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Background
On February 2, 2011, City Council approved Resolution #36844 creating an independent five-member citizen committee for City of Portland technology projects. On April 20, 2011, City Council adopted changes to City Code Chapter 3.15.010 and Chapter 3.15.070 to establish the duties and authorities of the Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Technology Officer respectively as they relate to Technology Project Oversight. On June 29, 2011, Council adopted an update to BTS Administrative Rule (A.R.) 4.01 – Technology Project Intake as well as a new rule (BTS A.R. 1.07) on Technology Project Oversight.

As stated in BTS A.R.1.07, technology project oversight for the City of Portland includes the following components:

- Citizen Oversight
- Quality Assurance
- Project Management

Citizen Oversight

The citizen members of the Technology Oversight Committee (TOC) are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appointed by</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Hales</td>
<td>Wilfred Pinfold, PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Fish</td>
<td>Ken Neubauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure Manager, Standard Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Fritz</td>
<td>Dyanna Garcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Novick</td>
<td>Joshua Mitchell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief Technology Officer, Drupal Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Saltzman</td>
<td>Colleen Gadbois</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quality Assurance
Quality assurance (QA) – provided by external contractors – is a required component of the City’s technology project oversight. The role of the QA consultants on a project overseen by the TOC is to provide guidance and oversight to the City staff on the technology project, but ultimately to report the QA’s unbiased findings to the TOC.

Project Management
Staff from OMF Business Operations and OMF Bureau of Technology Services provide committee support and technical expertise to the TOC.

There were no major developments this quarter. All the templates and tools are working well.

New Projects under TOC Oversight
• none

Projects no longer under TOC Oversight
• none
PART II – Summary of Technology Projects under TOC Oversight
July – September 2015

Project name: Information Technology Advancement Project (ITAP)
Bureau: Bureau of Development Services (BDS)

Project Description:
This project develops a paperless permit and case management process and allows complete, online access to the permitting and case review services. Project deliverables include digitization and online access of historical permits and property information, implementation of an updated permit and case review information management system, online case and permit application and review services, mobile online access for field staff, and implementation of an automated queuing system.

Status: The TOC continues to have concerns around project duration, budget, scope, and overall performance.

Major Accomplishments this Quarter:
- Project continues to work on amending contracts to remedy contract gaps and rebaseline the project.

Upcoming Milestones next Quarter:
- Rebaseline the project.
- Address development environment support, make adjustments for interfaces moved in/out of scope, address gaps resulting from Phase 1 Gap Analysis.

Risks, Concerns, Comments from TOC:
- The TOC continues to be concerned about project schedule and volume of remaining work.
### BDS IT Advancement Project (ITAP)

**Project Name:** BDS IT Advancement Project (ITAP)  
**Bureau:** Bureau of Development Services  
**Reporting Date:** 9/14/2015

| Expected Completion | Initial Estimate at TOC Intake date: 3/7/2012  
This was a speculative amount only. | Planned at Baseline* date: 7/1/2013 | Current Revision (Based on Phase One Planning and Analysis as of date: 8/1/14) | QA Assessment | TOC Assessment |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 29, 2015</td>
<td>December 2015</td>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Level</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Budget              | Approx. $8.2 mil  
$2.75 mil in vendor services  
and software license costs plus  
$5.5 mil in City capital costs  
(Ordinance allowing BDS to  
start RFP process included $3  
mil vendor service and license  
costs) | Approx. $11.8 mil  
$6 mil in vendor services  
and software license costs plus  
$5.8 mil in City capital costs  
(vendor costs does not include approx. $1 mil for 5-  
years of maintenance fees  
or $0.8 mil in vendor support  
post go-live) | Approx. $11.8 mil  
Change in schedule may  
cause increase in City capital  
costs.  
(Budget changes not yet  
known.) | Red         | Red          | Red | Red | Red | Red |
| Confidence Level    | Low                                      | High                              | Medium                                          |             |              |
| Scope Stability     | High                                     | High                              | High                                            | Red         | Red          | Red | Red | Red | Red |

*NOTE: Budget baselined on 7/1/2013 at $11.8 M*
Project name: Lien Accounting System Rewrite  
Bureau: Auditor’s Office

Project Description:
The Lien Accounting System is used to record and manage assessments and liens for the City, as required by City Charter and Oregon State law. The application is written in an old programming language and is one of two remaining applications on the mainframe server, which was scheduled to be decommissioned by July 1, 2015. Because of the tight timeline and resource constraints, the decision was made to rewrite the existing system using a more modern programming language and transfer it to a Windows environment.

Status: TOC concerned about month-to-month schedule push and on-going costs.

Major Accomplishments this Quarter:
- Completing parallel testing and bug fixes.

Upcoming Milestones next Quarter:
- Complete cutover.

Risks, Concerns, Comments from TOC:
- Project is months past original cutover date.
- TOC is concerned about month-to-month schedule push and on-going costs.
## Project Name: Lien Accounting System
### Bureau: Auditor's Office
### Reporting Date: 9/15/2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial Estimate at TOC Intake</th>
<th>Planned at Baseline</th>
<th>Current Revision</th>
<th>QA Assessment</th>
<th>TOC Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As of: 6/9/14</td>
<td>As of: 6/9/14</td>
<td>As of: 8/11/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected Completion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/19/2015</td>
<td>6/19/2015</td>
<td>9/30/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Two additional months needed (Aug &amp; Sept)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confidence Level</strong></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget</strong></td>
<td>$483,920</td>
<td>$483,920</td>
<td>$668,620 (revised Jan 2015)</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Current estimate through Sept 2015: $734,761</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confidence Level</strong></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope Stability</strong></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confidence Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project name: PCI/Payment Gateway
Bureau: Bureau of Technology Services / Public Finance & Treasury

Project Description:
The City is required to meet Payment Card Industry – Data Security Standards (PCI-DSS) as part of a merchant services contract as required by card networks (Visa, MasterCard, American Express, Discover and JCB International). The City does not currently meet PCI-DSS 3.0 standards and is required to remediate the card processing environment, or adopt other means of processing card payments, to enable the City to be compliant by December 31, 2015.

- Status: TOC continues to be concerned about project duration, given deadlines (but less so).

Major Accomplishments this Quarter:
- Migration work with contractor NIC is almost complete.
- Collaborating with impacted bureaus, given their decision to cease taking payment card information over the phone.

Upcoming Milestones next Quarter:
- PIN pad (point-of-sale) solution close to finish, and PIN pads scheduled to be deployed and installed by 9/25.

Risks, Concerns, Comments from TOC:
- TOC continues to be concerned about project duration, given deadlines (but less so).
**Project Name:** Payment Gateway  
**Bureau:** Bureau of Technology Services/Public Finance & Treasury  
**Reporting Date:** 9/14/2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Completion</th>
<th>Initial Estimate at TOC Intake as of date: 02/23/2015</th>
<th>Planned at Baseline date: 2/23/2015</th>
<th>Current Revision (if applicable) date:</th>
<th>QA Assessment Red, Yellow, Green</th>
<th>TOC Assessment Red, Yellow, Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Level</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget</strong></td>
<td>$312K</td>
<td>$312K</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Level</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope Stability</strong></td>
<td>Confidence Level</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Level</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Project Name: PCI
### Bureau: Bureau of Technology Services/Public Treasury & Finance
### Reporting Date: 9/14/2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial Estimate at TOC Intake as of date: 3/23/2015</th>
<th>Planned at Baseline date: 3/23/2015</th>
<th>Current Revision (if applicable) date:</th>
<th>QA Assessment Red, Yellow, Green</th>
<th>TOC Assessment Red, Yellow, Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected Completion</strong></td>
<td>12/31/2015</td>
<td>12/31/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jul Aug Sept</td>
<td>Jul Aug Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confidence Level</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Red Red Red</td>
<td>Red Red Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget</strong></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Red Red Red</td>
<td>Red Red Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confidence Level</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yellow Yellow Yellow</td>
<td>Red Red Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope Stability</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>