

TRAINING ADVISORY COUNCIL
July 13th, 2016
PPB Training Complex
6:30 p.m.

<p>MEMBERS PRESENT</p> <p>Bruno Amicci Marcus Amicci Sushanah Boston, CO-Chair Shawn Campbell Daniel Duvall McKay Fenske James Good Jennifer Grove-Heuser Edward Hershey Dave Hoffman Jeffrey Klatke Judy Low Gary Marschke John McVay Anne Parmeter Corrine Patel Rio Rios, Co-Chair Monte Wolverton Erin Stevanus</p> <p>MEMBERS ABSENT</p> <p>David Denecke Tyler Hall Jean Johansson Tina Semko Sylvia Zingesser</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">STAFF PRESENT</p> <p>Captain Bryan Parman Capt Bob Day Jodi Halia Helen LaFong</p> <p style="text-align: center;">GUESTS PRESENT</p> <p>Sarah Campbell Cindy Surendorf Dan Handelman Jared Hages</p>
--	---

1. **Call to Order/Welcome**
 Call to order at 6:32pm.
 Mission Statement Reading
 Motion to approve minutes for the 05/11/16 meeting. M/S/A

2. **Recognition of Bruno Amicci, first TAC Chair**
 Appreciation of former Chair Amicci's time and contribution to the Training Advisory Council.

3. **Captain's Statements**
 Captain Parman presented former Chair Amicci with a plaque and words of appreciation.

 The Training Advisory Council offered thanks and appreciation to Captain Parman for his leadership and understanding of the learning aspects of the Training Advisory Council.

Introduction of Capt Bob Day as the new leader of the PPB Training Division.

4. Team Building Activity

Introduction of the Training Advisory Council members and guests.

The members and guests present divided into 5 groups for a LEGO team building exercise. The purpose of the exercise was for the groups to realize how critical it is to write correctly, to communicate correctly, to do things correctly within design and development of a training course. This purpose should be involved in every recommendation the TAC works on.

5. Break

6. Training Report

Co-Chair Rios stated the recommendations the TAC made in the Training Report were based on the design and development of training and the measurement of training.

Co-Chair Boston and Captain Parman spoke to the interaction between the TAC Members and community members who worked on and provided feedback on the training report.

Co-Chair Boston provided feedback received by the COAB and stated as they focus on moving forward in 2017 considerations will be:
Access to materials;
Appropriate and timely access;
How TAC is able to review it together.

Co-Chair Boston provided feedback received by Dan Handelman with Cop Watch.

Other community input received but less specific.

7. Public Comment/TAC Member Open Comment

Dan Handelman –

Regarding language, they are concerned that some of the language in the Training Manual that is subject to change i.e.: Officers should not be subjected to unnecessary legal or administrative review.

Surprising to hear TAC received less information than COAB. Break down road blocks.

Glad to hear of the effort to reach out for community input.

Co-Chair Boston commented that there was a back story to why they (TAC) did what they did and not all of it was documented, i.e.: Officer's training debrief.

Co-Chair Rios stated that much of the information was confidential.

Gary offered statements about the training recommendation and aggregating and evaluating training ideas.

Jody Halia stated the curriculum provided to the TAC on Use of Force was specifically to give the TAC enough background information to inform them so they could then make comments about the Use of Force Data. That was the purpose for the curriculum they received and in no way was the PPB training Division trying to hold things back.

Cindy Surendorf stated she was present to represent a variety of groups here for a fact finding mission. Cindy mentioned the different groups she was here to support wanted to provide any training or information anyone would like. They are here to support us and give guidelines and information from their groups. They want to keep fear and hatred out of the way so they could all work productively with everyone.

Jared Hager with US DOJ, wanted to solicit the view of TAC of any impediments to opening the meetings to the public. Co-Chair Boston offered a response to this question in the sense that it wasn't planned for very well as there were extra meetings and people meeting on their own. The main meeting was planned for community input. She felt they could do a better job of publicizing the agenda and inviting the public.

Gary stated there was more community input at the beginning and more diversity but there was a lot of time spent on procedural stuff that lost a lot of people. They didn't really have a product but now they have the report and purpose. Outreach and engagement will be more effective and inclusive moving forward.

Co-Chair offered suggestions that will improve this moving forward.

8. ADJOURN

Meeting adjourned at 8:40pm

