



May 3, 2017

Director's Report

---

1. Independent Police Review (IPR) Work Plan / Accomplishments

**City Council Approves Code Changes**

On April 19, City Council approved changes to City Code which will allow IPR to streamline and update its administrative procedures to comply with the DOJ Settlement Agreement. The following changes were made:

- Community members can file complaints at a variety of locations at the Police Bureau, IPR, and Police Commissioner's office
- Authorizes the use of supervisory investigation for minor, non-disciplinary complaints related to quality of service or rudeness allegations
- Authorizes IPR to conduct policy reviews of Police Bureau procedures based on community member concerns of broader systemic nature
- Requires PPB notify IPR when an officer has engaged in conduct that may lead to criminal or administrative investigation
- Public comment allowed before a vote at CRC case file reviews and appeal hearings

**IPR Releases Policy Review on Police Bureau's Compliance with *Brady v. Maryland***

On April 27, IPR released its review of how the Police Bureau complies with its constitutional obligation to disclose material exculpatory or impeachment evidence, which is known as the **Brady Rule**. IPR found the following:

The Portland Police Bureau does not have a written policy that defines its *Brady* related duties or provides clear expectations to its officers.

Based on national best practices and constitutional case law, IPR makes the following recommendations:

1. **The Police Bureau should develop a written *Brady* policy that clearly articulates what constitutes *Brady* material, both exculpatory and impeachment, and how notifications to supervisors and prosecutors are made.**
2. **The Police Bureau should provide training to all its investigative personnel regarding their responsibility to properly document possible exculpatory *Brady* material and how to make notifications to supervisors and prosecutors.**



**3. The Police Bureau should track all *Brady* related requests and their disposition in a centralized database.**

**IPR Working on Creating a Strategic Plan**

Over the last several months, IPR staff have worked on creating strategic goals to guide our office for the next four years. During the month of April, IPR had several community listening sessions throughout Portland. Additionally, IPR has sought feedback through social media, our website, and contact with interested community members. The next community listening session will be on Monday, May 8 at the Charles Jordan Community Center, 9009 N Foss Ave, Portland, OR from 6:30 -8:00 pm.

**Vision Statement:** A Portland where equitable enforcement and trust in police leads to a safer community.

**Mission Statement:** The City Auditor’s Independent Police Review is a police oversight agency, and is independent and autonomous from the Portland Police Bureau.

IPR:

- Seeks diverse community input regarding Portland Police Bureau conduct, practices, and policies
- Investigates complaints regarding the Portland Police in a transparent manner.
- Monitors emerging incidents, issues, and patterns in Portland Police conduct
- Reports to the public and recommends changes to the Portland Police Bureau

**James Young Reappointed to Serve on the CRC**

On April 12, at 9:45 am the Portland City Council reappointed James Young to begin his second three-year term on the CRC. The City is very fortunate to have his service on the CRC, and his continued commitment to police accountably.

**IPR staff and CRC attend Citizen Academy Training**

IPR staff, CRC and PRB members attended a Citizen Academy Training at the PPB Training Division. This was an all-day training that covered different aspects of police training, including patrol tactics, scenario based crisis intervention and de-escalation tactics. They also deployed a Taser, and learned about the challenging aspects of policing and personal safety of officers.

IPR is on Twitter, follow us @PortlandIPR (<https://twitter.com/PortlandIPR>)

**2. IPR Caseload**

<b>Intake Investigations Pending at IPR</b>			
	2-27-17	4-3-17	5-1-17
Number of cases (and median age)	29 (28 days)	47 (31 days)	47 (35 days)

### 3. Internal Affairs Caseload

Cases Pending at Internal Affairs			
	2-27-17	4-3-17	5-1-17
Under investigation (median age*)	28 (75 days) (16 Community, 12 Bureau)	26 (87.5 days) (13 Community, 13 Bureau)	19 (87.5 days) (10 Community, 9 Bureau)
Awaiting assignment (median age*)	10 (41.5 days) (10 Community, 0 Bureau)	4 (117.5 days) (4 Community, 0 Bureau)	8 (42 days) (8 Community, 0 Bureau)
Service Improvement Opportunities (median age*)	3 (39 days)	1 (84 days)	6 (56 days)

\* Median age includes the time the cases were pending at IPR before being referred to Internal Affairs.

### 4. Status of Officer-involved Shootings and In-Custody Death Investigations

	INCIDENT DATE	CASE	SUBJECT	OFFICER(S)	IA INV	IPR NOTES
1	12-6-16	16-B-44	Liffell	Keller	Holmgren, Renna	@ IA Admin Processing
2	2-9-17	17-B-06	Hayes	Hearst	Rhodes, Smith	@ IA Command
3	2-9-17	17-B-07	Perkins	Clark/Walsh	Courtney, Uttke	@ CR Central

### 5. Current IPR Independent Investigations

	Date Opened	Case Number
1	3/23/16	2016-C-0085
2	9/12/16	2016-C-0313
3	9/28/16	2016-C-0339
4	9/28/16	2016-C-0341
5	10/13/16	2016-C-0376
6	10/13/16	2016-C-0377
7	10/13/16	2016-C-0378
8	11/30/16	2016-C-0404
9	11/30/16	2016-C-0405

<b>10</b>	1/23/17	2017-C-0020
<b>11</b>	1/30/17	2017-C-0028
<b>12</b>	2/13/17	2017-C-0044
<b>13</b>	2/13/17	2017-C-0045
<b>14</b>	3/2/17	2017-C-0061
<b>15</b>	1/23/17	2017-C-0065

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Constantin Severe', followed by a horizontal line.

Constantin Severe