

## RegJIN User Board (RUB) Meeting

Wednesday, June 28, 2016 – 10:00am to 11:40am

### In attendance:

Kim Roark	RegJIN Sustainment	Hailey Holm	Scappoose PD
Jon Yochim	RegJIN Sustainment	Captain Ed Herbert	Port of Portland PD
Ed Arib	RegJIN Sustainment	Mike Ridenour	Portland PB
Scott Patrick	Versaterm	Taylor Hollandsworth	Beaverton PD
Capt Wendi Babst	Clackamas Co SO	Jenn Hollandsworth-Reed	Beaverton PD
Sgt Wayne Lawrence	Gladstone PD	Erik Young	Beaverton PD
Sean Boyle	Gladstone PD	Captain Mike Herb	Forest Grove PD
Sue Scobert	Lake Oswego PD	Cindy Bolek	Hillsboro PD
Deputy Chief Steve Shea	Clark Co SO	Christine Navarro	Hillsboro PD
Under Sheriff Mike Cooke	Clark Co SO	Cheron Gochenour	Hillsboro PD
Jim Hanson	Clark Co SO	Lt. Greg Pickering	Tualatin PD
Katina West	Vancouver PD	Kay Brown	Tualatin PD
Sgt. Jeff Olson	Vancouver PD	Melaney Koch	Washington Co SO
Brooke McDowall	Columbia Co SO		

Meeting location: Portland Police Bureau, North Precinct Community Room, 449 NE Emerson St., Portland, Oregon

**Quorum NOT Met – 13 of 28 voting members**

Note taker: Jon Yochim and Kim Roark

### Agenda

- Roll Call/Introductions
- 7 June Meeting Minutes vote (no quorum/no vote)
- NIBRS MRE upgrade discussion
- NIBRS timeline discussion
- Inquiry Only agency discussion (no quorum/no vote)
  - Washington Department of Corrections
  - Oregon Liquor Control Commission
  - Clackamas County Juvenile Department
  - Washington County Community Corrections
  - PCC

### Welcome and Introductions

Kim Roark welcomed the members and thanked them for attending. Roll was taken. No quorum present, all voting items suspended until next RUB.

### Communication

Kim informed the group that Mike Ridenour was now the voting RUB member for PPB. Tammy Mayer would no longer be a voting member. The Sustainment Team will remain neutral and support all members of the RegJIN consortium equally.

### ONIBRS to NIBRS

Kim explained that Versaterm recommended not going to MRE.net at this time. They suggest staying with the FoxPro MRE; update to the newest version 7.5.16 and add in the web services component. Web services component will be used for IBR edits, calling to the RMS for the edits. This requires the MRE be connected.

Deadline for feedback on timeline was 2<sup>nd</sup> week of July. Test agencies are Beaverton and Hillsboro, if progression is good, other agencies could be added to the test process.

Updating of the MRE will be around October 2016. All MDTs will need to be touched.

Questions about the timeline:

Christine Navarro: will old MRE still function? Scott Patrick: Yes, the transition period should be short. Old MRE can submit. Supervisor cannot approve old report in new MRE – must approve reports in new MRE or RMS.

Sean Boyle: New MRE in October timeframe? Yes

Sue Scobert: Agencies that are not here – their IT will need to be aware of the MRE ask and workflow. Kim explained that conversations with the IT personnel have begun and will continue until the launch.

Scott Patrick (Versaterm): Why not MRE.net. The .net version is still in beta testing and it is not completely tested out. Do not want to add complications to the transition from ONIBRS to NIBRS. To keep the project timely, FoxPro is the safer pathway.

Going from 7.5.13 to 7.5.16 by October (Release notes are available for the changes)

All agencies will be affected by an overall system down time approximately 6 hours.

## **Attachments**

Each agency will be responsible for reducing the PDF sizes. Mike shared instructions on how to do this. VTM will move all to texts – just need to let them know which type. **Mike Ridenour suggested moving to FU text.**

Taylor Hollandsworth: Asked that Versaterm still entertain attachment security in the near future. Processing and moving these attachments at the low end is costing agencies \$150-\$360 to perform the process change – attaching to text instead of the GO. IO fees may not offset the cost to the RegJIN agencies. It may not be cost effective to allow the IO agencies into the system if the costs continue.

Scott Patrick: Versaterm is looking into the attachment security. This programming is a huge ask. Can it go into the next release? This will not likely be available in 8.0 RMS. Scott will continue conversations at Versaterm and let us know. This affects all Versaterm agencies and they need to discuss the ramifications.

Melaney Koch: Is there anywhere else to set attachments?

Scott: If you can view a GO – you can see attach IO want to see at least the face page of the GO

Hailey Holm: Will IO be able to click the attachment button?

Scott: Cannot secure all of those tabs

Cindy Bolek: When we agreed to to join RegJIN, we agreed to give IO same as PPDS

Why are the agencies getting the burden for the IO joining? We want to offset the burden.

I don't want to be in a system where cops don't add info to report to avoid IO inquiry. She would like this to work as easily as parental controls.

Scott: This is a law enforcement system. RegJIN is creating the concept of IO agencies.

Is this part of the intergovernmental agency agreement?

Melaney Koch: We shouldn't have to bear the responsibility to allow IO.

Jenn Hollandsworth-Reed: Maybe IO gets a different data set rather than have them enter into the RMS. Pre-packaged area with PDF of the facesheet? Is this possible?

Ed Arib: Confirmed this would be possible and a lot of work, it would be an on-going effort

Melaney Koch: How many IO agencies today? Kim – 5 agencies today (next vote) Explains the questions that each IO agency is asked on information use (question prepared and asked by Tammy Mayer)

Cindy Bolek: I cannot assume the liability for IO agencies releasing information. Is Portland willing to take on the liability for all RegJIN partners? What is the legal agreement that those IO agencies assume? Do the IGA agreement have the legal responsibility for actions of the agency outlines, penalty? Is this enough legal coverage for an IO agency misbehavior?

Scott Patrick: Hillsboro and Washington Co SO are not comfortable.

Ed Arib: Does Versaterm have webservices for XML release?

Scott Patrick: There is work to do first, vetting each box of the GO. What is the data set required for IO agencies?

Melaney Koch: We need a work group to discuss the idea of basic data set.

Scott Patrick: Versaterm supports webservices, but need to know what we want added.

Mike Ridenour: We can discuss what we used to do with released reports to IO.

Taylor Hollandsworth: Data only access services would be a happy medium.

Everyone that was IO would be offered the same basic data.

Scott Patrick: Versaterm would be more interested in this approach, than changing the securities.

Taylor Hollandsworth: Beaverton would offer money for this.

Scott Patrick: Will discuss with Versaterm personnel. Time line, resources, Versaterm will build the back end – webservice, Ed would have to build the front end interface.

Kim Roark: Subgroup is needed to establish outlines, data set and Versaterm to talk about what they can do. Agencies to offer up who should be a part of this committee. Define the basic data set to be made available. Considerations: From Records perspective it is about thinning the report requests. Web service or another data source would be preferable. And IGA needs the legal language to cover the liability.

Mike Ridenour: Named the agencies presented today; WA Dept of Corrections, OLCC, Clack Juv, WA CO Community Corrections, and PCC.

Kim Roark: Will speak with Tammy about the questions and if the responses could be shared with the RUB members for decision making purposes, so they understand what the IO agency needs are.

Cindy Bolek: I don't what OLCC in my Records. IO needs to ask for specific information not have freedom in the system.

Kim asked if there were any questions for Scott Patrick. There were none.

Kim reminded everyone to use the RegJIN helpdesk email and telephone number – use this service, we are available to support all members.

Scott Patrick encouraged folks to use the resources available to them. If you have a why does it work that way question, send it to the RegJIN helpdesk, if they cannot answer, it will be sent to Versaterm for an answer.

#### **NEXT MEETING:**

The next RUB is scheduled for 10:00 AM on Tuesday, August 2, 2016. It will be held in the PPB North Precinct Community Room, 449 NE Emerson St.