more accessible (age-friendly) units	4.0%	5.0%
Incentives to retain existing houses	34.1%	17.3%
Where housing on narrow lots would be allowed	4.0%	3.6%
Garages and parking for detached narrow houses	9.6%	5.8%
N =	1658	278

Differences between age groups

	Under 45	Over 45
Where in the city duplexes and triplexes would be allowed	17.5%	14.4%
Where in the city cottage clusters would be allowed	4.8%	6.9%
Encouraging more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	10.6%	7.3%
Encouraging additional affordable units	29.3%	14.5%
Encouraging more accessible (age-friendly) units	2.6%	5.3%
Incentives to retain existing houses	23.3%	38.0%
Where housing on narrow lots would be allowed	4.3%	3.6%
Garages and parking for detached narrow houses	7.7%	10.0%
N =	859	1088

Differences between income groups

	Under \$50k	\$50K - \$99K	\$100K and above
Where in the city duplexes and triplexes would be allowed	11%	14%	18%
Where in the city cottage clusters would be allowed	4%	7%	5%
Encouraging more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	6%	9%	12%
Encouraging additional affordable units	27%	23%	21%
Encouraging more accessible (age-friendly) units	6%	4%	3%
Incentives to retain existing houses	33%	30%	29%
Where housing on narrow lots would be allowed	2%	4%	5%
Garages and parking for detached narrow houses	9%	9%	8%
N =	277	655	701

Differences between different household sizes

	1 or 2 people	3 or more people
Where in the city duplexes and triplexes would be allowed	15%	18%
Where in the city cottage clusters would be allowed	6%	6%
Encouraging more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	7%	11%
Encouraging additional affordable units	20%	23%
Encouraging more accessible (age-friendly) units	5%	3%
Incentives to retain existing houses	33%	29%
Where housing on narrow lots would be allowed	5%	2%
Garages and parking for detached narrow houses	9%	8%
N =	1166	728

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Caucasian/White	Communities of Color
Where in the city duplexes and triplexes would be allowed	16%	16%
Where in the city cottage clusters would be allowed	6%	6%
Encouraging more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	9%	9%
Encouraging additional affordable units	22%	24%
Encouraging more accessible (age-friendly) units	4%	6%
Incentives to retain existing houses	31%	31%
Where housing on narrow lots would be allowed	4%	1%
Garages and parking for detached narrow houses	9%	8%
N =	1709	160

Differences by years lived in Portland

	Less than 10 years	20 years or more
Where in the city duplexes and triplexes would be allowed	18%	14%
Where in the city cottage clusters would be allowed	4%	7%
Encouraging more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	12%	7%
Encouraging additional affordable units	25%	17%
Encouraging more accessible (age-friendly) units	3%	5%
Incentives to retain existing houses	25%	36%
Where housing on narrow lots would be allowed	4%	4%
Garages and parking for detached narrow houses	9%	10%
N =	570	909

Differences by geography

	Western ZIP codes	Inner ZIP codes	Eastern ZIP codes
Where in the city duplexes and triplexes would be allowed	20%	15%	9%
Where in the city cottage clusters would be allowed	8%	5%	14%
Encouraging more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	6%	10%	8%
Encouraging additional affordable units	19%	21%	22%
Encouraging more accessible (age-friendly) units	6%	3%	7%
Incentives to retain existing houses	27%	34%	24%
Where housing on narrow lots would be allowed	3%	4%	4%
Garages and parking for detached narrow houses	13%	8%	11%
N =	360	1466	116

“Based on what you know about the draft proposal, please indicate if you think the proposed changes will be very effective (rating of 1) or not at all effective (rating of 5) at achieving the following objectives.” (Question 16)

Differences between homeowners and renters

	Very effective (1)	Somewhat effective (2)	No impact (3)	Not very effective (4)	Not at all effective (5)	N =
Support more affordable housing						
Own	9.5%	45.9%	11.3%	17.7%	15.7%	1,692
Rent	19.4%	48.7%	7.5%	15.1%	9.3%	279
Be economically feasible to build						
Own	15.2%	43.3%	17.8%	14.7%	9%	1,655
Rent	26.8%	49.6%	13%	7.2%	3.3%	276
Provide clear rules for development						
Own	25.4%	40%	10.2%	13.6%	10.8%	1,671
Rent	31.5%	43.5%	11.2%	7.6%	6.2%	276
Fit development into the neighborhood context						
Own	19.1%	36.6%	7.5%	17.5%	19.3%	1,681
Rent	28.4%	42.4%	9.4%	11.9%	7.9%	278
Provide diverse housing opportunities						
Own	17.8%	43.3%	13%	15.1%	10.8%	1,664
Rent	33.5%	41.1%	8.4%	10.5%	6.5%	275
Support housing that is adaptable over time to accommodate people of different ages and abilities						
Own	15.7%	35.8%	18.2%	17.7%	12.6%	1,662
Rent	25.2%	41.7%	13.7%	10.8%	8.6%	278
Maintain privacy, sunlight, open space and natural features						
Own	13.2%	33.9%	10.7%	18.8%	23.5%	1,672
Rent	21.4%	43.5%	17%	11.6%	6.5%	276
Be resource-efficient (land, materials, energy)						
Own	16.4%	38.2%	17.5%	14.2%	13.7%	1,658
Rent	31.8%	41.9%	10.8%	8.7%	6.9%	277

Differences between age groups

	Very effective (1)	Somewhat effective (2)	No impact (3)	Not very effective (4)	Not at all effective (5)	N =
Support more affordable housing						
Under 45	13.1%	49.3%	12.3%	14.2%	11.1%	871
Over 45	9.1%	43.9%	9.9%	19.7%	17.4%	1,111
Be economically feasible to build						
Under 45	21.2%	45.7%	15.8%	11.5%	5.8%	862
Over 45	13.5%	42.9%	18.4%	15.4%	9.8%	1,078
Provide clear rules for development						
Under 45	29.5%	41.6%	10.9%	11%	6.9%	870
Over 45	23.5%	40.3%	9.7%	14.1%	12.3%	1,089
Fit development into the neighborhood context						
Under 45	24.9%	39%	10.2%	12.9%	13%	870
Over 45	16.9%	36.5%	5.7%	20%	20.8%	1,099
Provide diverse housing opportunities						
Under 45	25.6%	43.7%	10.4%	12.5%	7.8%	863
Over 45	15.7%	42.7%	13.9%	16.1%	11.6%	1,087
Support housing that is adaptable over time to accommodate people of different ages and abilities						
Under 45	21.3%	39.8%	15.8%	13.6%	9.5%	860
Over 45	13.9%	34.2%	19.2%	19.2%	13.6%	1,090
Maintain privacy, sunlight, open space and natural features						
Under 45	15.8%	39.4%	15.1%	15.8%	13.9%	861
Over 45	13.3%	32.1%	8.9%	19.2%	26.5%	1,098
Be resource-efficient (land, materials, energy)						
Under 45	22.3%	40.6%	16.3%	11.2%	9.6%	857
Over 45	15.8%	37.5%	16.7%	14.8%	15.2%	1,087

Differences between income groups

	Very effective (1)	Somewhat effective (2)	No impact (3)	Not very effective (4)	Not at all effective (5)	N =
Support more affordable housing						
Under \$50k	15.2%	44.2%	12%	9.2%	19.4%	283
\$50K - \$99K	11.9%	48.4%	9.2%	19.3%	11.3%	675
\$100K or more	10.9%	50.3%	11.8%	16%	11%	727
Be economically feasible to build						
Under \$50k	20.8%	39.8%	20.1%	10.9%	8.4%	274
\$50K - \$99K	18.4%	47.1%	15.4%	13.9%	5.3%	664
\$100K or more	17.4%	47.7%	16.3%	11.1%	7.5%	719
Provide clear rules for development						
Under \$50k	31.8%	33.9%	11.4%	9.6%	13.2%	280
\$50K - \$99K	27.4%	43%	8.7%	14.7%	6.3%	668
\$100K or more	27%	43.9%	10.8%	10.2%	8.1%	719
Fit development into the neighborhood context						
Under \$50k	22.2%	40.1%	5.4%	13.3%	19%	279
\$50K - \$99K	22.3%	37.8%	7%	18.8%	14.1%	672
\$100K or more	21.5%	40.2%	10.6%	14.6%	13%	724
Provide diverse housing opportunities						
Under \$50k	22.8%	40.6%	10.1%	14.1%	12.3%	276
\$50K - \$99K	21.3%	44.3%	13.1%	14.2%	7%	670
\$100K or more	23.2%	44.6%	11%	12.1%	9%	719
Support housing that is adaptable over time to accommodate people of different ages and abilities						
Under \$50k	20.8%	32.6%	19.4%	13.6%	13.6%	279

\$50K - \$99K	19.4%	38.5%	16.4%	16.7%	9%	670
\$100K or more	17.8%	39.9%	18.2%	14.3%	9.8%	714
Maintain privacy, sunlight, open space and natural features						
Under \$50k	16.9%	34.2%	9.7%	14.7%	24.5%	278
\$50K - \$99K	15%	34.7%	14.2%	17.8%	18.3%	668
\$100K or more	14.6%	40.5%	10.8%	19.3%	14.7%	719
Be resource-efficient (land, materials, energy)						
Under \$50k	22.5%	38.8%	12%	12%	14.9%	276
\$50K - \$99K	20.3%	39.2%	16.4%	13.7%	10.4%	664
\$100K or more	19.7%	42.1%	17.1%	11.2%	9.8%	712

Differences between different household sizes

	Very effective (1)	Somewhat effective (2)	No impact (3)	Not very effective (4)	Not at all effective (5)	N =
Support more affordable housing						
1 or 2 people	10.9%	46.2%	11.1%	17.6%	14.2%	1,208
3 or more people	10.8%	46.8%	10.6%	16.6%	15.2%	758
Be economically feasible to build						
1 or 2 people	15.4%	45%	18.4%	13.5%	7.7%	1,181
3 or more people	19.3%	42.6%	15.4%	13.8%	8.8%	746
Provide clear rules for development						
1 or 2 people	26.3%	42.6%	9.6%	11.1%	10.4%	1,192
3 or more people	25.8%	38.3%	11.2%	15.3%	9.3%	751
Fit development into the neighborhood context						
1 or 2 people	20.7%	38.4%	7.9%	15.5%	17.5%	1,196
3 or more people	20.1%	36.3%	7.4%	18.9%	17.3%	757
Provide diverse housing opportunities						
1 or 2 people	19.8%	43.6%	12.9%	14.6%	9.1%	1,192
3 or more people	20.8%	41.7%	11.1%	14.5%	11.8%	745
Support housing that is adaptable over time to accommodate people of different ages and abilities						
1 or 2 people	17.1%	36.2%	18.4%	16.8%	11.5%	1,188
3 or more people	17.4%	37.4%	17%	15.6%	12.6%	748
Maintain privacy, sunlight, open space and natural features						
1 or 2 people	15.9%	33.5%	11.6%	18%	21%	1,192
3 or more people	11.7%	37.9%	12.1%	17.6%	20.6%	751
Be resource-efficient (land, materials, energy)						
1 or 2 people	18.8%	38.8%	16.9%	13.1%	12.4%	1,187
3 or more people	18.6%	39%	15.4%	13.6%	13.4%	741

Differences by race and ethnicity

	Very effective (1)	Somewhat effective (2)	No impact (3)	Not very effective (4)	Not at all effective (5)	N =
Support more affordable housing						
Caucasian/White	11.3%	48.4%	10.7%	16.5%	13.1%	1,732
Communities of Color	12.7%	37%	10.9%	19.4%	20%	165
Be economically feasible to build						
Caucasian/White	17.1%	45.8%	16.5%	13.3%	7.4%	1,695
Communities of Color	20.2%	35.6%	17.8%	14.7%	11.7%	163
Provide clear rules for development						
Caucasian/White	26.9%	42%	9.9%	12.3%	8.9%	1,711
Communities of Color	26.5%	37.3%	10.8%	12.7%	12.7%	166
Fit development into the neighborhood context						

Caucasian/White	21.3%	39.2%	7.6%	16.6%	15.3%	1,720
Communities of Color	18.1%	30.1%	10.2%	19.3%	22.3%	166
Provide diverse housing opportunities						
Caucasian/White	20.7%	44.3%	12%	14.2%	8.9%	1,705
Communities of Color	23.3%	36.2%	11%	13.5%	16%	163
Support housing that is adaptable over time to accommodate people of different ages and abilities						
Caucasian/White	18%	37.5%	17.6%	16.4%	10.6%	1,703
Communities of Color	17.1%	37.8%	13.4%	14.6%	17.1%	164
Maintain privacy, sunlight, open space and natural features						
Caucasian/White	15.1%	36.5%	11.8%	17.5%	19%	1,710
Communities of Color	13.3%	29.1%	12.7%	21.8%	23%	165
Be resource-efficient (land, materials, energy)						
Caucasian/White	19.3%	40.3%	16.2%	12.9%	11.3%	1,696
Communities of Color	20.2%	35%	11%	17.8%	16%	163

Differences by years lived in Portland

	Very effective (1)	Somewhat effective (2)	No impact (3)	Not very effective (4)	Not at all effective (5)	N =
Support more affordable housing						
Less than 10 years	13.3%	49.3%	13.5%	14.7%	9.3%	572
20 years or more	9.1%	43.2%	10.1%	19.4%	18.2%	928
Be economically feasible to build						
Less than 10 years	20.2%	44.8%	16%	13.5%	5.5%	563
20 years or more	14%	42.5%	17.1%	15.5%	10.8%	905
Provide clear rules for development						
Less than 10 years	30.2%	42.9%	9.7%	10.7%	6.5%	569
20 years or more	22.2%	38.8%	11.2%	15.4%	12.4%	913
Fit development into the neighborhood context						
Less than 10 years	25.8%	38.2%	9.8%	13.5%	12.6%	570
20 years or more	17.1%	37.3%	5.8%	18.6%	21.3%	926
Provide diverse housing opportunities						
Less than 10 years	23.9%	43%	11.4%	16%	5.6%	568
20 years or more	15%	44.3%	13.6%	14.6%	12.5%	912
Support housing that is adaptable over time to accommodate people of different ages and abilities						
Less than 10 years	20.5%	38.4%	16.2%	16.2%	8.6%	567
20 years or more	13.6%	35.6%	19%	17.7%	14.1%	915
Maintain privacy, sunlight, open space and natural features						
Less than 10 years	16.8%	38.8%	15%	15.4%	14%	565
20 years or more	13.4%	31.5%	9.5%	19.1%	26.5%	920
Be resource-efficient (land, materials, energy)						
Less than 10 years	23.8%	39.9%	16%	11.2%	9.1%	562
20 years or more	14.7%	38.1%	17.6%	14%	15.6%	910

Differences by geography

	Very effective (1)	Somewhat effective (2)	No impact (3)	Not very effective (4)	Not at all effective (5)	N =
Support more affordable housing						
Western ZIP codes	12.1%	46.1%	11.6%	17.3%	12.9%	371
Inner ZIP codes	9.8%	46.4%	10.7%	17.5%	15.6%	1,488
Eastern ZIP codes	16.2%	44.4%	13.7%	15.4%	10.3%	117
Be economically feasible to build						
Western ZIP codes	14.7%	41.6%	20.2%	14.1%	9.4%	361

Inner ZIP codes	16.8%	44.6%	16.5%	14.1%	7.9%	1,460
Eastern ZIP codes	19.8%	48.3%	18.1%	8.6%	5.2%	116
Provide clear rules for development						
Western ZIP codes	24.1%	42.2%	11.5%	8.8%	13.4%	365
Inner ZIP codes	25.6%	40.5%	10.5%	14%	9.5%	1,469
Eastern ZIP codes	37.3%	40.7%	5.1%	10.2%	6.8%	118
Fit development into the neighborhood context						
Western ZIP codes	19.1%	33.3%	7.4%	20.2%	19.9%	366
Inner ZIP codes	20.3%	37.8%	7.7%	16.7%	17.6%	1,481
Eastern ZIP codes	21.4%	44.4%	8.5%	12.8%	12.8%	117
Provide diverse housing opportunities						
Western ZIP codes	21.7%	40.1%	12.1%	12.6%	13.5%	364
Inner ZIP codes	19.2%	44%	12.1%	15.3%	9.5%	1,465
Eastern ZIP codes	22.2%	39.3%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	117
Support housing that is adaptable over time to accommodate people of different ages and abilities						
Western ZIP codes	14%	35.9%	21.6%	15.1%	13.4%	365
Inner ZIP codes	17%	36.9%	16.7%	17.6%	11.9%	1,463
Eastern ZIP codes	26.5%	34.2%	18.8%	12.8%	7.7%	117
Maintain privacy, sunlight, open space and natural features						
Western ZIP codes	12.3%	31.1%	11.4%	21.5%	23.7%	367
Inner ZIP codes	13.8%	36%	11.9%	17.1%	21.2%	1,468
Eastern ZIP codes	25.4%	33.9%	10.2%	15.3%	15.3%	118
Be resource-efficient (land, materials, energy)						
Western ZIP codes	18.2%	39.1%	16%	12.7%	14%	363
Inner ZIP codes	17.7%	38.7%	16.8%	13.7%	13%	1,456
Eastern ZIP codes	28.6%	37.8%	16%	10.1%	7.6%	119