



MEETING MINUTES

Committee 3.96 Meeting #6

Thursday, May 23, 2019; 5:30 P.M. – 8:30 P.M.

Southwest Community Center, Multipurpose Room

6820 SW 45th Ave, Portland, OR 97219

Attendance: Dani Bernstein, Scott Bricker, Sophorn Cheang, Taji Chesimet, Kathy Coleman, Dr. Alan

DeLaTorre, Taylor Ford, Kristen Gallagher, Karin Hansen, Rabbi Debra Kolodny, JR Lilly, Molly Mayo,

Hilary Mackenzie, Marcus Mundy, Linda Nettekoven, Chi Nguyen, Musse Olol and Kathleen Saadat

Staff: Director Suk Rhee, Sabrina Wilson, Winta Yohannes, Dianne Riley, Kenya Williams, Steph Routh,

Tony Garcia, Nikesh Patel

Meeting called to order at 5:30PM

I. Welcome and Land Acknowledgement

A land acknowledgement was done through committee member Karin Hansen. Karin Hansen is the presiding officer for this meeting.

II. Public Comment

The public was welcomed to comment.

III. Review Meeting Goals

Facilitator Robin Teater reviewed goals for the meeting.

1. Student Presentations
2. Review community feedback on initial language (community event, digital survey and existing gathering follow up)
3. Deepen dialogue on ONI Standards & where to go from here
4. Refine Code Language for next draft (with preliminary vote & minority opinion preparation)
5. Confirm June meeting date

IV. Student Presentations (Student Presentation Link)

Students from David Douglas and Parkrose High School presented their coverage of the code change project.

V. Review Community Feedback (Community Feedback Link)

Committee members reviewed the community feedback on the initial language for an updated city code.



OFFICE OF
**Community
& Civic Life**



VI. BREAK

VII. Group Dialogue – Previous Code Items (Supporting Document A)

Committee members engaged in a dialogue on topics from the current City Code Chapter 3.96

Question #1 – Should the benefits described in the ONI Standards be available to all community groups?

Answer – Yes

Questions #2 – Should Civic Life dictate the governance and operations of volunteer community groups around civic engagement (aside from contracts, grants, etc.)?

Answer – Some members did not like the way the question was worded as some members felt as though there should be some voluntary guidelines that community groups could work towards or some best practices for civic engagement set out by Civic Life that groups could work towards. Additionally, some members were interested in the contracting and grant process and if there were ways to make those more accountable.

VIII. Looking Ahead to Meeting #7

Meeting seven will be held at University of Oregon.

Meeting adjourned at 8:30PM

Meeting #6 – May 23, 2019

- Agree upon Draft Language to be put back out to the community for final comments

Meeting #7 – June 27, 2019

- Utilizing a modified consensus approach, agree upon on the final language to be recommended from the Committee to City Council. This document is the focus of the City Council vote.
- Review the outline of the report that will also be submitted to Council. Council will vote to *receive* the report. The committee does not need to vote on contents of the report. The contents of the report include:
 - Executive summary
 - Background
 - Resolution 37373 overview
 - Process overview
 - Committee 3.96
 - Recommendation from the Code 3.96 committee
 - Code change language
 - Testimony from the Code 3.96 committee (*optional*)
 - *Each committee member is invited to submit their own testimony to be included*
 - Additional perspectives for Council consideration (*optional*)
 - *For example, ideas we discussed that do not belong in code but the committee would like for City Council to know*
 - *Alternate perspectives that are not represented in the recommendation*
 - Appendix:
 - Existing code
 - Resolution 37373
 - Gathering perspectives
 - Design Culture Lab report on the five multilingual conversations
 - Summary of a Survey of Civic Life (November 2018-February 2019)
 - Summary of the 15 existing gatherings (January-March 2019)
 - Soliciting feedback on initial language for an updated code
 - Summary of electronic survey
 - Summary of feedback from existing gatherings

Tentative City Council presentation: August 6. Requested to be in the evening at a community location.

About ONI Standards

What are the ONI Standards?

The ONI Standards are composed of the rules governing Portland's Neighborhood and Business Association system. They outline the details of the functions, duties, responsibilities, and benefits of the neighborhood system. The ONI Standards were created to comply with the directive of the current 3.96 City Code.

Why do these Standards exist?

To give formal city recognition to outlined groups (below). Due to this recognition, these groups receive benefits and become entitled to receive services including, but not limited to:

1. Placement in the neighborhood directory, which is widely used by the City for public outreach;
2. Placement on official Neighborhood Association maps, in brochures, and handbooks relating to neighborhood affairs;
3. Notification on matters that fall within the Neighborhood Association boundaries in regard to decisions affecting the neighborhood;
4. Support services (e.g., general communications, grant writing, outreach efforts, and other technical assistance); and
5. Support services for crime prevention.

To whom do the Standards apply?

- Neighborhood associations
- Neighborhood coalitions
- Business associations
- Office of Neighborhood Involvement

To whom do they not apply?

- Community-based organizations
- Geographically-specific groups not recognized as neighborhood associations
- Any community group not recognized as part of the neighborhood system

Questions to guide us going forward

1. Should the benefits described in the ONI Standards be available to all community groups?
2. Should Civic Life dictate the governance and operations of volunteer community groups around civic engagement (aside from contracts, grants, etc.)?

