



SPRING GARDEN PARK SUMMARY OF COMMENT FORM RECEIVED

February 1, 2016 Final

EVENT: Spring Garden Park Community Gathering #3

DATE: January 7th, 2015

TIME: 5:30 PM to 7:30 PM

LOCATION: Multnomah Arts Center

1. Community Gathering Outreach

Outreach for this event included a mass email blast to the Project Advisory Committee, database mailing list, and all parties listed in the Public Involvement Plan. Six signs were posted within the surrounding neighborhood: one on the Dolph Ct. park entrance, one at the Spring Garden St. entrance, two on each frontage of SW 30th and Dolph Ct. at Fire Station #18, and one at the corner of SW 30th and SW Barbur. Southwest Neighborhood Inc. Friends of Spring Garden posted a flyer on their Facebook page. Members of the PAC forwarded the email flyer to their neighborhood mailing lists. Portland Parks & Recreation volunteers leafleted residences, including apartment buildings, within a ¼ mile radius of the park with 300 flyers.

About 50 adults and youth attended the Community Gathering. Our PAC members served as resources for neighbors to ask questions. Our Project Manager, Travis Ruybal did a presentation at around 6pm. PPR provided childcare and parents were greatly appreciative.

2. Community Gathering Overview

The first goal of this community gathering is to get community feedback on the refine design. Travis Ruybal, PPR staff presented on the refine design and the two playground options. About fifteen large renderings of different views of the playground options and the refine design aligned the walls for viewing. Community members asked detailed questions about cost, materials, use, maintenance, sustainability, function, durability etc. Thirty out of the forty adults who attended submitted comment forms. An additional nine comments came via our project website making it a total of 40 comment forms.

3. Summary of Findings

Question 1 – How well do you feel the refine design reflects the input of the community?

- 68% (27) said we did a great job of reflecting community input
- 28% (11) did not answer
- .05% (2) said we did not do a good job reflecting community input





Question 2- Which playground option do you generally prefer?

- 65% (26) prefer option 1
- .75% (3) did not answer
- 28% (11) said option 2

Question 3: check playground features you prefer

70% (28) Water Feature
68% (27) Varying levels of difficulty in play equipment
43% (17) More natural materials (logs, rocks, boulders)
35% (14) More imaginative play
30% (12) More climbing features
30% (12) More structured play equipment
23% (9) More open play
18% (7) No water feature

Other comments

8 Bird cage
7 Large embankment slide
7 No sand
5 Sand
3 Sidewalk to 30th
2 More musical elements
2 glider
2 Bench under pavilion
1 Shaded picnic tables
1 Ball climber
1 Smaller nature area
1 Willows/branches
1 Drinking fountain for dogs
1 More slope
1 More vegetation
1 Rock wall
1 More boulders
1 Spinner
1 Adventure play web
1 No lawn
1 too many stumps

