

ANNUAL DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Pertaining to

City of Portland, Oregon



\$15,400,000

Gas Tax Revenue Bonds, 2011 Series A

Dated November 22, 2011

December 31, 2018

MATURITY SCHEDULES:

**\$15,400,000* Gas Tax Revenue Bonds,
2011 Series A – Dated November 22, 2011**

| Due February 1 | Principal Amount | Interest Rate | CUSIP 736694 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2019 | \$1,445,000 | 5.00% | CL2 |
| 2020 | 1,520,000 | 5.00% | CM0 |
| 2021 | 1,595,000 | 3.00% | CN8 |
| 2022 | 1,640,000 | 3.00% | CP3 |
| 2023 | 1,690,000 | 3.00% | CQ1 |
| | <u>\$7,890,000</u> | | |

*Original par amount.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----------|
| PORTLAND BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION | 1 |
| OVERVIEW | 1 |
| FUND ACCOUNTING SYSTEM | 1 |
| REVIEW OF THE CITY'S GAS TAX REVENUES | 2 |
| HISTORICAL RESULTS | 4 |
| GAS TAX RESERVE | 5 |
| CITY FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION..... | 6 |
| BASIS OF ACCOUNTING..... | 6 |
| FISCAL YEAR..... | 6 |
| AUDITS..... | 6 |
| FINANCIAL REPORTING AND BUDGETING..... | 6 |
| INSURANCE | 7 |
| CYBERSECURITY | 8 |
| PENSION PLANS: OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM..... | 8 |
| PENSION PLANS: FIRE AND POLICE DISABILITY AND RETIREMENT PLAN | 17 |
| OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT RETIREMENT BENEFITS (“OPEB”) | 22 |
| LITIGATION | 24 |
| APPENDIX: EXCERPTS FROM AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |



ANNUAL DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Pertaining to:

\$15,400,000

**Gas Tax Revenue Bonds,
2011 Series A – Dated November 22, 2011**

Information Updated as of December 31, 2018

PORTLAND BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION

OVERVIEW

This section provides financial and operating information specifically related to the gas tax revenue bonds. Financial and operating information for the City as a whole is found below under, "CITY FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION."

FUND ACCOUNTING SYSTEM

The Portland Bureau of Transportation ("PBOT") financial reporting system is organized into three separate funds. A description of PBOT's funds and their respective financial reporting purposes are below.

Transportation Operating Fund

All expenditures are made from this fund for operation, maintenance and acquisition of PBOT capital assets. All revenues except interest earned on cash balances in the Reserve Fund are receipted in this fund. Major external revenues are gas taxes, parking fees (meter and citation), intergovernmental revenues received via agreements with state and local governments and cost recovery revenues (service charges, licenses and permits). Internal revenues are from inter-fund cash transfers and inter-fund service reimbursements. All grant expenditures and revenues are now recorded in the City's Grants Fund.

Transportation Reserve Fund

This fund has two components: a countercyclical reserve and an emergency reserve. It is PBOT's internal policy to maintain the countercyclical reserve at a level equal to five percent of PBOT's discretionary revenues. This reserve is available to maintain current service level programs or to buffer the impact of major revenue interruptions, such as those caused by an economic recession. The emergency reserve, also set by internal policy, equals five percent of PBOT's discretionary Adopted Budget appropriations, excluding operating contingency. This reserve is available to fund major, one-time unexpected requirements, such as those associated with a natural disaster. The reserve has been funded below the policy level since FY 1998-99, however, PBOT has increased the Transportation Reserve Fund balance beginning in FY 2007-08. As of fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the Transportation Reserve Fund balance is \$6.7 million; or about 54 percent of PBOT's internal policy.

Gas Tax Bond Redemption Fund

This fund is used to account for payment of principal and interest on bonds issued for certain street improvements and other projects funded with Gas Tax Revenue Bonds.

REVIEW OF THE CITY’S GAS TAX REVENUES

Annual Gas Tax Revenues received by PBOT currently comprise three elements: the City's share of the State of Oregon (the “State”) gas tax receipts that are distributed by State statute on a formula basis to incorporated cities; a share of the Multnomah County (the County”) allocation of State gas tax receipts distributed to counties; and a share of the County's \$0.03 per gallon business license fee on fuel distributors. The latter two sources are received in accordance with the terms of the Gas Tax Contract. (See “Gas Tax Contract with the County” below.)

On May 17, 2016, Portland voters passed Measure 26-173. The measure creates a program dedicated to street repair and traffic safety, known as the Fixing Our Streets Program, through a temporary, ten-cents per gallon tax on motor vehicle fuels in Portland for vehicles not subject to a weight-mile tax. This tax on motor vehicle fuels expires in four years and is not pledged to the repayment of bonds secured by the Gas Tax Revenues. The revenues are dedicated to projects and activities identified for the Fixing Our Streets Program and cannot be used for any other purpose.

State Gas Tax Receipts

State gas tax receipts, authorized by ORS 319.020, 319.530, 803.090, 803.420, 818.225, 825.476, 825.480, 825.570 and 825.645 and distributed as governed by ORS 366.739 to 366.820, have three major components: motor fuels tax, vehicle registration fees, and a weight-mile tax. The following table shows the history of these rates and fees for the past five years.

**Table 1
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
History of Representative State Gas Tax Rates and Fees**

| TAX OR FEE | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Motor fuels tax | | | | | |
| Cents per gallon | \$0.30 | \$0.30 | \$0.30 | \$0.30 | \$0.30 |
| Annual general purpose vehicle registration fee | \$43.00 | \$43.00 | \$43.00 | \$43.00 | \$43.00 |
| Weight-mile tax | | | | | |
| 78,001 to 80,000 lbs. weight group/rate per mile | \$.01638 | \$.01638 | \$.01638 | \$.01638 | \$.02048 |

Source: City of Portland, PBOT.

The motor fuels tax is currently collected at the rate of \$0.30 per gallon. The weight-mile tax is levied on trucks and is based on cost responsibility studies to ensure equitable taxation of all users of the transportation system. The tax is assessed on rates per mile by weight groups. For trucks weighing more than 80,000 pounds, the number of axles per vehicle become part of the tax table. Annual vehicle registration fees for general purpose vehicles (i.e., automobiles) are \$43 paid biennially. There are additional registration fees for miscellaneous motorized vehicles and heavy vehicles. For the heavy vehicles (i.e., trucks) the fee is based on the weight group of the vehicles. Revenues are collected by the State with administrative and collection costs netted out under the authority of ORS 825.326 and 802.110. Gas tax receipts, distributed monthly, are split between the State, the counties, and the incorporated cities. Counties generally receive 24.38 percent of net gas tax receipts, which is distributed on the basis of each county’s proportionate share of statewide vehicle registrations. Incorporated cities receive 15.57 percent of net gas tax receipts, distributed on the basis of each city’s proportionate share of statewide population in incorporated cities.

In 2001, the legislature passed the Oregon Transportation Investment Act (“OTIA”). This act increased fees on vehicle, truck and trailer title transfers, ORS 803.420, and authorized \$400 million of bonds backed by these revenues. Revenues collected beyond the amount necessary to service the debt will be distributed between the State, counties and cities based on a formula allocating 50 percent to the State, 30 percent to counties, and 20 percent to cities.

In 2003, the legislature passed the Oregon Transportation Investment Act III (“OTIA III”). This bill increased various State vehicle fees, most notably, vehicle registration and titling fees, as well as weight-mile taxes on trucks. A section of the legislation calls for the County to dedicate a majority of its share of OTIA III revenue for the Willamette River bridges. (See “Gas Tax Contract with the County” below.) The legislation went into effect in January 2004. Revenues collected beyond the amount necessary to service the debt are to be distributed between the State, counties and cities based on a formula allocating 50 percent to the State, 30 percent to counties, and 20 percent to cities.

In 2009, the legislature passed the Jobs and Transportation Act (“JTA”). The JTA increased the fees and taxes collected by the State. The implementation schedule varied by fee and tax. Generally, increases to Vehicle Title and Registration fees were effective September 28, 2009. The increase to registration fees for heavy commercial vehicles (over 8,001 pounds gross vehicle weight) went into effect January 1, 2010. Increases to Truck Taxes (weight-mile tax) and Fees became effective on October 1, 2010. Gasoline and Diesel Tax increases became effective on January 1, 2011. Revenues collected beyond the amount necessary to service the studies and programs identified in the JTA are distributed between the State, counties and cities based on a formula allocating 50 percent to the State, 30 percent to counties, and 20 percent to cities.

With full implementation of the JTA, the motor fuels tax is now \$0.30 per gallon; the annual general purpose vehicle registration fee is \$43.00, and the 78,001 to 80,000 lbs. weight group/rate per mile (the weight-mile tax) is \$.01638.

In 2017, the Oregon State Legislature passed House Bill 2017, which among transportation related provisions, is expected to increase the amount of revenues the City receives from State Gas Tax Receipts. Revenue from this legislation began in January 2018. In FY 2017-18, the City received approximately \$5.4 million from the State. In the first full year of implementation (FY 2018-19) the City expects to receive approximately \$15.2 million of additional revenues.

The Oregon Constitution, Article IX, Section 3a, limits the use of gas tax revenues to “construction, improvement, repair, maintenance, operation and use of public highways, roads, streets, and roadside rest areas.” In addition, ORS 366.514 mandates that one percent of distributed gas tax revenues must be spent on alternative transportation modes.

Local Gas Tax Receipts

The County levies a separate tax of \$0.03 per gallon of fuel sold at commercial fueling stations within the County. The tax effectively functions as a business license fee on gasoline distributors. It is collected by the State and is distributed to the County monthly. These revenues are part of a distribution formula contained in the Gas Tax Contract, as described in the next section.

Gas Tax Contract with the County

In an effort to avoid a duplication of road maintenance services, the County entered into the Gas Tax Contract with the City in August 1984. The Gas Tax Contract is in full force until both sides mutually agree to its termination. The agreement provides for the orderly transfer of roads and personnel from the County to the City, funding for a capital set-aside and operation and maintenance of the Willamette River bridges owned by the County, and a formula for allocating each jurisdiction's gas tax revenues that are received from the State.

In general, the formula first pools the gas tax revenues of the City (the City's share of State gas tax revenues) and the County (the County's share of the State gas tax revenues and the County's \$0.03 per-gallon fee). To comply with OTIA III legislation, 51 percent of the County's share of OTIA III revenues (\$1.45 million in FY 2017-18) are withheld by the County for the care of the Willamette River bridges and are not pooled. After pooling these revenues, \$3.03 million, adjusted annually by an inflation rate based on CPI-W, is credited to the County for operation and maintenance of the Willamette River bridges. Another \$1.06 million is credited to the County for a capital set-aside account for the Willamette River bridges. The remaining dollars in the pool are then allocated based on the percentage share of center-line road miles maintained by the County and the City. Currently, the County has 425.17 road miles (20 percent of the total) and the City has 1,700.83 road miles (80 percent of the total).

Although the Gas Tax Contract calls for pooling the various revenues, the pooling does not involve actual commingling of funds. State and County gas tax revenues are distributed directly to the City and the County by the State. Each quarter the amount due to each party under the agreement is calculated and the party having received more than their share of the revenues under the Gas Tax Contract then provides funds to the other party. As reflected in the historical tables, the City has always received all of the Gas Tax Revenues allocated to it from the State and has received a portion of the State's allocation to the County and the local gas tax receipts imposed by the County. The County has provided funds to the City under the Gas Tax Contract since the agreement's inception.

HISTORICAL RESULTS

Gas Tax Revenues

The following table shows PBOT Gas Tax Revenues and debt service coverage for the past five years.

Table 2
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
Historical Gas Tax Revenues and Debt Service Coverage

| | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Transfer to City: State Highway Fund Monies Received by the County | \$21,482,260 | \$21,815,489 | \$22,657,656 | \$22,074,876 | \$24,883,502 |
| Transfer to City: Allocation of \$.03/ Gallon County License Tax | 3,803,371 | 3,831,561 | 4,063,103 | 4,918,244 | 3,954,593 |
| Subtotal – Revenues Received from County | 25,285,631 | 25,647,050 | 26,720,759 | 26,993,120 | 28,838,095 |
| Allocation of State Highway Fund Monies (1) | 33,728,454 | 34,510,686 | 35,991,335 | 37,169,549 | 40,916,050 |
| Total Gas Tax Revenues | \$59,014,085 | \$60,157,736 | \$62,712,094 | \$64,162,668 | \$69,754,145 |
| Gas Tax Bond Debt Service | | | | | |
| Senior Lien Bonds (2) | \$2,253,743 | \$2,256,643 | \$2,254,963 | \$1,740,000 | \$1,741,600 |
| Subordinate Obligations (3) | 226,058 | 226,762 | 216,688 | 226,730 | 236,501 |
| Total Debt Service | \$2,479,800 | \$2,483,405 | \$2,471,650 | \$1,966,730 | \$1,978,101 |
| Debt Service Coverage (x) | | | | | |
| Senior Lien Bonds | 26.2 | 26.7 | 27.8 | 36.9 | 40.1 |
| All Gas Tax Bonds | 23.8 | 24.2 | 25.4 | 32.6 | 35.3 |

Notes:

- (1) Includes Motor Fuel Taxes, Weight Mile Taxes, Vehicle Registration Fees, and Other Miscellaneous Revenues imposed and collected by the State.
- (2) Includes debt service on the Gas Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2005 Series A, which were repaid in FY 2015-16 and the Gas Tax Revenue Bonds, 2011 Series A.
- (3) The City refunded the senior lien Gas Tax Revenue Bonds, 1998 Series A with proceeds from a loan dated as of August 6, 2013, which has a subordinate lien on the Gas Tax Revenues.

Source: City of Portland, Oregon, PBOT.

Financial Results for Transportation Operating Fund

Actual results of the Transportation Operating Fund resources and requirements are shown in the following table. This table reflects financial results for the past five years as reported in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. Strong economic growth has contributed to higher collections of licenses and permit revenues, service charges and fees, and revenue sharing revenues, which has contributed to the growth in Ending Fund Balance. Additionally, increases in ending fund balance are attributable in part to increased SDC revenues collected, but not yet spent on SDC eligible project.

Table 3
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
Audited Historical Resources and Requirements
of the Transportation Operating Fund (Budgetary Basis)
(for the fiscal year ended June 30)

| | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| RESOURCES | | | | | |
| Taxes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,787,463 | \$20,886,761 |
| Licenses and permits | 3,726,335 | 6,848,288 | 8,375,150 | 11,491,538 | 20,001,016 |
| Intergovernmental revenues: | | | | | |
| State cost sharing (1) | 293,680 | 643,097 | 1,201,347 | 466,708 | 703,582 |
| Federal cost sharing | 7,040 | 1,186 | -- | -- | -- |
| Grant revenue | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| State revenue sharing | 33,728,454 | 34,510,686 | 35,991,335 | 37,169,549 | 40,976,211 |
| Local government sources: | | | | | |
| Local revenue sharing | 29,910,672 | 26,387,299 | 27,366,525 | 27,568,276 | 30,049,791 |
| Multnomah County cost sharing | 345,344 | 22,360 | 1,988,646 | 41,545 | 83,414 |
| Local cost sharing | 80,625 | 3,961,094 | 8,139,220 | 7,263,852 | 8,272,755 |
| LID payments unbonded | | | | | |
| Service charges and fees | 48,269,454 | 54,172,560 | 62,463,665 | 83,672,293 | 84,483,053 |
| Billings for inter-fund services | 30,296,599 | 29,320,265 | 27,670,348 | 26,437,990 | 26,518,705 |
| Miscellaneous | 4,892,931 | 6,006,172 | 6,179,717 | 7,365,089 | 7,672,253 |
| Other financing sources (2) | 71,425,387 | 41,553,284 | 37,614,256 | 43,461,314 | 33,093,572 |
| Beginning fund balance available for appropriation | 45,047,100 | 60,783,863 | 67,731,639 | 109,773,443 | 165,202,119 |
| Total resources | \$268,023,621 | \$264,210,154 | \$284,721,848 | \$364,499,060 | \$437,943,232 |
| REQUIREMENTS | | | | | |
| Personal services | \$63,003,243 | \$67,743,189 | \$73,615,530 | \$79,776,297 | \$87,240,285 |
| Materials and services | 109,236,342 | 89,085,676 | 69,569,391 | 82,895,231 | 87,675,036 |
| Capital outlay(1) | 11,277,151 | 10,979,144 | 7,788,382 | 13,179,795 | 10,771,758 |
| Overhead charges--General Fund | 6,804,210 | 5,874,071 | 5,483,293 | 6,649,753 | 6,725,943 |
| Debt service and related costs: | | | | | |
| Principal | 6,323,059 | 9,366,992 | 9,575,663 | 8,239,494 | 9,378,918 |
| Interest | 3,319,140 | 4,613,333 | 4,631,778 | 4,788,008 | 5,891,322 |
| Debt issuance costs | 134,130 | 37,585 | 15,214 | 270,758 | -- |
| Other financing uses (3) | 7,142,483 | 8,778,585 | 4,269,154 | 3,497,605 | 13,588,467 |
| Ending fund balance | 60,783,863 | 67,731,639 | 109,773,443 | 165,202,119 | 216,671,503 |
| Total requirements | \$268,023,621 | \$264,210,214 | \$284,721,848 | \$364,499,060 | \$437,943,232 |

Notes:

- (1) Grant activity is reported in the City's Grants Fund. Transportation related grant activity recorded in the Grants Fund was about \$13 million in FY 2013-14, \$15 million in FY 2014-15, \$10 million in FY 2015-16, \$23 million in FY 2016-17, and \$15 million in FY 2017-18.
- (2) Amounts include transfers from other funds, bond and notes issued for City transportation projects, and borrowings to fund PBOT's contribution to regional projects.
- (3) Amounts include transfers to the debt service fund for the payment of debt service, transfers for the payment of a portion of PBOT's share of the City's pension bonds, and transfers for the repayment of internal loans.

Source: City of Portland, PBOT.

GAS TAX RESERVE

The Gas Tax Reserve for the 2011 Series A Bonds is funded with cash. As of the date of this Annual Disclosure document, the amount credited to the Gas Tax Reserve Fund for this issue is \$1,673,047.

CITY FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION

BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The governmental fund types are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. The economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting is used for all proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. The City's accounting practices conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) as interpreted by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (the "GASB").

FISCAL YEAR

July 1 to June 30.

AUDITS

The Oregon Municipal Audit Law (ORS 297.405 - 297.555) requires an audit and examination be made of the accounts and financial affairs of every municipal corporation at least once each year. The audit shall be made by accountants whose names are included on the roster prepared by the State Board of Accountancy. Moss Adams LLP has performed auditing services for FY 2002-03 through FY 2017-18.

A complete copy of the City's FY 2017-18 audit is available on the EMMA system for municipal securities disclosure established by the MSRB and accessible at <http://emma.msrb.org>. Excerpts of the City's audited financial statements for the Transportation Operating Fund on a GAAP basis are found in the Appendix.

FINANCIAL REPORTING AND BUDGETING

Financial Reporting

The City has been awarded the Government Finance Officers Association ("GFOA") Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting every year since 1982. According to the GFOA, the Certificate of Achievement is the "highest form of recognition in the area of governmental financial reporting." To be awarded the certificate, a governmental unit must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive financial report whose content conforms to program requirements and satisfies both GAAP and applicable legal requirements.

Budget Process

The City prepares annual budgets for all its bureaus and funds in accordance with provisions of Oregon Local Budget Law. The law provides standard procedures for the preparation, presentation, administration, and public notice for public sector budgets. At the outset of the process, the Mayor or the full City Council reviews overall goals, establishes priorities, and provides direction to bureaus. The City Council conducts an extensive public information process to obtain direct public input on City service priorities, and most bureaus include key stakeholders in developing their budget requests.

In addition to this public outreach process, in January 2014, the City Council approved a five-year contract with the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (the "CUB"), an independent consumer advocacy nonprofit organization, to provide input regarding the City's water and sewer bureaus on behalf of residential customers. The CUB provides recommendations to the City Council on capital spending, rates, and customer service issues. On June 10, 2015, the City Council approved creation of the Portland Utility Board, a new citizen oversight panel that replaced the Portland Utility Review Board and budget advisory committees for the Portland Water Bureau and Bureau of Environmental Services. The Portland Utility Board, which is staffed by a dedicated financial analyst, advises the City Council regarding operations of the two utility bureaus, including development of bureau budgets and financial plans, capital spending, and rate setting.

A five-year General Fund financial forecast, which serves as the basis for determining resources available for budgeting, is also provided to the City Council along with budget requests. Major City bureaus generally prepare and submit five-year financial plans and capital improvement plans. The City Budget Office, which was created by the City Council in December 2012, coordinates the budget development process.

Bureau budget requests are reviewed by the Mayor and Council members, as well as a panel of community advisors. The Mayor develops a Proposed Budget that addresses City Council priorities, public input, and balancing requirements. Following presentation of the Proposed Budget, a community hearing is scheduled wherein public testimony is taken. A budget summary and notice of

hearing are published prior to the hearings. The City Council, sitting as the Budget Committee, considers the testimony from the community and can alter the budget proposal before voting to approve the budget.

The City Council transmits the Approved Budget to the Tax Supervising and Conservation Commission (the "TSCC"), an oversight board appointed by the governor, for public hearing and review for compliance with budget law. Upon certification by the TSCC, the City Council holds a final public hearing prior to adoption. Final adoption of the City's budget is required to be through a vote of the Council no later than June 30. All committee meetings and budget hearings are open to the public.

INSURANCE

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to theft, damage and destruction of assets, tort claims (general and fleet liability), injuries to employees, acts of terrorism, and natural disasters. The City is self-insured for workers' compensation, fleet and general liability claims and certain employees' medical coverage in internal service funds.

The City estimates liability for incurred losses for reported and unreported claims for workers' compensation, general and fleet liability and employee medical coverage (included in accrued self-insurance claims in the combined statement of net position). Workers' compensation, general and fleet liability estimates are primarily based on individual case estimates for reported claims and through historical data for unreported claims as determined by the City's Risk Management Services Division and independent actuarial studies. Liabilities are based on an estimated ultimate cost of settling claims, including effects of inflation and other societal and economic factors. The estimated liability is then discounted by the City's expected rate of return and anticipated timing of cash outlays to determine present value of the liability. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the expected rate of return used was 1.35 percent. For fiscal year ending June 30, 2019, and subsequent years, the expected rate of return used was 1.80 percent and 2.25 percent, respectively. The Bureau of Human Resources and the employee benefits consultant determines relevant employees' medical coverage estimates.

The City provides insurance coverage deemed as adequate, appropriate, and actuarially sound. It meets all the City's anticipated settlements, obligations and outstanding liabilities. An excess liability coverage insurance policy covers individual claims in excess of \$1 million to policy limits, police law enforcement claims in excess of \$2.5 million for claims occurring after November 12, 2013, to policy limits and an excess workers' compensation coverage insurance policy covers claims in excess of \$850,000 for occurrences after July 1, 2012. The City purchases commercial insurance for claims in excess of coverage provided by the self-insurance fund. The City's limits of coverage on the excess liability policy is \$10 million per claim above the self-insurance retention for covered torts. The City does not have any claims reserved above the current self-insurance retention and excess layer. In the City's last three excess renewals, there have been no material changes in retention, coverage or conditions. Furthermore, current levels of accrued claims and case reserve estimates are viewed as reasonable provisions for expected future losses.

Per ORS 30.272 limitations on liability of public bodies for personal injury to any single claimant for causes of action arising on or after July 1, 2017, and before July 1, 2018, limitations are \$706,000 for single claimant and \$1.412 million for multiple claimants. For causes of action arising on or after July 1, 2018 limits increased to \$727,200 for a single claimant and \$1,454,300 for multiple claimants.

Per ORS 30.273 limitations on liability of public bodies for property damage arising on or after July 1, 2017 and before July 1, 2018, limitations increase to \$115,800 for single claimant and \$579,000 for multiple claimants. For causes of action arising on or after July 1, 2018, limits increased to \$119,300 for single claimants and \$596,400 for multiple claimants.

Liabilities are reported in the applicable fund when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported. The result of the process to estimate the claims liability is not an exact amount as it depends on many complex factors, such as inflation, changes in legal doctrines, and damage awards. Accordingly, claims are reevaluated for effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends (including frequency and amount of pay-outs), and other economic and social factors.

The estimate of claims liability also includes amounts for incremental claim adjustment expenses related to specific claims and other claim adjustment expenses regardless of whether any are allocated to specific claims. Estimated recoveries, from salvage or subrogation for example, are another component of the claims liability estimate. Changes in the balances of claims liabilities during the past two years are as follows:

| | Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018 | Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Balance, beginning of fiscal year | \$28,068,818 | \$26,796,392 |
| Incurred claims and adjustments | 64,839,071 | 60,331,926 |
| Claim cash payments | (61,186,489) | (59,059,500) |
| Unpaid claims, end of fiscal year | <u>\$31,721,400</u> | <u>\$28,068,818</u> |

CYBERSECURITY

The City, like other large public and private entities, relies on a large and complex technology environment to conduct its operations, and consequently faces the threat of cybersecurity incidents. Cybersecurity incidents could result from unintentional events, or from deliberate attacks by unauthorized entities or individuals attempting to gain access to the City’s information technology systems to misappropriate assets or information or to cause operational disruption and damage. To reduce and mitigate the risk of business operations impact and/or damage from cybersecurity incidents, the City has invested in multiple forms of cybersecurity and operational safeguards, including adopting the National Institute of Standards and Technology Cybersecurity Framework to support, maintain, and prioritize securing critical infrastructure and data systems, manage risk, and improve cybersecurity event detection and remediation. Under the leadership of the City’s Chief Information Security Officer, the City’s Bureau of Technology Services has established a cybersecurity team which is responsible for identifying, evaluating, responding, and reporting on information security risks in a manner that meets compliance and regulatory requirements, and which aligns with and supports the cybersecurity risk posture of the City. Additionally, all information security related policies and administrative rules are reviewed annually.

As a recipient and provider of personal and confidential information, the City has been the subject of cybersecurity incidents that have resulted in, or could have resulted in, adverse consequences to the City’s confidential information and technologies and that required a response action to mitigate potential consequences. For example, during 2018, the City was subject to several phishing attacks against our Microsoft-hosted email system which briefly disrupted some email services and exposed several employee e-mail accounts to unauthorized entities or individuals. The scope of impact was limited to a small number of accounts and the attacks were quickly contained and mitigated. The City has no evidence that any City or individual’s confidential information was exfiltrated, but there is a possibility of exfiltration. The City has thoroughly investigated these incidents and where appropriate, will offer the potentially impacted individuals a year of free credit monitoring.

The City’s cybersecurity and operational safeguards are periodically internally tested and assessed by external auditors, however the City cannot assure that these measures will prevent all potential cybersecurity attacks, and accompanying disruptions and costs. To offset some of the potential financial costs and risks associated with a breach, the City’s Risk Management office is exploring options for obtaining a cyber-liability insurance policy.

PENSION PLANS: OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Overview

The Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (“PERS” or “the Statewide PERS System”) provides statewide defined benefit retirement plans for units of state government, political subdivisions, community colleges, and school districts. Most public employers in Oregon, including the City, participate in PERS. PERS is administered under ORS Chapter 238, Chapter 238A, and Internal Revenue Code Section 401(a) by the Public Employees Retirement Board (the “PERS Board”). The PERS Board is responsible for setting policies and for providing administrative direction to PERS. Benefits provided through PERS are paid from the Oregon Public Employees’ Retirement Fund (“OPERF”). PERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer public employee retirement system.

City employees (other than certain fire and police personnel), after six months of employment, participate in one of three retirement pension benefit programs provided through PERS as described below. The three PERS pension programs include two closed defined benefit programs and one program that has features of both defined benefit and defined contribution plans. In a defined benefit plan, the investment risk for the plan assets is borne by the employer. In a defined contribution plan, the investment risk for the plan assets is borne by the employee. A combination of participating employer contributions (determined by the PERS Board based upon the results of actuarial valuations), investment earnings and employee-paid contributions (currently, for the City, six percent of salaries and nine percent for police and fire employees) fund these pension programs. See “—Employer Contribution Rates and Amounts” and “—PENSION PLANS: FIRE AND POLICE DISABILITY AND RETIREMENT FUND” below.

Benefit Programs

Employees hired before January 1, 1996, are known as “Tier 1” participants. The retirement benefits applicable to Tier 1 participants are based on a defined benefit model. Tier 1 has a normal retirement age of 58 and, effective January 1, 2018, an assumed earnings rate guarantee of 7.20 percent. PERS maintains a “Tier One Rate Guarantee Reserve” which is credited with investment earnings in excess of the assumed earnings rate guarantee and used to offset the effects of investment earnings below the assumed earnings rate guarantee. As of June 30, 2018, the balance of this reserve was \$448.8 million. As of June 30, 2018, there were 21,392 active plan members, 127,501 retired plan members or their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, 8,782 inactive plan members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits, and 3,631 inactive members eligible for the refund value of their accounts only, for a total of 161,306 Tier One members in the Statewide PERS System.

Employees hired on or after January 1, 1996, and before August 29, 2003, are known as “Tier 2” participants. The Tier 2 program also provides a defined benefit but with lower expected costs to employers than under the Tier 1 benefit. There is no assumed earnings rate guarantee and Tier 2 has a higher normal retirement age of 60. As of June 30, 2018, there were 35,136 active plan members, 13,908 retired plan members or their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, 6,902 inactive plan members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits, and 8,359 inactive members eligible for the refund value of their accounts only, for a total of 64,305 Tier 2 members in the Statewide PERS System.

Employees hired on or after August 29, 2003, are participants in a successor retirement program to the Tier 1 and Tier 2 retirement programs (the “T1/T2 Pension Programs”) known as the Oregon Public Service Retirement Plan (“OPSRP”). OPSRP consists of a defined benefit plan and also offers the Individual Account Program (“IAP”), which offers a defined contribution benefit. As of June 30, 2018, there were 119,469 active plan members, 4,454 retired plan members or their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, 5,013 inactive plan members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits, and 13,306 inactive plan members not eligible for refund or retirement, for a total of 131,097 OPSRP Pension Program members.

Effective January 1, 2004, all active Tier 1 and Tier 2 employees also became members of the IAP. Tier 1 and Tier 2 employees retain their existing T1/T2 Pension Program account, but member contributions are now deposited into the member’s IAP account, not into the member’s PERS account.

Apportionment of City Assets and Liabilities

The City is pooled with the State of Oregon and other Oregon local government and community college public employers for its T1/T2 Pension Programs (the “State and Local Government Rate Pool” or “SLGRP”), and the SLGRP’s assets and liabilities are pooled. These assets and liabilities are not tracked or calculated on an employer basis or allocated to individual employers. The City’s portion of the SLGRP’s assets and liabilities is based on the City’s proportionate share of SLGRP’s pooled covered payroll. OPSRP’s assets and liabilities are pooled on a program-wide basis. These assets and liabilities are not tracked or calculated on an employer basis or allocated to individual employers. The City’s share of OPSRP’s assets and liabilities is based on the City’s proportionate share of OPSRP’s pooled covered payroll. The City’s proportionate liability of the T1/T2 Pension Programs and OPSRP may increase if other pool participants fail to pay their full employer contributions.

Employer Asset Valuation and Liabilities

Oregon statutes require an actuarial valuation of the Statewide PERS System by a competent actuary at least once every two years. The current PERS actuary is Milliman, Inc. (“Milliman”). Under current practice, actuarial valuations are performed annually, but only valuations as of the end of each odd-numbered year are used to determine annual required employer contribution rates that employers will be required to pay to fund the obligations of T1/T2 Pension Programs, OPSRP and the PERS-sponsored Retirement Health Insurance Account Plan (“RHIA”). For a description of RHIA, see “POST-EMPLOYMENT RETIREMENT BENEFITS” below. Valuations are released approximately one year after the valuation date.

An employer’s unfunded actuarial liability (“UAL”) is the excess of the actuarially determined present value of the employer’s benefit obligations to employees over the existing actuarially determined assets available to pay those benefits. At its July 28, 2017, meeting, the PERS Board modified certain methods and assumptions which were to be used for the 2016 and 2017 valuations. The following table shows certain methods and assumptions adopted by the PERS Board, which are the basis for the actuarial valuations.

Table 4
OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

| ASSUMPTION/ METHOD | 2014 & 2015 VALUATIONS | 2016 & 2017 VALUATIONS (1) |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Actuarial Cost Method: | Entry Age Normal | Entry Age Normal |
| UAL-Method: T1/T2 Programs | Level Percentage of Payroll over 20 years (fixed) | Unchanged |
| OPSRP | Level Percentage of Payroll over 16 years (fixed) | Unchanged |
| Asset Valuation Method: | Market Value (2) | Unchanged |
| Investment Rate of Return: | 7.50% | 7.20% |
| Payroll Growth Rate: | 3.50% | Unchanged |
| Inflation Level: | 2.50% | Unchanged |
| Contribution Rate Stabilization Method: | Contribution rate may increase or decrease by 3% of payroll or by 20% of the previous rate; whichever is greater, when an employer's funded status is between 70% and 130%. At a funded status of 60% or less, or 140% or more, the limitation doubles to 6% of payroll or 40% of the previous rate, whichever is greater. At a funded status between 60% and 70% or 130% and 140%, the limitation increases in increments between 3%-6% of payroll or 20%-40% of the previous rate, whichever is greater. | Unchanged |

Notes:

- (1) Assumptions and methods adopted by the PERS Board on July 28, 2017, that apply to actuarial valuations as of December 31, 2016, and December 31, 2017.
- (2) Market value of assets reduced by value of assets in statutory reserves (contingency, capital preservation and rate guarantee reserves).

Source: Oregon Public Employees Retirement System.

Milliman released its 2015 valuation for the Statewide PERS System as of December 31, 2015, (the "2015 System Valuation") on September 27, 2016, its 2016 valuation for the Statewide PERS System as of December 31, 2016 (the "2016 System Valuation") on December 6, 2017, and its 2017 valuation for the Statewide PERS System as of December 31, 2017 (the "2017 System Valuation") on September 28, 2018. These reports include system-wide actuarial valuations for the T1/T2 Pension Programs and OPSRP.

Milliman released the City's individual 2015 valuation report as of December 31, 2015, (the "2015 City Report") on October 11, 2016, its individual 2016 valuation as of December 31, 2016, (the "2016 City Report") on December 7, 2017, and its 2017 valuation as of December 31, 2017, (the "2017 City Report") on October 17, 2018. These valuation reports provide the City's portion of (a) the SLGRP based on the City's proportionate share of the total SLGRP covered payroll as of the valuation date, (b) OPSRP based on the City's proportionate share of total OPSRP covered payroll as of the valuation date, and (c) the RHIA based on the City's proportionate share of the total RHIA covered payroll as of the valuation date.

The tables below provide historical summary valuation information for the Statewide PERS System and for the City.

Table 5
OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
Summary of Statewide PERS System Funding Levels
(\$ in Millions) (1)

| STATEWIDE PERS SYSTEM | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Calendar Year | Actuarial | Unfunded | | Funded Ratio (%) |
| | Value of Assets (2) | Actuarial Liability | Actuarial Liability | |
| 2008 | \$38,386.1 | \$54,259.5 | \$15,873.4 | 70.7 |
| 2009 | 43,238.8 | 56,810.6 | 13,571.8 | 76.1 |
| 2010 | 46,004.4 | 59,329.5 | 13,325.1 | 77.5 |
| 2011 | 43,238.8 | 61,198.4 | 17,959.6 | 76.1 |
| 2012 (3) | 49,265.9 | 60,405.2 | 11,139.3 | 81.6 |
| 2013 (3) | 54,090.1 | 62,593.6 | 8,503.5 | 86.4 |
| 2014 (4) | 55,518.2 | 73,458.9 | 17,940.7 | 75.6 |
| 2015 | 54,365.8 | 76,196.6 | 21,830.8 | 71.3 |
| 2016 | 55,670.2 | 80,970.3 | 25,300.1 | 68.8 |
| 2017 | 61,764.9 | 84,056.1 | 22,291.2 | 73.5 |

Notes:

- (1) Composed of Tier 1/Tier 2 and OPSRP pensions but excluding retiree healthcare subsidies of RHIA.
- (2) Does not take into account offsets for deposits made by individual employers from bond proceeds or cash on hand in side accounts
- (3) Reflects savings that were anticipated from the 2013 PERS Bills, but will not be realized because most of the 2013 PERS Bills were invalidated. See “—Recent Developments Related to PERS” below.
- (4) Reflects the Oregon Supreme Court decision invalidating most of the 2013 PERS Bills. See “—Recent Developments Related to PERS” below.

Source: Oregon Public Employees Retirement System.

Table 6
OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
Summary of City Funding Levels
(\$ in Millions) (1)

| Calendar Year | Actuarial Value of Assets | Actuarial Liability | Unfunded Actuarial Liability | Funded Ratio (%) |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 2008 | \$1,280.6 | \$1,539.9 | \$259.2 | 83.2 |
| 2009 | 1,424.0 | 1,606.0 | 182.0 | 88.7 |
| 2010 | 1,499.8 | 1,672.5 | 172.7 | 89.7 |
| 2011 | 1,459.0 | 1,724.2 | 265.3 | 84.6 |
| 2012 (2) | 1,624.8 | 1,744.3 | 119.5 | 93.2 |
| 2013 (2) | 1,762.8 | 1,804.7 | 41.9 | 97.7 |
| 2014 (3) | 1,844.1 | 2,210.1 | 366.0 | 83.4 |
| 2015 | 1,820.6 | 2,335.5 | 514.9 | 78.0 |
| 2016 | 1,891.4 | 2,540.2 | 648.8 | 74.4 |
| 2017 | 2,171.1 | 2,776.3 | 605.2 | 78.2 |

Notes:

- (1) Composed of Tier 1/Tier 2 and OPSRP pensions but excluding retiree healthcare subsidies of RHIA. City information is calculated separately for the SLGRP and OPSRP using the City's payroll as a percentage of combined payroll of the respective rate pools. SLGRP values include adjustments made to maintain comparability among employers entering the SLGRP.
- (2) Reflects savings that were anticipated from the 2013 PERS Bills, but will not be realized because most of the 2013 PERS Bills were invalidated. See "—Recent Developments Related to PERS" below.
- (3) Reflects the Oregon Supreme Court decision invalidating most of the 2013 PERS Bills. See "—Recent Developments Related to PERS" below.

Source: Oregon Public Employees Retirement System.

The following table presents a history of the City’s member payroll, unfunded actuarial liability and ratio of unfunded actuarial liability to payroll.

Table 7
OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
City Payroll for PERS Members and Unfunded Actuarial Liability

| Calendar Year | Payroll | Unfunded Actuarial Liability | Unfunded Liability to Payroll Ratio |
|--------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 2008 | \$289,371,762 | \$259,241,423 | 90% |
| 2009 | 303,851,551 | 182,019,126 | 60% |
| 2010 | 307,538,429 | 172,726,579 | 56% |
| 2011 | 303,508,135 | 265,267,189 | 87% |
| 2012 | 311,688,601 | 119,477,128 | 38% |
| 2013 | 313,291,592 | 41,882,231 | 13% |
| 2014 | 335,113,826 | 365,964,877 | 109% |
| 2015 | 350,158,915 | 514,861,639 | 147% |
| 2016 | 362,850,562 | 648,861,639 | 179% |
| 2017 | 384,409,335 | 605,231,941 | 157% |

Source: Oregon Public Employees Retirement System.

The funded status of the pension programs may change depending on the market performance of the securities that the OPERF is invested in, future changes in compensation and benefits of covered employees, demographic characteristics of members and methodologies and assumptions used by the actuary in estimating the assets and liabilities of PERS. Additionally, the market value of the investments held in the OPERF is determined using various sources.

State Investment Policy

The Oregon State Treasury is the investment officer for the state of Oregon. Investment standards are established in ORS 293.726 and require funds to be managed as a prudent investor would do. The Oregon Investment Council (“OIC”) establishes policies for the investment and reinvestment of moneys in PERS investment funds. Policies are established based on the primary investment asset class of each investment manager. The OIC has approved the following asset classes for the OPERF: Short-Term Investing, Fixed Income, Real Estate, Public and Private Equities, and Alternative Investments. In addition, OPERF invests in the Opportunity Portfolio, which may be populated with investment approaches across a wide range of investment opportunities with no limitation as to asset classes or strategies. The target investment portfolio mix at fair market value was revised at the OIC meeting of June 3, 2015, and currently is 37.5 percent public equity, 17.5 percent private equity, 20 percent debt securities, 12.5 percent real estate, and 12.5 percent alternative equity.

The following table presents a 10-year history of investment returns for the OPERF.

Table 8
OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT FUND
Oregon PERS Investment Returns (1)

| Fiscal Year | Net |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Ending June 30 | Returns (%) |
| 2009 | -22.3 |
| 2010 | 17.0 |
| 2011 | 22.3 |
| 2012 | 1.6 |
| 2013 | 12.7 |
| 2014 | 16.6 |
| 2015 | 4.3 |
| 2016 | 1.2 |
| 2017 | 11.9 |
| 2018 | 9.4 |

Notes:

(1) Total fund performance, excluding variable account.

Source: Oregon Public Employees Retirement Fund System Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2009 through June 30, 2018.

The following table presents annualized investment returns over the most recent 1-year, 3-year and 5-year periods. Calculations were prepared using a time-weighted rate of return based on market rates in accordance with the Global Investment Performance Standards performance presentation standards.

Table 9
OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT FUND
Annualized Investment Results (1)

| | Annualized | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| Periods Ending June 30, 2018 | 1-Year | 3-Year | 5-Year |
| Total Portfolio, Excluding Variable | 9.4% | 7.5% | 8.6% |

Notes:

(1) Total fund performance, regular account. Excludes variable account.

Source: Oregon Public Employees Retirement Fund System Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

Employer Contribution Rates and Amounts

The PERS Board is required by State statute to determine liabilities of the Statewide PERS System from time to time and to set contributions of participating employers at a level that ensures liabilities of the Statewide PERS System will be funded no more than 40 years after the date on which the determination is made. ORS 238.225 requires participating public employers to pay the amounts the PERS Board determines to be actuarially necessary to fund benefits provided to employees.

PERS funding policy provides for monthly employer contributions at actuarially determined rates. These contributions, expressed as a percentage of covered payroll, are intended to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Employer contribution rates are based upon the current and projected cost of benefits and the anticipated level of funding available from the OPERF, including known and anticipated investment performance of the OPERF.

At the end of each odd-numbered year, actuarial valuations determine the employer contribution rates that are officially set by the PERS Board. All employers participating in PERS are required to make their contribution to PERS based on the employer contribution rates set by the PERS Board. The City's employer contribution rates were derived using a rate stabilization methodology

(the “Rate Collar”) designed to cap rate increases and reduce large fluctuations in employer contribution rates. Such rate increases are shifted to future biennia. See Table 4 for a summary of the Rate Collar in effect. Because of the Rate Collar, the PERS Board-approved employer contribution rates for some employers, including the City, are currently less than the actuarially required contribution (“ARC”).

T1/T2 Pension Programs employees and OPSRP employees are required by state statute to contribute six percent of their annual salary to the respective programs. Employers are allowed to pay the employees’ contribution in addition to the required employers’ contribution. The City has elected to make the employee contribution. An employer also may elect via written employment policy or agreement to make additional employer contributions to its employees’ IAP accounts in an amount that can range from not less than one percent of salary to no more than six percent of salary and must be a whole percentage. Employers may make this policy or agreement for specific groups of their employees. The City has elected to make an optional contribution to the IAP accounts of public safety employees hired after January 1, 2007, of an additional three percent of their annual salary.

The table below shows the City’s current employer contribution rates for the 2017-19 biennium that ends on June 30, 2019. The table also shows the City’s employer adopted rates for the 2019-21 biennium that begins on July 1, 2019, as reported in the 2017 System Valuation. The rates reported in the table do not include the six percent and nine percent employee contribution rates for contributions to the IAP paid by the City.

Table 10
OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
Employer Contribution Rates for the City
Percentage of Covered Payroll (1) (2)

| Payrolls Paid | Current Rates | Adopted Rates |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2017-19 | 2019-21 |
| T1/T2 | 17.62% | 21.86% |
| OPSRP General Services | 10.69% | 15.53% |
| OPSRP Police and Fire | 15.46% | 20.16% |

Notes:

(1) Includes contribution rates to fund RHIA. See “OTHER POST-EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT BENEFITS – PERS Program.” For FY 2017-18, one percent of the City’s covered payroll for the three pension benefit programs was approximately: \$1,356,707 for T1/T2 Pension Programs; \$2,121,673 for OPSRP general services; and \$577,879 for OPSRP police and fire.

(2) Includes adjustments for side accounts and pre-SLGRP liabilities.

Source: City of Portland, Oregon Public Employees Retirement System.

The City’s contribution rates may increase or decrease due to a variety of factors, including the investment performance of the OPERF, the use of pension-related reserves, further changes to system valuation methodology and assumptions and decisions by the PERS Board, and changes in benefits resulting from legislative modifications. The City cannot predict whether any legislation or related actions will attempt to further modify the PERS System or whether such attempts would withstand legal challenge.

The City’s financial plan has been updated to incorporate higher growth assumptions for PERS employer rates. For each of the next two biennia (FYs 2020 and 2021 and FYs 2022 and 2023), the financial plan assumes increases in rates for both T1/T2 and OPSRP of approximately five percentage points.

City Funding Policy

In August 2014, the City Council approved a policy regarding funding of its PERS pension liability. The policy requires the City to make contributions at no less than the rate established by the PERS Board and required by ORS 238.225. The City has always funded its full employer contribution as required by ORS 238.225. See “—Employer Contribution Rates and Amounts” above.

Pension Obligation Bonds

In addition to their PERS contribution, City bureaus in existence as of November 10, 1999, (the issue date of the bonds) are required to make a contribution to pay debt service on outstanding Limited Tax Pension Obligation Revenue Bonds (the “Pension Obligation Bonds”), which were issued to fund the City’s share of the unfunded actuarial liabilities of PERS as of December 31, 1997. The Pension Obligation Bonds were issued in three series: one series of fixed rate bonds in an amount of \$150,848,346 and two series of auction rate securities in an aggregate amount of \$150,000,000. The final maturity of the fixed rate Pension Obligation Bonds is

June 1, 2029. Pension Obligation Bonds issued as auction rate securities mature on June 1, 2019. Proceeds of the Pension Obligation Bonds were deposited with PERS. Table 11 below shows the debt service paid by the City on its Pension Obligation Bonds.

Total City Pension Contribution

The following table shows the amount of City contributions paid to PERS for the three pension programs including amounts paid by the City for the employee contribution. Contributions include the payments from the Fire and Police Disability and Retirement Fund for pensions of police and firefighters participating in the T1/T2 Pension Programs and OPSRP. Fire and Police Disability and Retirement Fund contributions are funded from a dedicated Citywide property tax levy. See “—PENSION PLANS: FIRE AND POLICE DISABILITY AND RETIREMENT FUND” below. In FY 2017-18, approximately 68 percent of the total cash contribution was for the employer share and 32 percent was for the employee share. The City made its required contribution for its pension obligation in all years. See “—Employer Contribution Rates and Amounts” above.

**Table 11
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
City Contribution Related to PERS**

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 | City’s Required Cash Contribution to PERS (1) | Debt Service on Pension Obligation Bonds | Total Cash Contribution for Pension Costs |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 2009 | \$35,326,820 | \$16,059,937 | \$51,386,757 |
| 2010 | 32,598,608 | 15,223,638 | 47,822,246 |
| 2011 | 33,622,080 | 16,416,215 | 50,038,295 |
| 2012 | 45,229,731 | 17,740,796 | 62,970,527 |
| 2013 | 45,278,556 | 19,433,725 | 64,712,281 |
| 2014 | 45,868,558 | 21,129,361 | 66,997,919 |
| 2015 | 46,969,145 | 23,074,692 | 70,043,837 |
| 2016 | 55,530,023 | 25,350,317 | 80,880,340 |
| 2017 | 58,637,409 | 27,853,142 | 86,490,551 |
| 2018 | 76,185,383 | 30,540,190 | 106,725,574 |

Notes:

(1) Includes City’s statutorily required employer contribution for T1/T2 and OPSRP pension program and its pension contribution on behalf of employees. Includes contributions from FPDR property tax levy and other non-General Fund bureaus such as the Water Bureau and the Bureau of Environmental Services.

Source: Oregon Public Employees Retirement System and City of Portland; Oregon.

Recent Developments Related to PERS

During the 2013 Legislative Session and the 2013 Special Session the Legislative Assembly enacted Senate Bills 822, 861, and 862 (the “2013 PERS Bills”) that were expected to: limit annual benefits cost of living adjustments (“COLAs”), for PERS retirees, eliminate a benefit increase for out-of-state retirees based on Oregon income tax, exclude salary increases given to pay for insurance costs from the final average salary used to calculate pension benefits, and reduce legislators’ participation in PERS. The 2013 PERS Bills were expected to reduce the amount of future benefit payments from the Statewide PERS System and reduce the unfunded actuarial liability of the Statewide PERS System by approximately \$5 billion.

In addition to legislative actions, in 2013 the PERS Board made other adjustments that were estimated by PERS to increase the unfunded actuarial liability of the Statewide PERS System by approximately \$2.5 billion. Several lawsuits were filed challenging the 2013 PERS Bills. On April 30, 2015, the Oregon Supreme Court released its decision in these cases. According to its decision, the Supreme Court invalidated the limits on COLAs insofar as they apply to benefits that members earned before the effective dates of the 2013 PERS Bills and upheld the elimination of the benefit increase for out-of-state retirees.

In May 2017, Governor Kate Brown appointed a seven-member task force comprised of business leaders and individuals with public sector experience (the “Task Force”) to find ways to reduce the PERS unfunded liability by at least \$5 billion over the next five years. Specifically, the Governor asked the Task Force to consider (1) assets that could be monetized, (2) one-time funding streams that could be redirected, (3) capital from other accounts that could be invested or loaned, and (4) ways to leverage similar funds from other PERS employers. The Task Force released a report on November 1, 2017, identifying options it judged to be reasonable and likely to deliver “a material reduction” in the liability, including increasing state excise taxes on alcohol sales; capturing excess state reserve funds; dedicating one-time financial “windfall” revenues to PERS; and selling one or more state universities to a private, not-for-profit entity. The City cannot predict whether any of the options identified by the Task Force will be implemented or the impact on the PERS unfunded liability.

PENSION PLANS: FIRE AND POLICE DISABILITY AND RETIREMENT PLAN

Overview

The following discussion pertains to the City’s Fire and Police Disability, Retirement and Death Benefit Plan (the “FPDR Plan”). The FPDR Plan was established in 1942 to provide disability, retirement and death benefits for sworn members of the City’s Bureaus of Fire and Police and their survivors. The FPDR Plan is governed by a Board of Trustees (the “FPDR Board”), composed of the Mayor or Mayor’s designee, two active members of the Fire and Police Bureaus and two citizens appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the City Council. The Fire and Police member trustees are elected by the active members of the Fire Bureau and Police Bureau, respectively. The citizen trustees must have relevant experience in pension or disability matters. The Plan is administered by the Bureau of Fire and Police Disability and Retirement, led by the Fund Administrator.

The FPDR Plan’s authority for vesting and benefit provisions is provided by Chapter 5 of the City Charter. Most amendments require majority approval of the voters in the City. Ten revisions have been passed by the voters since the creation of the plan. The most recent voter revision, comprised of eleven different plan amendments, was passed November 6, 2012. City Council may provide by ordinance any additional benefits that the City is required by law to extend to the members and may also change benefits by ordinance to maintain the FPDR Plan’s tax-qualified status. The most recent amendments by the City Council occurred in 2016.

Benefit Programs

The FPDR Plan consists of three tiers, two of which are now closed to new employees. The retirement plans for FPDR One, the original plan, and FPDR Two, in which most active fire and police personnel participate, are single-employer, defined-benefit plans administered by the FPDR Board. In addition to retirement benefits for FPDR One and FPDR Two members, the FPDR Plan provides service-connected, nonservice-connected, and occupational disability benefits for FPDR One, FPDR Two and FPDR Three members. FPDR Plan members do not participate in Social Security and do not receive Social Security benefits for their years of service.

FPDR One. Sworn members of the Police and Fire Bureaus subject to the FPDR Plan as constituted prior to July 1, 1990, are included in the FPDR One tier. All FPDR One members are now retired or receiving long-term disability benefits. Under FPDR One, retirement benefits are provided upon termination of employment on or after attaining age 50 (with 25 or more years of service) or 55 (with 20 years or more of service). Retirement benefits are paid to members at two percent of current top-step pay for a police officer or firefighter for each year of active service (up to 60 percent); therefore, FPDR One members receive postretirement benefit increases equal to increases in current top-step police officer or firefighter pay.

FPDR Two. Sworn members of the Police and Fire Bureaus subject to the FPDR Plan as constituted after June 30, 1990, and first sworn before January 1, 2007, are included in the FPDR Two tier. Under FPDR Two, retirement benefits are provided upon termination of employment on or after attaining age 55, or on or after attaining age 50 if the member has 25 or more years of service. Members become 100 percent vested after five years of service. Benefits are paid using a formula tied to years of service and the highest one-year base pay the member received during the final three years of employment. The FPDR Board has the authority to grant postretirement benefit increases to FPDR Two members, so long as the increases do not exceed increases awarded to sworn retirees of PERS. Members enrolled in the FPDR Plan and still working prior to July 1, 1990, were required to make an election as to whether they wished to fall under the provisions of the FPDR Plan as constituted prior to July 1, 1990 (now called FPDR One) or become subject to the new FPDR Two provisions after June 30, 1990.

FPDR Three. Sworn members of the Police and Fire Bureaus hired on or after January 1, 2007, are included in the FPDR Three tier; those sworn on or after January 1, 2013, become FPDR Three members after six months of service. These participants are part of OPSRP for retirement benefits and are under the FPDR Plan for disability and pre-retirement death benefits, offset by any benefits received on account of disability or death under OPSRP. Retirement benefits for these participants are pre-funded, and the FPDR levy pays the employee and employer portions of the OPSRP contribution. For information regarding OPSRP and the employee and

employer contribution rates for OPSRP, see “PENSION PLANS: OREGON PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM,” above.

Funding

The FPDR Fund receives the proceeds of a special property tax levy which cannot exceed two and eight-tenth mills on each dollar of valuation of property (\$2.80 per \$1,000 of Real Market Value) that is not exempt from such levy. The FPDR property tax levy has been sufficient in all years to meet required annual benefit payments. In the event that collections from this special property tax levy are less than the amount required for payment of benefits in any particular year, the FPDR Fund could receive advances from the FPDR Reserve Fund first and then from other City funds to make up the difference. While the FPDR Fund has not experienced any funding shortfalls to date, future funding is dependent on the availability of property tax revenues and, in the absence of sufficient property tax revenues, other legally available City funds.

Although the City Charter provides the FPDR Plan with dedicated property tax levy authority, the Oregon state constitution caps each property’s general government taxes at \$10 per \$1,000 of Real Market Value. After reaching this point, all levies, including the FPDR levy, are subject to Measure 5 compression to fit within the \$10 limit. For this reason, it is unlikely that FPDR could collect the full \$2.80 per \$1,000 of Real Market Value on each property. In FY 2017-18, the tax loss due to Measure 5 compression was \$7.5 million, or 5.1 percent of the FPDR tax levy.

Retirement Plan Asset Valuation and Liabilities

The table below shows key assumptions for the most recent valuation of the FPDR Plan. Mortality and other assumptions are regularly reviewed and updated as needed. The discount rate has changed over time to reflect economic conditions. Beginning with the June 30, 2014, valuation, the discount rate is equal to the June 30 value of the Bond Buyer General Obligation 20-Bond Municipal Bond Index each year, in accordance with the requirement of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 67 (“GASB 67”) that plans use a risk-free discount rate for the portion of the plan’s liability that is not prefunded.

**Table 12
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
FPDR Plan – Actuarial Assumptions and Methods
For the June 30, 2016, Valuation**

| | |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry Age Normal |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | Market Value |
| Funding Policy | Pay-As-You-Go |
| Discount Rate | 2.85% |
| Payroll Growth Rate | 3.75% |

Source: Milliman Inc., City of Portland Fire & Police Disability & Retirement (FPDR) Fund, Pension Actuarial Valuation Report as of June 30, 2016, dated January 16, 2017.

As distinguished from the pension plan for FPDR Three members, assets generally are not accumulated in the current year to pay for benefit payments in future years for the FPDR Plan. The table below shows funding levels for the FPDR Plan over the past ten fiscal years. In accordance with the Charter’s provisions, there are no requirements to fund the FPDR Plan using actuarial techniques. The FPDR Plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. Each year’s benefits and expenses are paid for with employer contributions derived from dedicated property tax revenue received during that year. Because of the FPDR Plan’s pay-as-you-go funding basis, the unfunded actuarial accrued pension liability and net pension liability (the new terminology per GASB 67 implemented by the City effective June 30, 2014), do not reflect the value of dedicated future revenues from the property tax levy. See “—Levy Adequacy” below. As reflected in the City’s CAFR for its fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, and required by GASB 68, the net pension liability for the FPDR Plan is a primary factor in the City’s unrestricted net position deficit for governmental activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, and contributed to the City’s total net position decrease from FY 2012-13 to FY 2013-14. See table entitled “Net Position by Component Last Ten Fiscal Years (accrual basis of accounting)” on page 338 of the City’s CAFR for its fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, which is available on the EMMA website.

**Table 13
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
FPDR Plan --Summary of Funding Levels (1)**

| Fiscal Year | Actuarial Value of Assets | Actuarial Liability | Unfunded Actuarial Liability (1) | Funded Ratio (2) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 2009 (3) | \$11,571,074 | \$2,279,923,000 | \$2,268,351,926 | 0.51% |
| 2010 | 16,542,896 | 2,549,479,088 | 2,532,936,192 | 0.65% |
| 2011 (3) | 25,648,253 | 2,610,360,794 | 2,584,712,541 | 0.98% |
| 2012 (3) | 20,287,803 | 2,674,072,175 | 2,653,784,372 | 0.76% |

| Fiscal Year (3) | Plan Net Position, Ending | Total Pension Liability | Net Pension Liability (2) | Net Position as Percent of Total Liability (2) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 2013 | \$17,155,015 | \$2,517,096,534 | \$2,499,941,519 | 0.68% |
| 2014 | 20,532,924 | 2,473,970,866 | 2,453,437,942 | 0.83% |
| 2015 | 21,876,942 | 2,896,894,767 | 2,875,017,825 | 0.76% |
| 2016 | 17,425,353 | 3,391,461,315 | 3,374,035,962 | 0.51% |
| 2017 | 14,150,087 | 3,367,105,729 | 3,352,955,642 | 0.42% |
| 2018 | 17,790,774 | 3,295,142,974 | 3,277,352,200 | 0.54% |

Notes:

- (1) Table reflects transition to new accounting classifications as required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board beginning with the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013.
- (2) Does not include value of future dedicated FPDR property tax collections.
- (3) Amounts are calculated by rolling forward prior actuarial valuations. See Table 14 below for a summary of the June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2016, valuations.

Source: City of Portland audited financial statements.

Overall the total pension liability increased from \$2.47 billion on June 30, 2014, to \$3.67 billion on June 30, 2016, as shown in Table 14 below. Note that these valuations differ from results shown in Table 13 above because they are based on actual results prepared by Milliman and are not roll-forward amounts of prior valuations.

**Table 14
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
FPDR Plan--Summary of Most Recent Actuarial Valuations**

| Fiscal Year | Plan Assets | Total Pension Liability | Net Pension Liability |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2014 | \$20,532,924 | \$2,488,261,858 | \$2,467,728,934 |
| 2016 | 17,425,353 | 3,689,965,110 | 3,672,539,757 |

Source: Milliman Inc., City of Portland Fire & Police Disability & Retirement (FPDR) Fund, Pension Actuarial Valuation Report as of June 30, 2016, dated January 16, 2017.

The single largest driver of the higher liability is the discount rate (the June 30 value of the Bond Buyer General Obligation 20-Bond Municipal Bond Index each year). The rate dropped from 4.29 percent on June 30, 2014, to 2.85 percent on June 30, 2016, resulting in a \$574 million increase in the plan liability. As described above, the FPDR Plan provides that FPDR Two postretirement benefit increases cannot exceed the postretirement benefit increases for PERS sworn retirees. For the 2014 valuation, these adjustments were based on the PERS COLA as modified by the 2013 PERS Bills, which reduced the maximum COLA from 2.0 percent to 1.25 percent. However, the lower COLA was invalidated by the Oregon Supreme Court in 2015, insofar as it applies to benefits that members earned before the effective dates of the 2013 changes (see “—Recent Developments Related to PERS”). The PERS Board

subsequently adopted a blended COLA, which applies up to 2.0 percent for the percentage of service credited before October 2013 and 1.25 percent for later service. The FPDR Board chose a similar COLA methodology beginning in 2015 and directed the actuaries to assume this methodology continues for purposes of the 2016 valuation. The higher COLA assumption increased the liability by \$289 million between June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2016. A final significant change was an update to the plan’s mortality assumptions, which the FPDR Board has decided will mirror the mortality experience in the larger pool of PERS sworn members. Longer life expectancies for retirees increased the liability by another \$147 million.

FPDR Fund and Levy Adequacy

A critical measure of the FPDR Fund’s financial health is whether the dedicated property tax will ever be insufficient to fully cover expenditures both for the pay-as-you-go FPDR Plan and the pre-funded OPSRP plan. The table below compares the certified tax levy for FPDR Plan contributions (for FPDR One and FPDR Two participants) and OPSRP contributions (for FPDR Three participants) with the amount authorized based on the \$2.80/\$1,000 Charter limitation. Between FY 2008-09 and FY 2017-18, Real Market Value of property subject to taxation has grown by a compounded annual rate of approximately 4.8 percent.

**Table 15
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
FPDR Fund--Certified Levies Compared with Maximum Levies Authorized**

| FYE June 30 | Tax Levy per \$1,000 RMV | Certified Tax Levy (1) | Maximum Levy Authorized (\$2.80/1,000 RMV) | Amount Available to be Certified from Authorized Levy |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2009 | \$1.23 | \$111,152,436 | \$253,003,644 | \$141,851,208 |
| 2010 | 1.29 | 114,980,456 | 249,261,909 | 134,281,453 |
| 2011 | 1.37 | 118,526,184 | 241,849,105 | 123,322,921 |
| 2012 | 1.41 | 114,264,711 | 227,257,618 | 112,992,907 |
| 2013 | 1.55 | 123,564,952 | 223,709,460 | 100,144,508 |
| 2014 | 1.62 | 136,383,540 | 235,325,707 | 98,942,167 |
| 2015 | 1.48 | 136,883,230 | 259,331,341 | 122,448,111 |
| 2016 | 1.30 | 133,795,013 | 287,358,793 | 153,563,780 |
| 2017 | 1.15 | 138,900,728 | 338,199,473 | 199,298,745 |
| 2018 | 1.13 | 155,551,693 | 384,951,394 | 229,399,701 |

Notes:

(1) Before Measure 5 compression, delinquencies and discounts.

Source: City of Portland.

The table below shows historical taxes imposed (after Measure 5 compression) and historical expenditures for retirement benefits and death and disability benefits. As fire and police retirement benefits transition from a pay-as-you-go system to a pre-funded system through OPSRP, the FPDR levy will be funding two generations of FPDR members simultaneously: FPDR One and FPDR Two members who are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis during their retirement years and FPDR Three members whose retirement benefits are pre-funded through OPSRP during their working careers. Costs for disability benefits will continue to be paid on a pay-as-you go basis. As described below, higher costs are expected over approximately the next 20 years, with the potential for higher tax levy rates.

Table 16
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
FPDR Fund--Imposed Levies and Expenditures for
Pension Benefits and Death/Disability Benefits

| FYE | Imposed Tax | FPDR One & | FPDR Three | Disability & | Total FPDR |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| June 30 | Levy (1) | Two Pension | OPSRP | Funeral Benefits | Benefit |
| | | Benefits | Contributions | | Contributions |
| 2009 | \$107,869,880 | \$85,079,520 | \$1,774,991 | \$9,241,784 | \$96,096,295 |
| 2010 | 111,376,678 | 89,038,110 | 2,210,250 | 9,075,988 | 100,324,348 |
| 2011 | 114,217,070 | 90,464,611 | 2,865,737 | 7,938,636 | 101,268,984 |
| 2012 | 108,666,428 | 94,708,986 | 4,735,637 | 7,064,187 | 106,508,810 |
| 2013 | 115,752,880 | 99,417,595 | 5,265,815 | 6,725,710 | 111,409,120 |
| 2014 | 123,304,615 | 103,506,696 | 5,998,321 | 7,410,977 | 116,915,994 |
| 2015 | 126,777,805 | 103,355,638 | 6,952,685 | 6,219,646 | 116,527,969 |
| 2016 | 126,376,817 | 107,074,899 | 8,699,501 | 6,420,506 | 122,194,906 |
| 2017 | 132,477,613 | 113,826,622 | 9,672,695 | 6,525,351 | 130,024,668 |
| 2018 | 148,214,877 | 119,616,359 | 13,318,516 | 6,050,635 | 138,985,510 |

Notes:

(1) Amount after Measure 5 compression but not adjusted for delinquencies and discounts.

Source: City of Portland.

The FPDR Board assesses the FPDR Plan’s long-term financial condition in part by projecting the future availability of revenues from the dedicated property tax (the “Levy Adequacy Analysis”), which are the source of employer contributions under the Charter. The most recent Levy Adequacy Analysis, completed by an independent actuary in connection with the actuarial valuation of the fund, was as of June 30, 2016. The Levy Adequacy Analysis found that, under a wide range of simulated economic scenarios over the next 20 years, the future FPDR Fund levy would remain under \$2.80 per \$1,000 of Real Market Value, but the levy exceeded the \$2.80 threshold in at least one year in fewer than three percent of modeled scenarios. This represents a decline from approximately four percent of modeled scenarios in the prior analysis as of June 30, 2014. Real Market Values in the City’s tax base have grown by more than enough to offset more expensive plan benefits. The first year in which the percent of scenarios exceeding the levy limit reaches the one percent level is FY 2031-32. Pay-as-you-go costs peak in FY 2036-37 in nominal terms; the peak on an inflation-adjusted basis is in FY 2031-32.

The table below shows projected levy rates and taxes levied at the 50th and 5th percentiles for FY 2016-17 through FY 2035-36 as included in the 2016 Levy Adequacy Analysis. Note that the actual levy rate per \$1,000 of Real Market Value was \$1.15 for FY 2016-17 and \$1.13 for FY 2017-18.

Table 17
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
FPDR Fund--Projected Levy Rate, Taxes and Requirements

| Fiscal Year | Levy Rate | | Levy Rate | |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Ended at 50th June 30 | Taxes Levied at 50th Percentile | at 5th Percentile | Taxes Levied at 5th Percentile |
| 2017 | \$1.18 | \$143,100,000 | \$1.18 | \$143,100,000 |
| 2018 | 1.13 | 150,100,000 | 1.13 | 150,100,000 |
| 2019 | 1.13 | 157,300,000 | 1.27 | 158,700,000 |
| 2020 | 1.18 | 170,300,000 | 1.39 | 173,800,000 |
| 2021 | 1.19 | 179,100,000 | 1.45 | 183,500,000 |
| 2022 | 1.23 | 194,400,000 | 1.59 | 203,700,000 |
| 2023 | 1.24 | 204,400,000 | 1.65 | 215,200,000 |
| 2024 | 1.25 | 216,700,000 | 1.75 | 234,000,000 |
| 2025 | 1.26 | 229,000,000 | 1.82 | 248,400,000 |
| 2026 | 1.28 | 243,000,000 | 1.91 | 267,700,000 |
| 2027 | 1.30 | 257,000,000 | 1.95 | 284,800,000 |
| 2028 | 1.31 | 271,100,000 | 2.03 | 304,100,000 |
| 2029 | 1.31 | 284,900,000 | 2.07 | 321,900,000 |
| 2030 | 1.37 | 311,600,000 | 2.22 | 354,300,000 |
| 2031 | 1.37 | 325,900,000 | 2.26 | 372,100,000 |
| 2032 | 1.36 | 339,000,000 | 2.32 | 392,700,000 |
| 2033 | 1.35 | 352,200,000 | 2.34 | 409,800,000 |
| 2034 | 1.33 | 362,200,000 | 2.38 | 428,700,000 |
| 2035 | 1.30 | 372,400,000 | 2.37 | 443,000,000 |
| 2036 | 1.21 | 361,700,000 | 2.27 | 437,600,000 |

Notes:

- (1) Results are expressed as a probability distribution. Amounts shown in table are median values within percentile categories. Actual rates for FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 are found in the table entitled "FPDR Fund—Certified Levies Compared with Maximum Levies Authorized."

Source: Milliman, Inc., FPDR Levy Adequacy Analysis as of June 30, 2016, dated January 24, 2017.

The current analysis extends through FY 2035-36 and encompasses all facts, decisions and conditions pertaining to the FPDR Plan known at the time the analysis was completed. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the measurements presented herein due to factors such as changes in economic or demographic assumptions (including changes in Real Market Value); changes related to PERS, performance of investments, and changes in FPDR Plan benefit provisions or applicable law.

OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT RETIREMENT BENEFITS ("OPEB")

The City's OPEB liability includes two separate plans. The City provides a contribution to the State of Oregon PERS cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plan and an implicit rate subsidy for retiree Health Insurance Continuation premiums.

PERS Program

Retirees who receive pension benefits through the T1/T2 Pension Programs and are enrolled in certain PERS-administered health insurance programs may also receive a subsidy towards the payment of health insurance premiums. Under ORS 238.420, retirees may receive a subsidy for Medicare supplemental health insurance of up to \$60 per month towards the cost of their health insurance premiums under the RHIA program. RHIA's assets and liabilities are pooled on a system-wide basis. These assets and liabilities are not tracked or calculated on an employer basis. The City's allocated share of the RHIA program's assets and liabilities is based on the City's proportionate share of the program's pooled covered payroll. According to the 2017 City Report, the City's allocated share of the RHIA program's UAL was (\$4,404,636) as of December 31, 2017.

The City’s current employer contribution rate to fund RHIA benefits during the 2017-19 biennium for T1/T2 employees is 0.50 percent and for OPSRP general services and police and fire employees is 0.43 percent. According to the 2017 City Report, the adopted rate to fund RHIA benefits during the 2019-21 biennium for T1/T2 employees is 0.06 percent and for OPSRP general services and policy and fire employees is 0.00 percent. These employer contribution rates to fund RHIA are included in the rates described in Table 10 above.

Health Insurance Continuation Option

Distinct from the PERS program, Oregon municipalities, including the City, are required to allow retirees and their dependents to continue to receive health insurance by paying the premiums themselves at a rate that is blended with the rate for current employees until retirees and spouses are eligible for federal Medicare coverage and until children reach the age of 18 (the “Health Insurance Continuation Option” or “HIC”). GASB 45 refers to this as an implicit subsidy and therefore requires the corresponding liability to be determined and reported.

The OPEB liability associated with the Health Insurance Continuation Option is an actuarially-determined amount calculated in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The valuation is prepared using the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method and amortized over an open period of 30 years using the level percentage of projected pay. Other assumptions include a discount rate of 3.60 percent, 2.2 percent inflation rate, annual healthcare cost trend rates of 4.5 to 7.5 percent, and retirees’ share of benefit-related costs of 26 percent of estimated program costs. The City’s unfunded actuarial accrued liability for OPEB is solely attributable to the Health Insurance Continuation Option and at the valuation date of July 1, 2017 (the date of the most recent actuarial valuation), is estimated to be \$100,197,951. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of covered payroll is 23 percent. Actuarial valuations for the Health Insurance Continuation Option are undertaken every two years. A new valuation study will be undertaken for reporting the OPEB liability as of July 1, 2019.

The City’s annual OPEB cost is calculated based on the actuarially-determined service cost, which represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal costs each year and amortize any unfunded liability over a period of 30 years. For FY 2017-18, the changes to the total OPEB liability is calculated as follows:

| | |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| Balance at 6/30/17 | \$104,746,377 |
| Changes for the year: | |
| Service cost | 4,140,465 |
| Interest | 3,086,463 |
| Changes of assumptions | (6,825,794) |
| Benefit payments | <u>(4,949,560)</u> |
| Net Changes | <u>(4,548,426)</u> |
| Balance at 6/30/18 | <u>\$100,197,951</u> |

The City expects to use a pay-as-you-go approach to fund its actuarial accrued liability and OPEB obligation, but will monitor its OPEB liability and assess whether a different approach is needed in future years.

Implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 75

As reflected in its CAFR for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the City implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 75, which changed employer reporting of OPEB for state and local governments. Under this new standard, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018, the City has reported in its CAFR the following balances:

| | Deferred Outflow/(Inflow) of Resources | Net OPEB Liability/(Asset) | OPEB Expense |
|-------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| RHIA | (\$695,350) | (\$1,476,036) | \$3,580 |
| HIC | (5,876,449) | 100,197,951 | 6,277,583 |
| Total | <u>(\$6,571,799)</u> | <u>\$98,721,915</u> | <u>\$6,281,163</u> |

See the City’s CAFR for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, which is posted on the EMMA website.

LITIGATION

Members of the public and advocacy groups from time to time assert that they intend to file a legal action against the City challenging certain programs, laws or actions that the City, its officers or bureaus have taken. Because the City cannot be certain as to whether such actions will actually be filed, the legal assertions that may be made in a potential action or the remedy sought in terms of the amount of damages or performance requested of the City, the City includes as threatened litigation only situations in which the City is engaged in active settlement negotiations with a person or group in order to pre-empt filing of a lawsuit.

The City discloses only pending or threatened litigation that the City has determined may have a materially adverse impact on the City's financial position. The current level of materiality involves litigation where the damages or performance sought has a reasonable probability of imposing liability of \$5 million or more against the City's Available General Funds.

There is no litigation pending or threatened against the City which impairs the City's ability to make principal and interest payments on the City's full faith and credit obligations when due. Except as noted in the following paragraphs, there is no litigation pending or threatened against the City which would materially and adversely affect the financial condition of the City.

On December 6, 2011, the City was sued by a group of water and sewer ratepayers in *Anderson et al. v. City of Portland, Multnomah County Circuit Court Case No. 1112-15957* (the "Anderson Case"). Plaintiffs challenged certain expenditures by the City's Water Bureau and Bureau of Environmental Services, alleging that the expenditures were not authorized by the City Charter and seeking to reimburse the Water Fund and Sewage Disposal Fund. The City vigorously defended this lawsuit, and on January 5, 2017, the Court rendered an opinion on the last of the issues before it. The City has prevailed on most of the claims. A remedy decision was released by the Court on June 22, 2017. The Court concluded that the City is required to restore \$14,016,147 to the Water Fund and \$3,045,575 to the Sewage Disposal Fund pursuant to the Anderson Case. On December 20, 2017, Council passed an ordinance authorizing the Mayor to settle this lawsuit for a total of \$10 million, \$3 million of which shall be paid to the plaintiffs' attorneys by December 29, 2017, from General Fund resources. The remaining \$7 million must be reimbursed to the water and sewer funds by September 30, 2019, also from General Fund resources. There will be no appeal of the lawsuit, it and will be dismissed from the Circuit Court with prejudice, meaning these plaintiffs cannot bring these or similar claims against the City in the future.

In 2000, Portland Harbor, a 10-mile industrial stretch of the Lower Willamette River outside of the City's urban center, was listed by the EPA as a Superfund site. The EPA notified the City that it may have liability for releases of contaminants in the Willamette River. The City may also have liabilities to Natural Resource Trustees of the Willamette River (including tribes, and federal and state resource agencies) for damages to natural resources in Portland Harbor. The City's FY 2017-18 Adopted Budget identified expenditures for the Portland Harbor Superfund of \$6.1 million.

On January 6, 2017, the EPA issued its Record of Decision of final cleanup plan for the Portland Harbor Superfund Site. The EPA estimated capital costs to implement the selected remedy of approximately \$1.05 billion in 2017 dollars, estimated by the EPA to be \$1.7 billion in actual future dollars. The EPA is requiring an additional phase of environmental sampling before remedy implementation. The time frame for that work is unknown and the work may change the expected costs of the remedy. The costs associated with the remedy have not been allocated among the numerous potentially liable parties.

The Natural Resource Trustees have not made a final determination of the total damages to natural resources and those costs have not been allocated among the numerous potentially liable parties.

The City is participating in voluntary, negotiated settlement processes to determine how cleanup costs can be allocated among all responsible parties. These processes cannot be concluded until the parties reach an agreement or the liabilities are litigated. The City will defend against a significant allocation of liability to the City. Under Superfund law, responsible parties that fail to enter into agreements to remediate and restore Superfund sites become subject to legal action by the EPA for cleanup and restoration, including imposition of fines. Once initiated, the remedial design and implementation phase is expected to be conducted and funded over many years. The City expects to have an extended period to identify funding strategies and to fund its share of the remedy.

APPENDIX
EXCERPTS FROM AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



INTRODUCTION TO EXCERPTS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of the City have been audited by independent certified public accountants for the fiscal years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018. Copies of these financial statements containing the reports of the independent certified public accountants are available on the City's website at:

<http://www.portlandoregon.gov/bfs/26053>

The following pages in this Appendix A are excerpted from the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports of the City for Fiscal Years ending June 30, 2014, through June 30, 2018. Any Notes that follow the tabular data have been prepared by the City and have not been reviewed by the independent auditor.

A CONSENT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR WAS NOT REQUESTED. THE AUDITOR WAS NOT REQUESTED TO PERFORM AND HAS NOT PERFORMED ANY SERVICE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT AND IS THEREFORE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT.

CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
Transportation Operating Fund
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
Generally Accepted Accounting Principles Basis
for Fiscal Year Ended June 30

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Taxes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9,787,463 | \$ 20,886,761 |
| Licenses and fees | 3,726,335 | 6,848,288 | 8,375,150 | 11,491,538 | 20,001,016 |
| Intergovernmental revenues | 64,365,815 | 65,525,722 | 74,687,073 | 72,509,930 | 80,085,753 |
| Charges for services | 11,897,901 | 14,168,262 | 14,222,163 | 22,088,953 | 19,774,523 |
| Interagency | 30,296,599 | 29,320,265 | 27,670,348 | 26,437,990 | 26,518,705 |
| Parking fees | 26,782,608 | 30,505,563 | 36,973,104 | 44,072,289 | 49,051,356 |
| Parking fines | 6,574,304 | 6,438,629 | 7,957,472 | 7,206,634 | 6,928,776 |
| Rents and reimbursements | 508,800 | 566,728 | 709,649 | 769,692 | 873,931 |
| Miscellaneous service charges | 2,505,841 | 2,493,378 | 2,601,277 | 10,534,725 | 7,854,092 |
| Assessments | 266,649 | 1,423,200 | 1,346,522 | 1,641,192 | 2,585,161 |
| Investment earnings | 470,519 | 404,899 | 1,222,075 | 739,838 | 1,580,581 |
| Other miscellaneous revenues | 4,327,222 | 4,174,492 | 4,068,125 | 3,150,316 | 2,184,857 |
| Total revenues | 151,722,593 | 161,869,426 | 179,832,958 | 210,430,560 | 238,325,512 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Transportation | 167,521,250 | 145,956,223 | 131,023,308 | 150,593,882 | 155,377,462 |
| Capital outlay | 22,275,877 | 26,042,580 | 26,136,988 | 32,189,849 | 36,079,646 |
| Debt service and related costs | 9,776,329 | 14,017,910 | 18,758,057 | 46,045,810 | 15,270,240 |
| Total expenditures | 199,573,456 | 186,016,713 | 175,918,353 | 228,829,541 | 206,727,348 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures | (47,850,863) | (24,147,287) | 3,914,605 | (18,398,981) | 31,598,164 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) | | | | | |
| Transfers in | 20,082,696 | 16,556,575 | 33,721,655 | 25,193,698 | 25,789,297 |
| Transfers out | (4,642,483) | (4,778,525) | (4,269,154) | (3,497,605) | (3,588,467) |
| Proceeds from sale of capital assets | - | - | 3,600 | 405 | 4,275 |
| Bonds and notes issued | 45,404,379 | 24,196,709 | 3,539,894 | 28,308,147 | - |
| Refunding bonds issued | - | - | 6,284,509 | 20,000,000 | - |
| Bonds and notes premium | 5,938,312 | - | - | 6,906,614 | - |
| Total other sources (uses) | 66,782,904 | 35,974,759 | 39,280,504 | 76,911,259 | 22,205,105 |
| Net change in fund balances | 18,932,041 | 11,827,472 | 43,195,109 | 58,512,278 | 53,803,269 |
| Fund balance, beginning | 52,441,974 | 71,374,015 | 83,201,487 | 126,396,596 | 184,908,874 |
| Fund balances, ending | \$ 71,374,015 | \$ 83,201,487 | \$126,396,596 | \$184,908,874 | \$238,712,143 |

Source: Derived from City of Portland audited annual financial statements.

CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON
Transportation Operating Fund
Consecutive Balance Sheets
as of June 30

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Unrestricted: | | | | | |
| Cash and investments (1) | \$ 31,915,211 | \$ 28,839,603 | \$ 59,900,325 | \$ 49,773,572 | \$ 26,421,059 |
| Receivables: | | | | | |
| Taxes | | | | | |
| Accounts, net | 11,174,241 | 12,413,233 | 14,694,535 | 7,548,070 | 12,532,727 |
| Assessments | 1,082,038 | 2,399,510 | 2,589,563 | 2,895,156 | 2,995,128 |
| Notes and loans, net | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Advances | - | - | 215,000 | - | 100,000 |
| Accrued interest | 83,280 | 143,908 | 301,968 | 496,784 | 905,846 |
| Due from other funds | 37,958 | 37,958 | - | - | - |
| Due from component unit | 82 | 82 | 200 | 200 | - |
| Internal loans | 2,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 4,500,000 | 8,000,000 | 10,000,000 |
| Inventories | 5,434,125 | 7,117,403 | 6,413,703 | 6,131,048 | 7,086,962 |
| Prepaid items | 291,013 | 291,013 | 284,083 | 284,083 | 284,082 |
| Restricted: | | | | | |
| Cash and investments (1) | 31,794,588 | 39,013,371 | 47,917,229 | 117,836,110 | 177,040,083 |
| Receivables: Accounts, net | - | - | - | 13,123,582 | 15,211,118 |
| Total assets | \$ 83,937,536 | \$ 94,381,081 | \$ 136,941,606 | \$ 206,213,605 | \$ 252,702,005 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES | | | | | |
| Liabilities payable from unrestricted assets: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 6,357,932 | \$ 5,314,161 | \$ 4,164,970 | \$ 16,034,211 | \$ 9,439,906 |
| Due to component unit | - | - | 1,456,461 | - | - |
| Unearned revenue | 3,621,086 | 1,941,614 | 930,769 | 1,064,075 | 349,520 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 3,150 | - | - | - | - |
| Liabilities payable from restricted assets: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | - | - | - | 35,131 | 245,750 |
| Total liabilities | 9,982,168 | 7,255,775 | 6,552,200 | 17,133,417 | 10,035,176 |
| Deferred inflows of resources: | | | | | |
| Unavailable revenue - unrestricted | 2,581,353 | 3,923,819 | 3,992,810 | 4,171,314 | 3,954,686 |
| Fund Balance: | | | | | |
| Nonspendable | 5,725,138 | 7,408,416 | 6,697,786 | 6,415,131 | 7,371,044 |
| Restricted | 31,794,588 | 39,013,371 | 47,917,229 | 130,924,561 | 192,005,451 |
| Assigned | 33,854,289 | 36,779,700 | 71,781,581 | 47,569,182 | 39,335,648 |
| Total fund balance | 71,374,015 | 83,201,487 | 126,396,596 | 184,908,874 | 238,712,143 |
| Total liabilities and fund balance | \$ 83,937,536 | \$ 94,381,081 | \$ 136,941,606 | \$ 206,213,605 | \$ 252,702,005 |

Notes:

(1) Cash balances from System Development Charges (SDCs) are recognized as restricted cash.

Source: City of Portland audited annual financial statements.

