



CITY OF
PORTLAND, OREGON

OFFICE OF NEIGHBORHOOD INVOLVEMENT

AMANDA FRITZ, COMMISSIONER

Amalia Alarcón de Morris, Bureau Director

Marijuana Policy Program

1221 SW 4th Avenue, Room 110

Portland, Oregon 97204

Promoting a culture of civic engagement

Marijuana Policy Oversight Team

September 28, 2016 – 6 to 8pm
Portland City Hall, Lovejoy Room

IN ATTENDANCE

Staff

Theresa Marchetti	ONI, Livability Programs Manager
Víctor Salinas	Marijuana Policy Program
Christina Coursey	Marijuana Policy Program
Lina Rodriguez	Marijuana Policy Program
Brandon Goldner	Marijuana Policy Program
Claire Adamsick	Office of Commissioner Fritz

MPOT Members

Sam Chapman	New Economy Consulting, LLC
Kealii Ching	Marijuana Paradise Medical Dispensary
Allan Folz	Piedmont Neighborhood Association
Darilis Garcia-McMillan	Portland Community College
Jesse Horton	Dispensary Owner, Minority Cannabis Business Association
Adam Lyons	Northeast Coalition of Neighborhoods
Antonio Ramirez Subiria	Latino Network
Randa Shahin	Home Grown Apothecary Medical Dispensary
Sondra Storm	Owner, Hotel Development & Management Company
Erik Vidstrand	Multnomah County, Environmental Health Services
Meghan Walstatter	Pure Green Medical Dispensary
Helen Ying	Big Village Coalition

ABSENT

MPOT Members

Beth Cooke	UFCW Local 555
Carolyn Haycraft	GirlStrength, EPIC, Portland Police Bureau
Laurel Moses	Multnomah County Health Department (Erik Vidstrand substituted)

MEETING MINUTES

WELCOME/INTRODUCTIONS

SOCIAL CONSUMPTION REPORT

- Subcommittee met earlier in the summer to learn more about the subject and to develop a series of recommendations to inform policy decisions and priorities for the legislative session coming up.
 - On June 22nd MPOT voted to approve the report on social consumption, which included a series of recommendation to Commissioner Fritz.
 - Report was sent to Commissioner Fritz on July 5.
 - Also sent to Jeff Rhodes at Governor Brown's office.
- MPOT subcommittee members – anything you want to add?
 - Sam – 3 different concept floating around at state level. Some incremental, some more immediate. Probably will have opt-in language around indoor clean air act so that some places don't have to have social consumption. But it will be four months before anything happens, so who knows what they will look like at that point.
- Commissioner Fritz has concerns about employee safety, exposure to smoke, and youth access.
 - It is a priority to the City to be involved in the process for any State initiatives that would come forth.
 - Also met with Multnomah County ICAA staff – Realized all the available or feasible models for social consumption (a café, an event, etc.) would probably involve a change to the ICAA.
 - Looking ahead, Commissioner wants to continue conversation w/ MPOT and community and will involve stakeholders as we go into the 2017 legislative session.
 - Goal is for City to strike a balance between fostering economic development while also considering impact on neighborhoods
- Sam – will the committee get to respond to the Commissioner's concerns?
- Víctor – yes, absolutely.
- Claire – there is a work session in council on Dec. 6 and that is where they'll be vetting City priorities. That will be a publicly available document. The Social Consumption report will be part of that discussion.
 - There will be more than one proposal on the table. I anticipate there will be a chance for MPOT and the public to weigh in in different capacities.
- Jesce – Did you discuss the process of amending the ICAA?
- Víctor – we didn't. We just addressed that any feasible models would have to include that.
- Theresa – we didn't make specific policy positions from ONI. We showed what it would take from this group, including amendments to ICAA. Council is a big supporter of ICAA, so we want to consider a more a more nuanced approach.
- Jesce – asked about exceptions.
- Víctor – my understanding is that there was a grandfathered clause for businesses that were already in existence before ICAA.
- Theresa – because of recent changes of the interpretation of the ICAA, like any enclosed space is indoors, which we had misinterpreted, it really doesn't provide any avenue for outdoor consumption.
- Víctor – Erik, is it 2 walls and a roof?

- Erik – 1 wall and roof. 2 walls no roof. Walls have a wide definition. Includes chain link fence, others. Definitions are in admin rules.
- Meghan – a tent?
- Erik – a tent with one wall or umbrellas would be okay.

NEW PROPOSED MPOT SCHEDULE

- Víctor – moving forward, we realize that it's hard to be able to make time and to call on your time for main MPOT meetings and Subcommittee meetings to have broad representation. We took time over the last couple of months to create a model to maximize participation and to cut down on the time commitment for individuals as a whole.
 - Proposal: Not go forward with subcommittee model and bring all work into regular MPOT meeting. Meet every month for 2 hours instead of every other month for 1.5 hours.
- Brandon – for the public – dates, agendas, and other materials are on website
- Víctor – Tonight we will discuss licensing process, which will be going before council, so we wanted input.
- Next meeting youth prevention, then equity in practice.
 - I would have wanted that to start off with equity in practice so we could use that model to evaluate all recommendations, but because of scheduling we need to do it third. The idea is that moving forward we will develop a series of questions that will inform discussions and recommendations.
 - ONI has a community impact statement that we can use until we develop a set of questions for the group.
 - Also brings up business equity – look at it from different perspectives and makes sure we can level the playing field and make sure folks have ease of maneuvering process and ease of application.
- Canna tourism in February, then controlling the illegal market.
- Sam – what is the difference between licensing and application process?
- Víctor – Licensing is the requirements for a license. App. process is more about how we go from point A to where we make a determination. Requirement vs. process and how we can make process easier to navigate.
- Meghan – it would be helpful at that point to have statistics, how long things are taking, etc. You guys have had to build this program and obviously it will be different in January than it is now, and it would be helpful to me.
- Víctor – Requirements haven't changed. Some processes have changed to be responsive to applicants with BDS. In February or March we added an extension request because BDS was bogged down and behind. So we developed a request for an extension for applicants who can show that they were doing everything they could to move the process along. Initially it was a 30-day extension and people would have to reapply. We realized some of these processes would take longer so we connected with BDS to learn about the process and we extended the amount of time. So yes, it's been evolving and enhancing.
- Víctor – Any questions, comments, concerns with proposed schedule? It will add three more full MPOT meetings for everyone, but will cut down on subcommittee meetings.
- Erik – some of us will be at marijuana symposium in Denver for the next meeting.
- Víctor – Brandon will be facilitating.
- Sam – should we email you with scheduling issues or hash it out now?

- Lina – why don't you email me and I can take a look at everyone's conflicts and see if we need to reschedule.
- Víctor – I tried to account for holidays. Any questions or comments on the order of topics?
- Sam – Jan. 4th seems late for application process. The longer we wait the less it matters.
- Meghan – its' true.
- Randa – licensing and application process could be handled in one meeting.
- Víctor – we could swap app process and youth prevention.
- Alan – sounds good to me.
- *[Agreement among the room]*
- Víctor – we will make that switch.

PRESENTATION ON LOCAL CODE/STATE STATUTES & RULES COMPARISON

- Víctor – I want to talk about similarities and differences at state and local level.
- One of the first things that is different is our ability to regulate unlicensed business
- As it stands, both OHA and OLCC can only take action over licensed business because of state statute. We built into local code the ability to address unlicensed business.
- Used smoke buddy as example. Had we not included that in local code, we wouldn't have been able to shut this business down.
- Sam – really?
- Víctor – MPP wouldn't be able to. Police could.
- Sam – That's an important distinction
- Theresa – they could have gotten a fine. They did get a fine. If the judgement was against them, the fine would have been taken w/ their taxes.
- Sam – They're probably not paying taxes.
- Theresa – Right. So it's meaningful enforcement.
- Víctor – another difference is that marijuana businesses must be in a building subject to building and fire code. OLCC – only processors have to demonstrate they meet these requirements. What we did was build that in. We mirrored existing city building code into our license so when an applicant comes in they have to demonstrate compliance with building and fire code
- 1000 ft. buffer w/ schools exists across board at state and local level.
- Unique to Portland – 1000 ft. requirement between consumer-based businesses. Medical dispensaries and recreational retailers have to be 1000 ft. away from each other. That was put in because of recommendations to address saturation and to limit environmental impacts.
- Helen – is Portland the only city in the state that has this requirement?
- Sam – no.
- Theresa – some have longer buffers.
- Meghan – Clackamas County is different in different communities. It varies a lot.
- Sam – it is in state statute that you can't have more than 1000 ft. buffers.
- Sondra – That is medical to medical and retailer to retailer?
- Víctor – yes, they all have to be away from each other.
- Helen – so the state has this requirement?
- Víctor – yes for schools, no for businesses. Local jurisdictions can't do more than 1000 ft. buffers.
- Meghan – OHA has buffers. OLCC doesn't.

- Víctor – another difference is delivery – state allows for medical and recreational delivery. In early discussions, City Council decided to restrict delivery until we learn more about how the landscape would shape out. Considerations were around protections for drivers, impacts of carrying large amounts of products/cash, and access to youth. Those are the main considerations. We will be discussing this evening. The state allows delivery, but only within the jurisdiction that the business is licensed in. So Beaverton can deliver to Beaverton but not Portland.
- Meghan – also limits to \$100.
- Sam – it's changed.
- Randa – \$3000.
- Theresa – it's just been proposed.
- Randa – OLCC rules says effective Aug. 23.
- Víctor – [another difference is] background checks. State does them, city doesn't. We don't because 1. State already does it and 2. We're concerned with environmental impacts and impacts to neighborhoods and the communities around businesses. We didn't want to duplicate that.
- [Another difference] Residential zones – state says you can't be in an area exclusively zoned as residential. Given the density of population and landscape of the city, Council wanted to make sure we limit impacts to neighborhoods and community members in their homes where they live and play. Established that no business would be able to be in Zones RF–RH, which is effectively is all residential zones in Portland. There are some exceptions for medical dispensaries that had obtained a conditional use permit prior to the adoption of 14B.130 if the permit specifically calls out marijuana.
- Theresa – that would only be for this year.
- Sam – are they in conflict w/ state?
- Theresa – no, they have nonconforming rights.
- Víctor – public notification goes out in English and 12 safe harbor languages. We also do mailings to businesses and residents within 300 ft.
- Víctor – city also requires a control plan. Similar to a good neighbor agreement. It has contact info, asks businesses to answer a series of questions and address security and so forth. It's a document that allows for a conversation to get started around this new business coming into the neighborhood.
- Hours of operations – City limits between 8 am and 10 pm. OLCC is 7 am and 10 pm.
- Land use compatibility statement. It is required by OLCC for business seeking licensure. The City of Portland completes that request, but we don't require it. We go through the process for the review, but we don't have a LUCS specifically required. OHA initially didn't require a LUCS, then they did, now they just require a zoning verification map. The difference is that the LUCS looks at zoning and other minimum standards to establish a marijuana business in the city of Portland.
- Randa – Has the City banned deliveries until we evaluate it?
- Víctor – yes.
- Allan – this is all set? This is a review of where we're at now?
- Víctor – yes, this is a review of where we're at currently.
- Theresa – we'll be going before Council in November to talk about what's worked and what hasn't and areas that need to change some technical changes. Questions have been raised so we want your input in these areas that have been defined as areas where state and local licensing deviate.
- Allan – what seems missing is any input from neighborhood associations. When we get a notification – this is just what is happening we hope you like it sorry if you don't. 1000

ft. buffers for schools and between business is pretty wide open for neighborhoods. To my mind a much better process is to give some larger buffers to community centers, public property where we know children congregate, and not just schools. Have it where you can go to the neighborhood association and if the neighborhood association is okay with it, fair enough. Right now it's wide open and this is what you're getting and if you're a resident we hope you like it.

- Meghan – it's very hard to get a piece of property. I went through 100 properties to get mine and that was 3 years ago. Because of landlords, if there is a loan for a bank, the landlord could potentially lose their financing for the building. The state has put a lot of restrictions down and this is a new industry. What other industry has to go through neighborhood associations when there are already so many restrictions? We would be at the mercy of neighborhood associations. People would lose investments. The market is going to burst. To add more regulations would just stifle this industry. The city is at a point where they are going to be able to receive tax money and that would be a huge loss to have it be at the whim of a neighborhood association.
- Kip – do liquor stores have to do that?
- No.
- Allan – there is a process. The one in Piedmont had a history of criminality happening around there so they have restrictions from the OLCC on when they can operate.
- Allan – it's one thing to say that landlords have their issues. That's separate than what we as a city or we as a neighborhood want. To put buffers around where kids are coming or where the public wants to go to recreate, to say the landowners are making it tough.
- Meghan – it's prohibition
- Randa – It may not be fair.
- Theresa – neighborhood associations do weigh in on liquor licensing process.
- Meghan – OLCC has a very similar process if there are problems. There is involvement once there's a reason if there's a bad actor.
- Allan – it's not about that. Everyone agrees with those [bad actors]. We should also have buffers around other neighborhood jewels and neighborhood associations could grant a variance.
- Víctor – you bring up a good issue. Neighborhood associations don't have a part of the process. That would take a statutory change. In terms of buffers around other areas – the city did consider that. If we did that some parts of the City like east Portland would be saturated because there aren't as many amenities. Council didn't want to put a burden on underserved neighborhoods, so instead there's a buffer that applies to whole city. There is a mechanism to examine bad actors.
- Theresa – Measure 91 was modeled off of liquor act. If it is not at public convenience of the public – has been left undefined by OLCC for 50 years. Case law shows that it's pretty much impossible to do anything with that. Marijuana law wanted to narrow focus. Is it appropriate for use of that particular property, addressing saturation, not just numbers and applying an equity lens. Took those goals and that's how it was developed instead of the public convenience clause that has been difficult to make meaningful for any community. So this was based on our experience with liquor and our ability to interact with the state.
- Sondra – I'm glad you brought up liquor law and issues around liquor establishments. Being really familiar with that, a lot of what I'm hearing is that there are people who really care about that process and who want to weigh in on liquor and tobacco establishments and advertising. For me, preventing saturation is really important. Some of the most effective tools are really what are happening here – conversations with the folks who are

running the establishments and creating relationships between those folks and the city, the OLCC, and other agencies. That relationship is just as important or more important than the actual policy. Although the policy often has to be there to start the relationship. The question that comes up for me, given my experience with what has and hasn't worked with liquor, since you have city and state agencies and law enforcement, what's been happening so far in terms of effectiveness with this? Are there the same frustrations that have happened with liquor? Different frustrations? Where should we be starting to look at this?

- Víctor – thanks for the input. It's important to have all the perspectives to make sure we're developing policies that serve the community and foster business development.
- Víctor – I want to bring you attention to the 040 section – minimum standards.
 - Read minimum standards.
- At this point we're scheduled to go to break. When we come back we'll discuss the minimum standards, thinking of these requirements and taking into consideration that the focus of the city is the impact of the built environment on communities and how they're impacting positively or negatively.
- Theresa – Part of the reason the schedule was done the way it was is that as of right now we have not licensed any recreational retailers. Because we think the consumer base will be rather large, we also know there is a lot we don't know about the recreational market. There is an assumption that it will look like the medical market. My experience with emerging markets is that's not always the case. We've taken a cautionary approach and we're not sure necessarily what to expect. One of the areas that we knew would be challenging and where we have been most challenged is existing businesses that were not properly zoned or permitted and State hadn't followed up. We have spent a tremendous amount of time coaching dispensaries through this. It did create a backlog with BDS with locations that had never been permitted. There was a range of people who were in compliance and some that weren't.
- Theresa – As to the question of why this has been centered in ONI is what is happening in the community. Many businesses have had employees smoking often without the owner knowing. Often it's only taken a phone call or visit. We've been really focusing on building those relationships. We just don't know what will happen. Already there are things being proposed like courier services, events, marijuana baskets. There will be many things the industry wants to pursue so our focus has been establishing baseline requirements. And in the future we'll look at other things.
- Meghan – This is a fact I always look at. As far as retailers, there are over 400 medical dispensaries in Oregon, but only 250 applications at the OLCC. I don't think that all shops that we see right now are going to switch over to rec. There's going to be a phase-out. Things are going to trickle in. There are so many things that are unknown. That's just the reality of the industry right now. I think that the approach – we could look at what in the application process has worked so far, it might be valuable to have feedback from the group for the council work session. But I agree with you guys, we all need to see how this shakes out and see who's standing. The bubble will burst and the dust will settle.
- Theresa – the other major concern are the fire hazard issues, particularly from medical processors that are or aren't registered with OHA. Some of them represent extreme dangers to the public. We're trying to bring them into the fold.
- Theresa – vice follows demand. There has never been too much alcohol or too many bars.
- Víctor – there will be an opportunity at the beginning of the next meeting to answer questions and have a little more discussion before you provide policy recommendations.

BREAK

RECREATIONAL MARIJUANA DELIVERY IN PORTLAND

- Víctor – Council's concerns were the safety of drivers, the safety on road with amount of cash and product. Also, the youth access component and how it would be available to youth.
 - On the other hand, arguments are that there are other goods and services that are delivered. You can have wine and beer (not hard liquor) and cigarettes delivered.
 - From the Commissioners' perspective, they want to know if we can address these concerns, is delivery something that is feasible?
- Sam – what specifically is the concern about access to minors? ID will be checked. The driver is liable and could get their license revoked, could potentially face other criminal charges. What is the actual concern?
- Sondra – as someone with background in prevention, I don't know exactly where I come down because as you say, people are being carded I don't know that it's a specific risk. In terms of that concern from a prevention perspective, in general an increase in access is a concern. I would put that out there as a global thing. The easier it is for people to get, the easier it is for youth to get.
- Kip – I think driver safety is more of a concern, especially with the increase of burglaries of dispensaries in the last month.
- Allan – I think it goes back to what's the message? What buzz do we want our city to have? The fact that no one knows you can deliver cigarettes speak to that. With Dominos it's obvious. There are restrictions on where you can have these sites. If you can get around that with a fleet of drivers with signs with people toking up –
- Randa – you assume they're driving around but the rules say there have to be specific orders with specific routes. They're not like ice cream trucks.
- Sondra – are their restrictions on advertisements? We don't want billboards over the city.
- Randa – It can't be attractive minors
- Sondra – It's a slippery slope. What attractive to people is also attractive to minors and a lot of what's out there is attractive to minors.
- Meghan – OLCC rules aren't in effect. What we have now, a lot of those won't be allowed. The OHA hasn't been enforcing advertising restrictions. This will change. Wholesalers are driving around the city right now with more product in their cars. This is already happening. It would be a personal disservice to your business if you have a big sign on your car. You don't want to call attention to yourself. If you have that you're probably looking at a bad actor.
- Sam – we as the industry would want to talk to that person.
- Sam and Theresa – It's not within city's jurisdiction to regulate content of advertising.
- Allan – exactly. That's why delivery could be a problem.
- Meghan – the line will change. I encourage us all to see how things will change. Those billboards are going to expire and then they'll have to be approved by the OLCC. A lot of your concerns might be resolved and it's really confusing.
- Sondra – the biggest concern for me is still the advertising component. It's complicated because the city can't make rules around that. Saying or hoping the OLCC has the capacity to regulate that is a concern having worked in alcohol prevention for a long time.
- Meghan – you'll have to get these things approved by the OLCC. That's not happening right now at all. We're going to a place where there's at least a potential for oversight.

- Allan – Might be beneficial to limit delivery.
- Sam – you'd rather have illegal delivery? It's happening now and people aren't checking IDs.
- Allan – it's not either or.
- Theresa – Right now it's neither.
- Meghan – there are bad actors, like the pot cart. They're not checking IDs. But when someone calls our shops we have to write down the route that we're taking. That manifest has to be honest. If we get pulled over, we have to show that. That's all going to be happening on Oct. 1. It's hard to think about stifling something for four days when we're going to see things improve.
- Víctor – Is the concern about advertising in general or specific to the vehicles that are used to transport?
- Helen – I think what I'm hearing is do we want to add or do we want to reduce in terms of youth access or youth exposure. So by doing the delivery, would it add to it? But I also agree with Kealii about driver safety. I really think that should be a big concern. I served on the OLCC committee about wholesale and that was a big concern.
- Kip – I think your (Allan) concern would be weeded out. People with big flashy lights would be weeded out.
- Meghan – in other communities, people are already thinking of ways to off-set the cash so the driver isn't interacting with cash. It happens through a card at the dispensary so there isn't a s much cash out there. There are companies out there already navigating around that. There are processors with hundreds of accounts and going to the bank after every transaction. There are ways to keep this safe.
- Randa – Meghan has valid point. Driver safety and youth are a very small scale. Wholesaler is the larger level. If you're addressing it on this level, you have to address it to wholesalers.
- Kip – wholesalers aren't interacting with the public. You don't know when they're coming and going.
- Randa and Meghan – you can tell who the wholesalers are. They have big bags coming in and out of the shops.
- Theresa – It's about opportunity. Wholesalers are usually interacting with places and people they are familiar with. In this case, drivers wouldn't be familiar.
- Randa – that's true.
- Theresa – we're concerned about the surrounding community. There is potential for conflicts – how does that impact the neighborhood and Police resources by opening an arena that is attractive to robbery?
- Víctor – another thing to bring into the fold is from a business equity perspective. Being able to establish a brick and mortar might not be as feasible for everyone.
- Sam – you have to have brick and mortar.
- Víctor – as it stands. But if it changes, it might be easier for someone to start a delivery service. So what are the concerns with the potential expansion or reduction of delivery?
- Allan – I feel like you guys are taking this as a criticism of your business. I'm not criticizing how you're operating your business. The fact that you're here means you want to be one of the good guys. But if there is a race to the bottom, what does the city have to do to address it? You could have a shack on the edge of town that no one really goes to but they have a fleet of drivers. Well that's not fair to you guys.
- Randa – I agree
- Sam – That's the free market.

- Jesce – I don't agree. I think restricting the market is the opposite of where Oregon wants to go. Saying we want to restrict delivery because it will hurt our retail stores – we are here because we want more access to cannabis. Restricting access opens up crime, the illegal market, people using opioids. I don't understand the mentality of reduce it as much as possible to make things more safe. That's what we need to go away from. Delivery services – people have been doing it for years. They're not required to check IDs. They're not regulated at all. Saying we don't have delivery services doesn't mean there won't be delivery services. Let's find a way to make it more safe.
- Víctor – However much or little we expand our regulatory framework, it's making sure we're maintaining safety and livability.

PUBLIC INPUT PERIOD

- Jason, cannabis compliance consultant – I see people before you do: what's the application process, etc. I advise them to leave Portland. A lot of that has to do with the policies and procedures of ONI. It's almost twice as expensive in the City of Portland. Has some clients in the illegal market who are looking to get into the regulated market, but they aren't because of the regulations. We need to welcome the new industry. There are business models where folks sell alcohol and have a place for kids to play, but there's nothing like that for marijuana. A lot of the opinions about marijuana are misguided because of the misinformation around marijuana.
- Adrian, future delivery business owner hopefully – Looks for a model to deliver marijuana to consumers. In favor of retail delivery. Without much capital, delivery is a way for him to step into the market at a lower cost. In favor of ID verification for delivery similar to alcohol. Members would upload their ID and it would be verified through software. Has developed a propriety currency to avoid having to exchange cash at the point of delivery. Feels that being a delivery based service, he is not saturating the market.
- Josh Taylor, OR Cannabis Concierge, Portland Mercury – as an OMMP caregiver, most of his patients are elderly, sick, or in hospice. Not fair to folks to who aren't able to go to a brick and mortar, and not fair to expect people to pay for transport. Safety: if concerned about marijuana consumption while driving, a delivery service would mitigate some of those concerns.
- Joni – Wasn't able to leave her home for 10 years, and would have appreciated a delivery service for marijuana during that time.
- Steph Barnhart, Events Director from Willamette Week – Read minutes from June MPOT. Concerned about an equivalent permit for events. Willamette Week is excited about the benefits of well-intentioned, large scale events could have on Portland. Excited about canna tourism conversation. Without much out of market promotion, had 13 states represented at an event. As it stands, not able to produce something like that in the future.
- Samantha – Graphic designer, event coordinator, mother. Don't have a lot of concrete evidence for marijuana in the way we do for alcohol. Would like to see statistics for after marijuana events if there have been more reports of kids consuming, traffic events related to marijuana, etc. Wants to keep in mind that this is still new, and thinks that less regulation will eliminate some of those concerns. Appreciates that a well-rounded group with different perspectives has been convened.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND CLOSING REMARKS

- Víctor – Will continue licensing requirements discussion at next meeting before making recommendations. Next focus will be on application process, Oct. 26. Will send an email about potentially rescheduling that meeting.
- Claire – Anything put forward for additional discussion will be helpful. Council session: Nov. 8.
- Lina – Minutes go on the site, also check out our Facebook page.
- Víctor – Asks if anyone has objections about using ONI' impact statement for application process meeting. Group has no objections.

ADJOURN