


## Average Monthly SFR Combined Water & Sewer Bills (1 year prior, and current or proposed 7/1/18 if available)




### Derivation of selected cities:

1. Four of original six cities included in City Auditor's Service Efforts and Accomplishments (SEA) report, circa 1990 (Seattle, Kansas City, Sacramento, Cincinnati, and two not included in this chart, Charlotte and Denver.)
2. Cities with active EPA Combined Sewer Overflow permits (Atlanta, Cleveland, Honolulu, Olympia, San Francisco, Washington DC.)
3. Local and regional cities (Lake Oswego, Bend, Hillsboro, Gresham.)
4. Cities requested by PUB (Bellevue, Tacoma, Vancouver.)

### Limitations of making Water/BES bill comparisons:

1. The political/rate environment will differ by service provider. For example, some providers may defer rate increases by holding rates flat.
2. The bill comparison does not reflect the age, condition, or investment plans in the different systems.
3. Differences in rate structure can make comparison difficult. For example, some water systems may be subsidized, or the allocation of the base/fixed charge between utilities may be inconsistent.

## Average Monthly SFR Sewer & Stormwater Bills (1 year prior, and current or proposed 7/1/18 if available)




### Derivation of selected cities:

1. Four of original six cities included in City Auditor's Service Efforts and Accomplishments (SEA) report, circa 1990 (Seattle, Kansas City, Sacramento, Cincinnati, and two not included in this chart, Charlotte and Denver.)
2. Cities with active EPA Combined Sewer Overflow permits (Atlanta, Cleveland, Honolulu, Olympia, San Francisco, Washington DC.)
3. Local and regional cities (Lake Oswego, Bend, Hillsboro, Gresham.)
4. Cities requested by PUB (Bellevue, Tacoma, Vancouver.)

### Limitations of making Water/BES bill comparisons:

1. The political/rate environment will differ by service provider. For example, some providers may defer rate increases by holding rates flat.
2. The bill comparison does not reflect the age, condition, or investment plans in the different systems.
3. Differences in rate structure can make comparison difficult. For example, some water systems may be subsidized, or the allocation of the base/fixed charge between utilities may be inconsistent.

## Average Monthly SFR Water Bills (1 year prior, and current or proposed 7/1/18 if available)



### Derivation of selected cities:

1. Four of original six cities included in City Auditor's Service Efforts and Accomplishments (SEA) report, circa 1990 (Seattle, Kansas City, Sacramento, Cincinnati, and two not included in this chart, Charlotte and Denver.)
2. Cities with active EPA Combined Sewer Overflow permits (Atlanta, Cleveland, Honolulu, Olympia, San Francisco, Washington DC.)
3. Local and regional cities (Lake Oswego, Bend, Hillsboro, Gresham.)
4. Cities requested by PUB (Bellevue, Tacoma, Vancouver.)

### Limitations of making Water/BES bill comparisons:

1. The political/rate environment will differ by service provider. For example, some providers may defer rate increases by holding rates flat.
2. The bill comparison does not reflect the age, condition, or investment plans in the different systems.
3. Differences in rate structure can make comparison difficult. For example, some water systems may be subsidized, or the allocation of the base/fixed charge between utilities may be inconsistent.