

ONI BAC – Summary of Feedback from Draft Scenarios reviewed 1/7/13

Support for Scenario A – Across the Board cuts (or A with modifications) – 14 votes

- 10% across the board seems most equitable and still very painful.
- I would like to see the \$150,000 Graffiti cut applied to all partners. Partners could assist with volunteer recruitment for it.
- I support A mostly because Commissioner Fritz wants it proposed. I'd rather see some tweaks when all is finalized.
- Support 10% across the board, would consider reducing DCL down to 5% if ONI gets any money added back.
- Although I like scenario A the best at this time, I can't imagine how that will really allow some programs to be effective.
- Scenario A but keeping crime prevention jobs. Graffiti abatement can be volunteer.
- Need tweaks to Scenario A – less impact for DCL.
- If Citywide decisions are approved by Council and money becomes available, then explore scenario C.

Support for Alternatives (B or C or with modifications) 15 votes (10 for B, 4 for C, 1 for B or C)

- Possibly fee for service for Resolutions NW services
- Equity issue for DCL, Resolutions NW and Elders in Action.
- Coalitions and Crime Prevention can function at less funding. Effectiveness needs to be considered.
- I do not support a 10% cut across the board – it doesn't hit or seem to be the most fair.
- There doesn't seem to be too much of a difference between scenarios. I would like to explore scenario B further with some adjustments and exploration to the proposed cuts.
- The 10% cuts are just too deep when spread so all programs suffer too significantly. It would be more effective to target a program for a larger cut or elimination.
- I support scenario C, but instead of cutting a DCL/Neighborhood Program Coordinator would propose cutting another Crime Prevention coordinator.
- I don't support 10% across the board.
- I've heard from several of the partners/programs that the 10% across the board cut is devastating to their program and services.
- Although the impact of loss of a program is significant, it is more of a loss to have to change the mission and goals of multiple programs that are cut so deeply that they cannot do what is expected.
- I need to hear more of the impacts for scenario B&C from the actual partners before I can vote for either scenario or come up with a new alternative.

ONI BAC – Summary of Feedback from Draft Scenarios reviewed 1/7/13

- Support scenario B with some changes – some funding for mediation, negotiate a restructure of services and no partners held harmless.
- Support scenario B with some changes – reduce cuts to mediation/facilitation and make deeper cuts to coalitions who are more able to absorb cuts and increase efficiency.
- Do not support across the board cuts with regard to DCL, RNW and Elders in Action
- Scenario C is the best of an ugly situation.
- Need to understand with coalitions and DCL partners what percent of their budget is from ONI funds.
- Scenario B with some changes – create 1 FTE at ONI to coordinate mediation program. Smaller across the board cut for all else.
- Want to see scenario C with cut to DCL and half the DCL coordinator.
- A 10% across the board seems impractical given the impacts. However, the remaining cut should be across all partners, not holding DCL and Elders harmless.
- Scenario B is a way to impact partners less overall.
- Scenario B and RNW should just charge fee for service.

Other/General Comments

- I am sympathetic to holding DCL harmless because they are proportionately less well funded compared to others (coalitions, Elders in Action, etc). If this does not happen this time, I hope there will be future discussion about the equity of the current funding model.
- I do not think we should hold the DCL partners harmless again.
- I would like to see cuts that don't eliminate staff positions before other cuts.
- Would like to see a scenario that eliminates graffiti instead of RNW.
- A move of all ONI to the Hub/Burnside location would make the loss of the OSSII position easier.
- What other funding are groups developing?
- 501c3 Coalitions need to fund raise.
- I defer to Commissioner Fritz' feeling about what will be our best option.
- Do not cut land lines and no cut to Information and Referral.