# TRAINING ADVISORY COUNCIL July 10, 2019 PPB Training Complex 6:30 -8:30 p.m.

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT**

Shawn Campbell, Chair

Leslie Brunker

Sara Carlson

**Dave Coates** 

Karen Daniels

Daniel Droppers

Robert Fischer

Walter Hull

Gary Marschke

Richard Mohle

Edna Nyamu

John Paulke

Christopher Rossi

Frank Santos

Sarah Suniga

Venn Wilde

Sylvia Zingeser

#### **MEMBERS ABSENT**

Kezia Wanner

Tyler Hall

Britton Masback

#### TRAINING STAFF PRESENT

Captain Erica Hurley Lt. Greg Stewart Liesbeth Gerritsen Emma Covelli Jody Halia

# **PPB STAFF PRESENT**

Mary Claire Buckley Lt. Jeff Niiya Shannon Smith Laura Leonard Amanda Trygg Calista Gomez

#### **GUESTS PRESENT**

Julie Ramos

#### 1 PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU 2 Training Advisory Council 3 Training Division 4 5 6 Meeting Date: 07/10/2019 7 8 CAMPBELL: Come together, and we'll get started. Do we got that thing 9 running, Jody? 10 FEMALE: Do I need to turn it on? 11 HALIA: I think I just did it. 12 CAMPBELL: All right. Thank you, everybody for coming tonight. I call 13 this meeting of the Training Advisory Council to order. As a 14 reminder, we transcribe our meetings via recordings, so when you 15 speak up, please say your name so the transcribers can attribute the 16 words to the correct person. They're pretty good, but they aren't 17 that good at guessing what everybody's voice sounds like. Let's start 18 with somebody reading the mission statement. Do we have a volunteer? 19 Bob. 20 FISCHER: The mission of the TAC is to provide ongoing advice to the 21 chief of police and the training division in order to continuously 22 improve training standards, practices, and outcomes through the 23 examination of training philosophy, content, delivery, tactics, 24 policy, equipment, and facilities. The mission of the Portland Police 25 Bureau is to reduce crime and the fear of crime by working with all 26 citizens to preserve life, maintain human rights, protect property, 27 and promote individual responsibility, and community commitment. 28 CAMPBELL: Thank you, Bob. Let's start with approval of the prior 29 meeting transcript. Do we have a motion for the approval? 30 MARSCHKE: I'll move. Gary moves. Do we have a second? 31 CAMPBELL: 32 **HULL:** Second. 33 CAMPBELL: Second from Walt. All in favor? 34 MULTIPLE: Ave. 35 CAMPBELL: All opposed? Motion passes. All right, opening 36 announcements and reminders. To start, thank you everybody for coming 37 tonight. I know it's a pretty nice day outside, and it's the middle 38 of July in summer, so it's much appreciated to see so many faces here 39 tonight. Other reminders: I know we had the new member civilian 40 academy recently. Thank you to everybody who attended. As a reminder, 41 everyone is required to do on police ride along per year, so if you 42 have not done yours, you need to do it at some point. Please contact 43 Jody to help set it up. She just needs to know what precinct and kind 44 of the shift you would be interested in. All right. Moving forward 45 into new business. We'll start with an overview of the presentation 46 of the -47 **FEMALE:** Hang on, Shawn? 48 CAMPBELL: Yes. Oh, Walt, yes. 49 Before we get started, I would just like to make a comment. I 50 don't know how many of you attended the thing on Saturday where we 51 went through the training process, et cetera, et cetera, but I just

want to say that it was probably one of the most valuable days that I

involved with the Portland Police Bureau, and I want to tell you that

have ever spent. I've been at this - I'm working on 14 years being

52

53

54

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 2 of 43

- 55 everybody that had anything to do with that should be congratulated.
- I don't know whether this group has sent any kind of a written
- 57 commendation or anything about that particular event, but I
- 58 definitely think that we should do that. I think it was very, very
- 59 worthwhile, and I was really impressed with the preparation, all of
- 60 the things that were dealt with, et cetera, et cetera. And I can't
- 61 express my -
- 62 MALE: Appreciation.
- 63 Appreciation. That's a good word. My appreciation for what
- 64 went on that day.
- 65 Thank you. FEMALE:
- 66 CAMPBELL: Thank you, Walter. Would anybody else like to make a
- 67 comment on the training they took this past Saturday or two Saturdays
- 68 ago? All right.
- 69 CARLSON: Yeah, this is Sara Carlson. I'll just add they really did a 70 phenomenal job. The amount of effort that they put into making the
- 71 training relevant, the number of people you had there from all of the
- 72 different divisions, and for anyone - and I'm new to the council, but
- if anyone hasn't done that, I mean, it was really enlightening to 73
- 74 have more of a sense of the scenarios that the officers experience. I
- 75 know in my life, like, I have my idea of how you're supposed to
- behave, and it was very unnerving to have people behave differently. 76
- 77 Like, they didn't all get raised in a Catholic military family where
- 78 you do what the officer says. It was really, really interesting, and
- 79 they were - the officers - everyone involved in the training was
- super engaged; very, very helpful. They just did a dynamite job. I 80
- 81 can't say enough good things about it.
- 82 MULTIPLE: Thank you
- 83 Thank you. They do work really hard to put those together **HURLEY:**
- 84 and do that, and to your point, we do them twice a year. So, if
- 85 someone hasn't been able to get through them, we'll put out the next
- 86 day when we do them again early next year, and people can sign up if
- 87 you haven't - if you weren't able to make the one that happened. So,
- 88 thank you, again because they - and I'll let everybody know. They do
- 89 put a lot of time and effort into it. I know Greg was here that day. 90 I was in Boston but - so, thank you.
- 91 CARLSON: And the acting skills of the officers was really good.
- 92 HURLEY: Right? Sometimes I think the guys like to do that because
- 93 they just get to do that.
- 94 CARLSON: Exactly. Be something different. Yes, it was very eye
- 95 opening. It was just - it was, yeah. It was enlightening and very
- 96 interesting.
- 97 CAMPBELL: Excellent. Well, thank you for putting on another
- 98 excellent civilian (inaudible). All right. Moving forward. Just to
- 99 give a quick overview of some of the stuff that we've been doing.
- 100 Recently, I had the opportunity to speak before the PCCEP which is
- 101 our sister organization that is in charge of community - what would
- 102 be the correct word for that would you say, Captain? Community - not
- 103 interaction but outreach?

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 3 of 43

104 HURLEY: It's advisory.

105 CAMPBELL: Yeah. Community advisory. They're basically - PCCEP, P-C-

106 C-E-P. Do not ask me what it stands for.

107 HURLEY: Don't ask me either. 108 CAMPBELL: Police Community -

109 **HURLEY:** Mary Claire?

110 BUCKLEY: Maybe Community Engaged Policing?

111 CAMPBELL: When they changed it over, they decided they needed a much

112 longer acronym which complicated my life to no end. But I spoke

113 before them about what we've been doing here at TAC, and we really

114 focused on the Officer Wellness Program and what we were hoping for

115 it, and I would say the overall response was very positive from both

116 the PCCEP and the community members that come to the PCCEP meetings.

117 One of the more positive things that I saw that was there was people

118 from groups that are fairly more combative with the police, and even

119 they boast a lot of support for it. So, all together, I think it was

120 good effort and a good opportunity. Yes, Bob?

121 FISCHER: Yeah, Bob Fisher here. Shawn, where did you get access to

122 the video of your presentation and the video of that meeting?

123 CAMPBELL: Every PCCEP meeting, by their bylaws, is recorded and put

124 out on You Tube, and you can find them on their website.

125 FISCHER: But you - you sent it out, did you not?

126 CAMPBELL: Yes. It's a You Tube link.

127 FISCHER: Because people ought to look at that and see how that

128 meeting went generally, but then what a good job, I thought, the TAC

129 did there. The TAC presentation struck me as particularly effective.

130 CAMPBELL: Thank you. Any other questions or comments on that? It was

just kind of a brief interlude. All right. Let's move on to updates 131

132 on the current status of task forces. Let's start with the Emotional

133 Intelligence Task Force, Venn?

134 WILDE: Yes. This is Venn Wilde. The Emotional Intelligence Task

135 Force, we have interviewed Sergeant Tackett and Officer Harris, and

136 in the process uncovered a bunch of opportunities for further

137 research that might well produce a significant number of additional

138 specific recommendations for training programs to implement. The

139 volume of the reading that might be involved in pulling together

140 those recommendations is really significant: a couple of books, a

141 140-page report. You know, it's not - it really reminds me of, you

know, being back in college. Anyway, what we have started to do is 142

143 assemble a high-level overview of the state of emotional intelligence

144 training within the Portland Police Bureau and the beginnings of a

145 roadmap for further development of that training. And so, we're

146 expecting to have that ready to bring in September We're going to

147 continue working on developing that, and we'll probably also be

148 suggesting that TAC or a new task for to stay with the Emotional

149 Intelligence component of the wellness program and just to sustain

150 contact and support the ongoing development of that program. You

151 know, the meeting every other month is not really enough when you're

152 rolling such a really significant bunch of training, and the folks

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 4 of 43

- 153 that I've met with on the task force to talk about this said, you
- 154 know, we'd really like to see this be much more deeply connected with
- our council and our community as a whole because it's really
- 156 important to work with them.
- 157 CAMPBELL: Thank you, Venn. Are there any questions about the work of
- 158 the Emotional Intelligence Task Force? All right. Moving forward,
- 159 Patterns of the Use of Force Task Force led by me. The update is that
- 160 the report is finished and will be presented to the group tonight,
- 161 and so we will have that done. On a are there any questions about
- 162 that, which seems kind of strange because you're scheduled to be able
- 163 to ask in a little bit. Moving on from that, I did want to just raise
- 164 a comment that was raised at a steering committee meeting about the
- 165 fact that we're still waiting on comments on many of our
- 166 recommendations, that some of them are getting close to a year old at
- 167 this point. And so, we're -
- 168 HURLEY: I have a meeting tomorrow. Don't quote me on that. It might
- 169 be early next week. I think it's tomorrow with the chief to sit down
- 170 and have a conversation (inaudible). Yes. I have a meeting tomorrow
- 171 morning with the chief to sit down and have a conversation about
- 172 where they are and what we're doing and what works best.
- 173 **CAMPBELL:** Perfect.
- 174 HURLEY: So, we're working on it. Thank you for your patience.
- 175 **CAMPBELL:** Thank you. Yes?
- 176 DROPPERS: This is Danielle Droppers. Will that conversation cover
- 177 all of the outstanding recommendations that are -
- 178 **HURLEY:** Yes.
- 179 DROPPERS: Oh, okay. Thank you.
- 180 ZINGESER: This is Sylvia. Can you let us know the basics of that
- 181 conversation?
- 182 HURLEY: So, we've I'm hoping that she's just going to give me some
- 183 feedback to tweak what has already been done -
- 184 ZINGESER: Okay. Okay. All right.
- 185 HURLEY: And then we'll be able to actually get it out really
- 186 quickly.
- 187 **ZINGESER:** Okay. Thanks.
- 188 HURLEY: But I'll get something out.
- 189 **ZINGESER:** Something out. (Inaudible).
- 190 CAMPBELL: Perfect. Any other questions or comments? Yes.
- 191 MOHLE: This is Richard. How many tasks forces are there?
- 192 CAMPBELL: Currently, there are only two open tasks forces.
- 193 **MOHLE:** Okay. Those are the two?
- 194 CAMPBELL: Yeah.
- 195 MOHLE: Okay. Thank you.
- 196 CAMPBELL: One of the things we're going to hopefully do at this
- 197 meeting at the next one is kind of form ideas for other tasks forces
- 198 to move forward since this one is closing, and the Emotional
- 199 Intelligence Task Force is closing itself in September. So, where do
- 200 we go from there will be part of the discussion we have.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 5 of 43

- 201 MOHLE: Okay. Thank you.
- 202 CAMPBELL: Yeah. Any other questions or comments? All right. Let's
- 203 move onto the overview of the Needs Assessment by Emma Covelli. Did I
- 204 pronounce that right?
- 205 GERRITSEN: Would it help if I tried to turn off a bank of lights
- 206 that are right in front?
- 207 CAMPBELL: Yeah. That would probably be helpful.
- 208 GERRITSEN: Jody, do you want to try? I noticed that I'm feeling a
- 209 little (inaudible).
- 210 **HURLEY:** Yeah.
- 211 GERRITSEN: Yeah. That's much better. Yeah. Yeah. I know! Thank you.
- 212 Jody, do you know where the clicker is?
- 213 HALIA: Oh, no. I didn't pull that out.
- 214 COVELLI: Oh, that's okay.
- 215 HURLEY: I can click it for you, Emma. Do you want me to sit there?
- 216 COVELLI: No, I've got it.
- 217 **HURLEY:** Good.
- 218 GERRITSEN: You'd have to pull it all the way down to get it back up.
- 219 There you go.
- 220 COVELLI: So, for those who don't know me, I'm Emma Covelli. I'm an
- 221 analyst in the training division, and I work on our training Needs
- 222 Assessment and Evaluation processes. And I was asked to speak tonight
- 223 on the training needs assessment process. This is something TAC is
- 224 involved with, so it's been helpful in the past to be familiar with
- 225 this process and weigh into it. And Jody recently sent out the 2018
- 226 Needs Assessment link. So, some of you may have had a chance to
- 227 review it. It looks like this document here, and that one is online.
- 228 And it is the work of many, many people. I facilitate the process,
- 229 but you'll see in the document and in the presentation there's a huge
- 230 amount of people that are a part of this Needs Assessment process.
- 231 And for this presentation, I'm going to start out with just a brief
- 232 overview of the Training Needs Assessment process and then some
- 233 common things that come up specific to law enforcement and some of
- 234 our current findings and then how we tie in our training planning
- 235 with the Needs Assessment process. If I don't talk loud enough, feel
- free to let me know. That's happened before, so don't worry. Just 236
- 237 feel free to speak up. And also, if you have questions, I'll stop
- 238
- periodically to check if people have questions, but if something
- 239 comes up sooner, please also feel free to stop me and let me know.
- 240 Also, I know there is always in this committee people who specialize
- 241 in learning and analysis, and so I'm always a bit afraid of, you
- 242 know, people feeling like it's too basic for them. I go over the
- 243 whole information regardless just so that we can all be in the same
- 244 information and conversation at the same time. So, if some of it is
- 245 redundant, I apologize for that. And it looks like it's cut off a
- 246 little bit, but it's not too bad. So, there are various methods for a
- 247 Needs Assessment process, but in general, these are the components
- 248 they take into consideration. So, a lot of times it starts out first
- with identifying gaps in skills and knowledge and attitudes, and then 249

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 6 of 43

250 those are prioritized because oftentimes so many more training needs 251 are identified than we can possibly deliver all at once in training. And so, for instance, for us for our general In-Service, we'll have 252 253 100 different training needs identified, and we only have 40 hours of In-Service a year. So, we really have to take a close look at how 254 255 strong the feedback is and how strong of a gap and importance it is 256 and also work to prioritize those. And the causes - then we take a 257 look at the causes. So, sometimes it will be related to training and 258 something that training is the perfect strategy to fix, and if that's 259 the case, then we go down the path of curriculum development, 260 training, evaluating, and rolling the findings back into the Needs 261 Assessment process. But sometimes it's a different strategy that 262 needs to be dealt with. So, sometimes it can be down a supervisory 263 issue or a program specific unit issue that would be more applicable 264 to correct rather than delivering training, especially when we're so 265 short on training time. So, those are some of the things that we look 266 at. And then, also in the general Needs Assessment process, we're 267 looking at genuine training needs versus training requirements and 268 training demands. So, for us in law enforcement, we have DPSST 269 requirements and OSHA requirements and various other requirements, 270 and those need to be fulfilled, but we also want to make sure that we 271 spend a lot of time and priority on genuine training needs because 272 those are the ones that are going to be most closely tied to us 273 meeting our organizational polls. And there are a couple of things 274 that sometimes get confused in this area. This is actually occurring 275 less in our organization, but oftentimes, a gap is identified, but 276 the most effective strategy is not training, the type that we talked 277 about on the previous slide. And so, it needs to go down a 278 supervisory path or a unit path or, you know, email or some other 279 method to get the news out, or it's not a trend for the entire 280 bureau. So, oftentimes what will commonly happen is one thing happens 281 and then the whole bureau gets trained on it when it's not really a 282 training need for an entire bureau. And so, we're taking a closer 283 look at those and seeing - now just because it happens infrequently 284 doesn't mean it won't be applicable to the whole bureau, but we want 285 to look at other sources of information to see if they support a 286 bureau-wide training or if it really just needs to be an individual 287 conversation or training need. Then specific to law enforcement, 288 there are a couple things that are critical for us to understand the 289 additional challenges in law enforcement. Those of you who just went 290 through the training this last weekend probably, it sounds like, 291 connected with some of this, but the variety of characteristics that 292 are applicable to police calls are just huge. They're just immense, 293 and the type of crime types are immense. The type of people 294 characteristics are immense, and then within all of that, there are 295 all kinds of other environmental characteristics that come into these 296 calls. And so - and they all require a different skill level, and, 297 you know, different skills, different knowledge, different ways of relating to people. And so, it's really a vast array of knowledge and 298 299 skills, and with our mission to reduce and the fear of crime,

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 7 of 43

300 protecting and serving all members of the community, this vast array 301 of interaction types can really vary depending on if it's a welfare 302 check versus a robbery call or an active shooter. Sometimes they have 303 a ton of time to really take their time relating to people, involve 304 the people involved in the solution, building and all of that, and 305 then sometimes if it's an active shooter or something, more fast 306 paced, you know, they have to be making very, very quick decisions on 307 demand and don't have time for conversation. And both of those types 308 of encounters and everything in between take a huge variety of 309 different skillsets. And then another large contributing factor is 310 having to be prepared for these making decisions under circumstances 311 that are stressful, uncertain, and rapidly evolving. So, many law 312 enforcement skills are perishable, and sometimes people forget this 313 or don't realize this. They think that we can go through the law 314 enforcement training on the front end and teach people how to use 315 defensive tactics and firearms and all of those things and it will 316 carry through with them for the rest of their career. And it doesn't 317 work that way. Those are very perishable skills. And so, we do some 318 things around our retention rate - retention rates, looking at those, 319 but this is something we have to take into consideration. So, for, 320 like, me as an analyst, if I haven't ran, like, a particular type of 321 statistic, like a logistic regression model, in years or something 322 like that, I have the luxury of time, and I can look back at my 323 documents and get them out and review everything before I proceed 324 with my task. But in law enforcement, these particular types of 325 situations, they don't have that luxury of time. Sometimes we've also 326 used the example of riding a bicycle, and people, you know, will have 327 that automatic - oftentimes that skillset, but if you haven't ridden 328 a bicycle in a while, like 10 years or something like that, it can 329 take actually a bit of time to, like, get that balance back and get 330 back on your feet. And in these types of encounters, there isn't the 331 time to do that, and even if it only takes a few seconds or a few 332 minutes to develop that skill set back, that can really change the 333 situation and encounters you hear. So, that is something that we want 334 our officers to be prepared for these types of stressful encounters 335 and be able to, like, perform at their best at those regardless, you 336 know, whenever they come up, which we can't predict. And we also know 337 the physiological effects. Stress can weaken fine motor skills and 338 decrease task performance and the researchers have found that 339 overpractice and overtraining in these areas can actually help reduce 340 that stress impact. So, we try and balance that out with our 341 training. Oftentimes people will, you know, focus on those everyday 342 encounters, and we need to do that as well and increase the nuances 343 and skill level in those, but we also have to focus on these rare 344 encounters even though some officers may never encounter them. So, 345 any questions so far with that? Yeah? 346 MALE: Do you have a formal way - you have these hundred ideas that 347 seem to percolate in the system to start off with. Is there a formal

way of questioning or querying or getting input from the field as to

349 what they think they need training in?

348

Emotional Intelligence Task Force.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

350

364

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

07/10/2019 Page 8 of 43

351 feedback actually comes from the field. Yeah. Good question. Yeah, 352 Venn? 353 WILDE: Thank you. This is Venn Wilde. I'm curious - at first, I want 354 to appreciate you calling out this piece about performing under 355 stress. The - I was looking at the previous slide and thinking this 356 doesn't at all reflect this really essential component of every 357 interaction, every encounter, is the internal state of being of the 358 officer in the encounter, and I feel like this is referencing that. 359 One, I'm thinking, you know, it would be great to see that on the 360 previous slide, but I'm not here to edit your slideshow. I hear you 361 talking about the performing under stress, and I'm curious about how 362 to dial in on aspects of training that are focused on this. It seems 363 like it is directly connected with what we are looking for in the

COVELLI: Absolutely. We'll get to that, but yeah, a lot of the

365 COVELLI: Oh, right.

WILDE: So, it is - just, are those the words, performing under stress? Because it - like, I - one of the things I'd love to see officers having as a resource is a moment-to-moment availability of their own awareness of themselves, right, in a way that supports resolving fear as it arises, right, and allowing stress to drop away (inaudible). Is performing under stress, the words that I've used - maybe, Captain Hurley, you can speak to this. Like, I - I don't know who I'm asking this question to, really.

COVELLI: It is definitely - I don't know if - like, if you're looking for articles, I can, you know, find - like, write down some key words that would be appropriate for that, but definitely, everything you're saying applies to things that we're considering in training and I think are really critical to the wellness program right now that I know you all did a paper on as well, which was excellent. And so, those things: The yoga classes, the mindfulness classes, the emotional intelligence, the other interpersonal classes, I think are a component. And actually, even the firearms and the control tactics. Oftentimes, we don't think of those as a component of being able to then be more calm in those situations, but they are a critical component of that, and they are trying to incorporate into those programs' mindfulness as well. So, we actually want - you want the officer who has, like, extensive training in those tactical skills - they actually have more cognitive availability during a stressful situation, and that's what the researchers are finding is that by providing overtraining in those areas, someone can actually perform those skills more calmly in a stressful situation then. So, does that help?

393 **WILDE:** It's definitely addressing some of what I'm asking for. I'd love to have that list of articles you suggest.

395 **COVELLI:** Okay.

396 **WILDE:** And coming back to - is *performing under stress* what you call 397 it in the training division or are there other - I'm looking for the 398 keywords, not just keywords to search for in a library but the

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 9 of 43

399 keywords to be asking about. Because I have language that I use for 400 this with my colleagues in the world of, you know, conscious life and relationship skills, but I don't think that's the language that you 401 402 use here. And I'm wondering if - because I'm seeing it on your slide, 403 I'm wondering if performing under stress is how you tend to talk 404 about that or if there are other ways you tend to talk about that? 405 COVELLI: Yeah, people will relate to that a lot. The other wording 406 that we often use is split-second decision making in circumstances 407 that are stressful, uncertain, and rapidly involved, and that wording 408 gets used to describe those situations a lot too. 409

CAMPBELL: Edna, do you have a question?

410 NYAMU: Yes. This is Edna, and maybe I missed this, but at the 411 beginning you mentioned who were the participants, how many of them, 412 because I'm sitting as a community member, and I'm listening so that 413 I can provide feedback, or is it just to listen for what is being 414 done (inaudible) - is this just for police (inaudible) who were 415 involved in the Needs Assessment, or as a community member, what is 416 my - what is my involvement with that?

COVELLI: Yeah. We're going to get to, like, how the TAC is involved in this process. So, there is community input as a part of our Needs Assessment process, and Jody actually does a lot of the facilitating part for the TAC. And then we also - I don't know if you've involved in some of the other committees, but we work - sometimes we present with the Behavioral Health Advisory Council, and we get feedback from there, and then there's other community reports and surveys that we get feedback from. And we're really - you know, as you get familiar with the Needs Assessment process, if there's other venues that, you know, would be helpful for, like, for writing - having an opportunity to provide input, definitely let us know, Jody or I. Jody is really the one who facilitates for the TAC, but, you know, as I'm here today, definitely - yeah, Jody?

430 HALIA: I just want to add, if this helps answer it, this 431 presentation is largely for information for you on how we decided 432 what we train, and yes, as Emma said, there's a component that you 433 add to, but that's really the goal of this presentation is so that 434 you know how we decide what we train. Does that answer?

435 **NYAMU:** Yeah.

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424 425 426

427

428

429

436 CAMPBELL: And, basically, all of our recommendations go into the 437 Needs Assessment process, and that's why we make the recommendations. 438 Danielle, you had a question?

439 DROPPERS: Yeah, I was just wondering if you could speak to the piece 440 about performing under stress and if there's any intersection with 441 the implicit bias trainings? And just as officers need to be able to 442 respond under stress and physical ways, I'm just thinking about 443 racial profiling and our implicit biases that get triggered when 444 things happen under high-stress situations. I'm just wondering if 445 that's a consideration for some of this piece.

446 COVELLI: Yeah. It definitely is a component. Those are one of the

447 things that officers then have more time and cognitive ability to

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 10 of 43

take in information on and factors in their decision making when we address situations like this. I wasn't - so, and it (inaudible) implicit bias as well as other factors. I was not as involved in the creation of the last implicit bias training, but Jody was. I don't know if they made that tie in that (inaudible) - HALIA: I was definitely addressed. Yes.

454 **DROPPERS:** Okay.

455 **COVELLI:** Yeah.

456 MALE: I think one of the things, too, Emma that is important on 457 about this, one of the things we talked about is - so, she talks 458 about cognitive load. You have a finite ability, sort of processing 459 just like a computer, how much information you can take it and how 460 rapidly you can make decisions is fixed. But when we do a lot of our 461 skills training, what we try to do is develop muscle memory because 462 the less we have to think about more tasks that we've sort of 463 offloaded to muscle memory, the more cognitive ability we have to 464 deal with things like what Venn is talking about, sort of a 465 mindfulness. So, if we're having to figure out, like, "Oh, my gosh. 466 I'm worried this person is going to do x, y, or z," and I have to start thinking about how to access all of my tools if they attack me, 467 468 there's less ability for me then to think about the implications of 469 implicit bias. There's less ability for me to self-assess my own 470 mental state. So - and those skills are highly perishable. So, what 471 Emma is saying is as we go through this, when she talks about the science of performing your best when it matters is really a function 472 473 of figuring out how much muscle memory we can develop on these - you 474 know, some skills are going to lend themselves to that development 475 and maintaining that muscle memory so that cognitively we can be 476 functioning at our best in those encounters.

DROPPERS: And so, that's worked into the - because I know there's the unconscious bias training, like the classroom training, but is that muscle memory the physical piece? Is there that connection with the unconscious bias in the, like, I forget what you call it, but physical trainings? The - what do you call it? Those scenarios that officers train in?

483 MALE: I think -

477 478

479

480

481

482

484 **FEMALE:** Just sort of train that kinetic memory in different ways?
485 **MALE:** I'm not sure if I completely understand what you're saying.
486 So, like, muscle memory is going to be more physical skills. I mean,
487 there's going to be more, like -

488 **DROPPERS:** Right, but certainly they're connected, right? Your mind 489 is triggering you to react in certain ways.

490 MALE: We are working in things like our procedural justice in that.
491 HURLEY: So, I think one of the things that we are doing - a couple
492 things. As he is explaining and as Emma is explaining, the more of
493 the physical skills that we can set to memory to the point that it is
494 second nature - they don't have to think about how to do a control
495 hold. They don't have to think about how to put handcuffs on

496 somebody. Those things that are just easily - it's like when you

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 11 of 43

497 drive a car, right? When you first start driving a car, you're trying 498 to figure out where the lines are and if you're doing the steering 499 wheel right and where your brake is, and you're very focused on all 500 of these things. And then a year later, you know, you're 17 and 501 you're driving 90 and you think you can do that. Putting that aside. 502 I do have teenagers. You don't think about those things anymore, 503 right, I mean, because gas pedal, brakes, all of that is just muscle memory. You just do it without really consciously thinking about 504 505 driving that car. If we can get the skills part to the point that 506 they don't have to consciously think about those things in the front 507 part of their brain to take up all of that memory, they have the 508 ability to look at a bigger picture which is the implicit bias piece. 509 Implicit bias never going to go away. Everybody has it. The thought 510 process behind it though is if I can recognize it, my actions do not 511 necessarily have to reflect what my implicit bias may be. If I have 512 the ability to not have to think too hard about the skills part of 513 it, then I have that ability - mentally, I have room to access the 514 classroom skills and some of the other skills that we're talking 515 about which is the implicit bias. One of the things that we have 516 requested in the budget year of this budget year is a machine called 517 a Virtra Machine. It is a virtual training machine. And so, 518 basically, it's a huge video game, but you are in it. It is 300 519 degrees. So, it's screens that cover 300 degrees around you. You have 520 all of your regular tools that you would have. So, there is pepper 521 spray and a taser and all of the different things, and then the video 522 machine goes up, and it gives you your scenario, and in this 523 scenario, you do what you're supposed to do. So, you talk to the 524 person on the screen as though there was a person there. Based on how 525 you're doing, the person running the computer can actually change the 526 scenario. So, if you're doing a really good job in your communication 527 skills and the things that you need to do for de-escalation and stuff 528 like, he can change that computer to the point that whoever you're 529 talking to, right, on the screen changes their behavior based on how 530 you're reacting with them. However, if you are on the opposite side, 531 and you're not doing any of those things well, then they're going to 532 change it to the point of how somebody might react if you were not 533 communicating well. Now, in those, there's a couple different things, 534 right? So, it's great training. It makes people think about their 535 actions and their words and how it moves forward, but the other piece 536 of that is that there is a professor out of WSU Spokane that actually 537 has put together a whole group of training scenarios based 538 specifically on implicit bias. And so, what they do is they go 539 through these scenarios on implicit bias and it - I actually went 540 through them in one of the classes she did. It was very interesting. 541 You learn, kind of unintentionally, what some of your implicit biases 542 are, right? So, sometimes when we go to a call, we have a tendency to 543 look at the small female and think she's not a threat, right, because 544 she's little, and she's a girl, and, you know, they're not really 545 scary whereas you look at the big guy over here, and he's really 546 scary. Well, the little gal still has a gun, and she can still kill

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

547

548

549

07/10/2019 Page 12 of 43

550 elderly, right, different things, and different people are different, 551 right? We all kind of ignore or pay attention to different things, 552 but the reaction from the screen will dictate a little bit about what 553 your biases - so, I went through that training. I learned that I have 554 a tendency to think females aren't dangerous. I got shot a couple 555 times. So, it didn't go very well for me. But I wouldn't have said 556 that, right? I wouldn't have said on the front end (inaudible) I'm a 557 girl. So, but I looked at a couple of the females on there, and I'm, 558 like, "You're smaller, you're sitting down. You're not a threat. That 559 big guy over there. That's who I'm paying attention to." And I got 560 shot because I didn't pay attention to the other side. So, it helps 561 us try to think about not just in the sense of our safety but also in 562 the sense of how are you treating them, right? Did you notice that 563 you pulled your weapon maybe sooner when you had this type of a 564 person versus this type of scenario, right? It's all of those things 565 that then we can have those conversations moving forward. So, that's 566 in the budget. We've asked for it for this next year. We'll see. 567 Hopefully we get it, but it would be a really good way to marry both 568 skills and implicit bias into one thing where you have some 569 scientific data that you can also kind of pull back out of that. Does 570 that make sense? And I'm sorry that was a really long explanation. 571 What's it called? Virtra? FEMALE: 572 It's called Virtra, V-I-R-T-R-A, Virtra. HURLEY: 573 CAMPBELL: Yeah, we got a little sidetracked there, and we're going 574 to run a little short on time. Can we maybe, like, get a thousand-575 foot view of how the process works? 576 COVELLI: Sure. So, these are the main components of the Needs 577 Assessment, and these are DOJ driven. So, we started expanding our 578 Needs Assessment process with the DOJ agreement. And then - I won't 579 go through them all because we're short time, but this is where TAC 580 falls into it. It's under "Input from the community." And then we 581 also added, to make it a thorough Needs Assessment, the 582 recertification requirements more around the DOJ agreement and the 583 five main disciplines. And so, those are where a lot of the control 584 tactics and firearms and tactical-type skills come in, and we're 585 working on retention rates around those who are training Planning 586 Processes. And then these are the types of sources that we use. So, 587 we use a lot of different types of sources. Some are more hard-data 588 sources like use-of-force data, injury data, complaint data, pursuit 589 intervention technique data, things like that, and then we also 590 utilize interviews with people, so managers in the areas. We meet 591 with the Independent Police Review director every year, the Force 592 Auditing Team, the injury liaisons, and various people to collect 593 additional qualitative feedback around training needs from people. 594 And then we get a lot of survey data. So, the DOJ agreement has the 595 component of incorporating feedback from all members at all levels of

you, right? But you'll notice through some of the scenarios they've

small female, right, or they ignore the youth, or they ignore the

kind of determined officers as a whole have a tendency to ignore the

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 13 of 43

596 the bureau. And so, we have a lot of survey and evaluation work to 597 collect feedback around how often they feel they need to be trained 598 in certain areas and where their greatest training needs are. And 599 then as far as our training needs, the top priorities coming up for general In-Service, this is a list of those. We will have mental 600 601 health response refreshers every year. That's a part of DOJ agreement 602 and other state requirements. Policy updates, some things around 603 taser accuracy and distance. I know there's been a lot of discussion 604 around taser in the TAC committee. Knife defense, procedural justice, 605 and as well as having all of these individual topic areas, we also 606 try to weave in topic areas. So, part of maximizing training time and 607 increasing learning, we try to build other topic areas into these 608 subjects. So, for instance, for building searches where they're 609 having talks about building in team building and working together, 610 leadership, things like that. For procedural justice, that ties with 611 communication components of emotional intelligence. So, we want to 612 look at how we can, like, reinforce things that come, you now, 613 through the TAC or other areas into the trainings that we are doing. 614 For supervisors In-Service, again, we have a much more extensive list 615 of training needs available, but some are topics to general 616 leadership like setting unit goals, building internal legitimacy and 617 procedural justice. Others are more logistical like the time keeping 618 and the IA processes, and the others are very police specific around 619 crime scene management and managing critical incident scenes. So, we 620 try to give them, like, a good variety to support them. And I'm going 621 to keep going. There's just one more main slide rather than stopping 622 since we're short on time. Over the last couple of years, we've been 623 working on building bridges between our Needs Assessment processes 624 and our training planning. So, this is just an outline to show how 625 that works. So, the Training Needs Assessment filters into the 626 majority of the strategic training planning. And so, the strategic 627 training planning is a 3-year plan, and we have a document that goes 628 on that describes that process and also our current findings. And so, 629 I have a couple copies to pass around, and then we're going to get a 630 link out to you when we get it on the website. We don't have it yet 631 on the website. And this helps us to, you know, plan out retention 632 rates. So how often do officers need training in various areas and 633 making sure that we're not missing critical skills getting refreshed 634 over time, and then also helping training sessions build off of one 635 another. So, even within a discipline, they're working on doing strategic training planning that helps - so, like, year one, they may 636 637 do one component of a critical incident or active shooter or high-638 risk vehicle stops, and then they'll do another more advance 639 component the following year. And then they're also doing a lot of 640 work within the disciplines to complement each other and integrate. 641 So, while control tactics might be doing knife defense, then in the 642 Control Procedures Training, they'll reinforce that in Scenario 643 Training. And so - and then we have - we get information from the 644 specialty units on their yearly training plans. This part is a new 645 one for us, and that feeds into the annual training plan along with

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 14 of 43

- 646 the strategic training plan. And we have a document on those on the 647 2019 Annual Training Plan. This one - it was our first year, and this 648 one is kind of a huge task because we're trying to capture all of the 649 training for tenured officers. So, we're trying to capture all of the specialty units: SERT, explosive devices, K-9, air support. They all 650 651 have, like, very specialized training for their units that they do 652 regularly. And then we also have conference attendees and other special training that's done throughout the bureau. And so, the 2019 653 654 annual training plan attempts to capture all of that plus all of the main training division offerings, so detectives In-Service, Command 655 656 In-Service, Supervisor In-Service, and General In-Service. So, that 657 is what we're up to. Sorry that was really rushed. Are there any 658 additional questions that you have? Yeah, Gary? 659 MARSCHKE: And I understand that we're on a short - so, I just - but
- 660 this is Emotional Intelligence Task Force related, so I wanted to ask 661 it.
- 662 **COVELLI:** Yeah.
- 663 MARSCHKE: On the previous slide, there was a piece of the training around reducing the stigma of accessing EAP.
- 665 COVELLI: Yes.
- MARSCHKE: Okay. My sense is that that stigma is greatest when accessing EAP for mental health concerns, trauma, et cetera. Is there a specific component that you might tell me, what does that look like, that piece. Is there a specific piece that addresses that, or is that built into other components of that supervisor training?

  HURLEY: Okay. So, I guess hold on. Let me double check to make sure I'm hearing what you're asking.
- 673 MARSCHKE: Sure.
- 674 **HURLEY:** Which is you're asking if there is a specific training we give that addresses the fact that people have a stigma to seeking EAP 676 -
- 677 MARSCHKE: Yeah. Tactics that I could use to reduce that stigma. I
  678 mean, it sounded like a was a very proactive thing, reducing stigma.
  679 HURLEY: Yes. That's exactly what that is.
- 680 MARSCHKE: Okay.
- HURLEY: It is us trying to figure out a way to make it just normal, right? To make it a culture of, "It's okay." And we actually have one of the things that the emotional task force asked about was some things of to ask (inaudible) proactive and stuff. So, I actually have a new for you. We'll discuss it next time you all have your thing, that we have our group. But just so you know, we're coming up with more to figure out how you guys can help us do exactly that.
- 688 MARSCHKE: Okay. Thanks.
- 689 MALE: Captain Hurley, maybe this is directed to you. So, a lot of 690 training obviously.
- 691 **HURLEY:** Yes.
- 692 MALE: Do you folks use, like, Kirkpatrick evaluative tools to -
- 693 **HURLEY:** Yes.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 15 of 43

694 MALE: (Inaudible).

695 HURLEY: Yeah, we use the Kirkpatrick model.

696 MALE: You do? 697 HURLEY: Yes.

715

716

717

718

719 720

721

722

723

724 725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732 733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

698 MALE: Okay. And do you use that across the entire curriculum or -699 HURLEY: Yeah. Now, having said that, I need five more of her to do -700 to truly do it all of the way through all of the levels of it, but we 701 do the best that we can. The ones that are, like, In-Service, the big 702 ones, In-Service, Supervisor In-Service, those ones we take to the 703 higher levels than we do some of the other ones. But, I mean, if we 704 could, if we had the staffing to do it, we would take all of them 705 through all of the levels of it, but it's a lot, and they haven't 706 given me five more of her yet. But, not, we do like it. It works, I 707 mean, yes.

708 MALE: Leslie, do you have a question?

709 **BRUNKER:** No, no. I was just thinking the comment that you were making earlier, Mary, about the stigma. That's a cultural issue, not really a training issue, so –

712 HURLEY: Well - sorry, please go ahead.

713 BRUNKER: Yeah. So, that's, I think, a different approach to culture, 714 changing culture.

HURLEY: But training changes culture, is one way of changing culture, right? And so, part of the stigma behind getting help or asking for help is what's the process to go through, and how many people do I have to tell, and how many people know and all of those people. So, part of the training is training about how EAP works and how people can reach out and get help with no one knowing. And the bigger issue, because I think one of the big concerns in the police bureau about seeking help is, "If I ask for mental health, are you going to transfer me, fire me? You know, everybody is going to know, so I'm going not be called, you know, the one that can never get promoted," whatever. That's a huge component of why people do not seek help. And so, a lot of the education pieces around - that's not how it happens. You can reach out and get these services where no one knows. You can reach out and ask for a modification of where you're working or maybe just a change in shift or something because of concerns that you have, and no one needs to know that except the commander that - and they don't even need to know why. EAP has the ability call a commander and say, "So and so needs to be off the street for a while, and we're willing to take him here," and the commander goes, "Okay. Go do whatever." They don't even need to know why. It's just - but a lot of people don't know that, or they don't believe that, or they don't see that. And so, to have the training so people understand that it's really that easy is just to have that phone call and then to get into help and move forward and that 10 people don't need to know why or what or how is part of the training to change the culture. And part of that too is bringing people in that have sought help within the bureau to get up in front of these people who are willing to say, "I did seek help, and I sought help X

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 16 of 43

743 number of years ago, and I went through these processes and so forth, 744 and I'm here now as a lieutenant or a sergeant or whatever, and it 745 didn't ruin my career, and no one even knew I was going through it," 746 right? So, it's those things that, training wise, right, education piece can help us change that culture. That was a long answer. Sorry. 747 748 **CAMPBELL:** Sarah?

SUNIGA: Just one question of clarification - it's Sarah Suniga by the way - about the EAP. I just got myself confused. So, for the bullet point to confirm, are you saying that right now there is a stand-alone training for that that's happening, and then the recommendations that you look for is for a future training to build on that training?

HURLEY: So, the recommendations that we've been talking about is one of the things - we're totally getting down a path here. I apologize. But the Emotional Intelligence, they have talked about what are some of the barriers to that, and that's some of the things we've been discussing in that task force and how they can help us overcome some of the barriers. So, it's not necessarily specific to training issues, right? EAP is also run out of the training division, so part of it also is in policy or in different other areas. How can we help make it easier and more accessible?

MALE: And I mentioned those other trainings, so part of that evaluation process - you know she mentioned sometimes things aren't amenable to training, and this is part of the Kirkpatrick model, is it may be that we - that there is a barrier to somebody accessing EAP. So, providing people training, "Hey, you should go to EAP," isn't necessarily going solve it or be as effective as removing that barrier which is why, sort of in the slight, Emma was talking about how sometimes things - we'll identify things in the training evaluation that aren't necessarily - that are, like, impediments to accepting the training, and then we'll try to work with people to address those.

775 SUNIGA: I just couldn't tell if it was a training currently 776 happening or a training to come, but thank you (inaudible).

777 HURLEY: It's both.

778 CAMPBELL: All right. Given the time, is there any questions towards 779 what Emma has brought to us today before we let her not have to stand 780 up here in front of everybody? All right. Well, thank you very much, 781

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764 765

766

767

768

769 770

771

772

773

774

782 **COVELLI:** Thank you. And (inaudible), I don't know if we (inaudible) 783 your question, but as we get this information, feel free to 784 (inaudible).

785 CAMPBELL: All right. For the sake of time, we have on here Training 786 Input and Challenges where there was a couple questions that came out 787 of the Emotional Intelligence Task Force that went beyond what the 788 task force was doing. For the sake of time, would it be okay if the 789 steering committee pursued those questions and then reported back to 790 the whole group that way as opposed to spending the time here on it?

791 WILDE: Sure.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 17 of 43

792 **CAMPBELL:** Would that be amenable?

793 WILDE: This is Venn Wilde again. One question on that: One of the 794 things that we wanted back was a suggestion that we form a task force 795 to look at some of the specific challenges that were raised by bureau 796 members that we interviewed around staffing and payment policies in 797 the city contract, and I was just wondering if, like, - is there a 798 reason we're not moving forward to create that task force now or -799 CAMPBELL: Well, that's the first we really - except for in the 800 document that you sent me that was a draft, that was the first I've 801 heard of the stuff with the overtime and stuff like that. 802 WILDE: I'm sure that I sent an email to the steering committee,

WILDE: I'm sure that I sent an email to the steering committee, gosh, at the last - in connection with the last TAC meeting where we had that interview and came back and said, "Hey, we're probably going to be recommending a new task force on this overtime pay thing."

806 CAMPBELL: (Inaudible).

WILDE: What I'm hearing is it didn't land, so it's going to wait?

CAMPBELL: I'll say when we move into the small group, one of the
things that is going to come up is do you think there's certain areas
that need task forces, and that would be a good place to bring that
up if that works.

812 WILDE: Will do. Thank you .

813 **CAMPBELL:** All right. So, would it be all right if we skip over this next item and have the steering committee get the answers to these three questions and then report back basically through email what the answers are? This will save some time.

817 WILDE: Sure.

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

818 **MALE:** Do we need a motion?

819 **CAMPBELL:** No. As long as nobody has any qualms about it. All right. 820 Next up, we have Use of Force summary with the Professional Standards 821 Division Team.

NIIYA: Hi. I'm the new force inspector. I'm Jeff Niiya. So, (inaudible), and I'll introduce myself. So, real quick, I'll just introduce myself. I'm the new inspector. My name is Jeff Niiya. I'm a lieutenant with the police bureau. I've been with the police bureau or over 20 years, a variety of assignments. I just took over this position on April 20th. So, basically, all of this stuff was getting worked on and done, so my team is here to back me up if there's a lot of questions. I'll have more input probably on the next quarter since I've been reviewing all of those reports and involved with those. Since this is my first one, and I'm looking for input as well, I basically just put a slide together, a slide deck, talking about the quarterly report and taking stuff right out of the executive summary. So, hopefully you have a link in your agenda items to review that. So, this is kind of putting it in a slide format based on what we had last year, end of quarter four up to quarter one. You can see we're still - there's not a huge difference in what's going on between quarters. Our citizen-initiated calls and officer-initiated calls are roughly the same from time to time. The force cases did increase by

840 about 5 percent from quarters and calls for service decreased.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 18 of 43

841 There's a lot of factors that can play into this. The wintertime 842 weather and that kind of stuff. There's a lot of things that can play 843 into that. I don't have the answers, again, because I wasn't 844 reviewing those cases, but that's from historical perspective of mine 845 being around here. That does happen a lot. The force increases and 846 custody increases, again, those are slight increases, but we are 847 seeing an increase in our missions driven by the RUs. So, the chief's 848 office has asked the precincts to do quarterly missions and focus on 849 specific areas in their precincts to address crime and livability 850 issues, and I think that's probably the reason why you're seeing this 851 because of that focused effort. As you see there, a category 4, our 852 lowest level of force, still the driver of our numbers right there. 853 This, again, is kind of going from quarter one to quarter one, 19 to 854 18, and then 19 to 18 here as well. The force facts here, I think, is 855 probably the more interesting thing. The number of force cases, 186 856 for the quarter. You know, the force incidents there by the officers, 857 255. The collection report - we have a lot more in collection. We 858 still see multiple officers involved with a single event, right? So, 859 that's why you always have this large number of FDCRs because we have multiple officers involved, which is a good thing, and many times it 860 861 has (inaudible) category 4, lower level of force, because there are 862 multiple officer there, but it does drive the numbers of the reports 863 that we have to review and read. This kind of breaks up at the 864 precinct for the quarter and where the force is being used and the 865 categories there. You see Central Precinct is kind of the higher end there. Again, that is really driven because of their quarterly 866 867 missions. The Central Precinct was kind of the starter of those 868 missions and has kind of carried that through since last year. They 869 started basically in 2018 and then carried forward with the quarterly 870 missions, and their officers were very engaged. Their missions are 871 mostly around the Old Town area and some other high crime drug areas. 872 CAMPBELL: Do you have a question, Bob?

873 NIIYA: Yes?

874 **FISCHER:** Quick question: Are the precincts roughly the same size? 875 Do they tend to the same size population?

876 NIIYA: No. I would say no, and part of this is - like, so Central Precinct or Downtown during Monday through Friday day shift, you can have a large increase of population because people coming in for work.

880 FISCHER: Oh, right. Right. Right.

NIIYA: And then on Friday and Saturday nights, we have people coming into the entertainment district and other events. So, Central varies. You can get a varying population in Central. East and North, where it's more of our homes and suburban, those are probably more steady, but I would that the demographics of the population are spread out there as well.

887 **FISCHER:** It's hard to compare the use of force in districts if the districts have different population size, but never mind.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 19 of 43

889 NIIYA: Sure. I mean, we are talking very low numbers still, I mean, 890 overall. I mean, in the size of the departments involved, we're still 891 talking very low numbers here to this quarter. In the past, I think 892 you guys have been shown about weapons and perceived arms of weapons. 893 We had 58 subjects last quarter perceived to be armed with some type 894 of weapon or were armed. We still have a pretty good percentage of 895 people that are under the influence of drugs and alcohol. Seventeen 896 percent last quarter had mental health crisis involved with them, 33 897 subjects, but out of that 17 percent, 33 subjects, you can see, 898 again, the vast majority of that was a category four, control against 899 resistance, resistant handcuffing. You know, I think some of you 900 folks are new, like me, to the meetings, so that, again, the 901 handcuffing, someone is resisting getting handcuffs put on them. That 902 would be resisting handcuffing. Control against resistance, that's 903 where many times officers are helping medical or someone else hold 904 someone down during that time period. So, those are the numbers 905 driving category four. Not knowing kind of what you guys are looking 906 for, a very short slide deck. So, feedback - I would appreciate to 907 hear back kind of what you guys would like next time in the 15 908 minutes allotted, but I can answer your questions as well, and my 909 team is here to answer questions. So, I'll let you - since you're the 910 chair, you can go first.

911 **CAMPBELL:** Frank?

912 SANTOS: Well, how much of this information is available to the 913 public and translated in a way that the public would understand? 914 NIIYA: So, all of this information is in the quarterly report, and 915 that is on our website.

916 **SANTOS:** Sure. Sure.

917 NIIYA: And then we also have the open data which people can download 918 the data as well themselves. Now, you know, understanding is 919 subjective, right?

920 **SANTOS:** Yeah. Sure.

921 NIIYA: I mean, they understand it much better than I do. There's 922 probably some people in this room that are more educated that I that 923 can understand as well. So, I think that's very subjective. I 924 couldn't answer that question.

925 **SANTOS:** Okay.

926 CAMPBELL: Community members usually don't ask questions during -927 keep any comments you have to the end. Sorry.

928 NYAMU: Yeah. So, I saw - this is Edna - one of the slides saying 929 summary report. It means there is a full report somewhere available 930 instead of just a summary that shows -

931 NIIYA: Yes. So, I believe - was there a link? Yeah. So, if you look 932 on your agenda, there was a link to the full report, and if you don't 933 have the link, you can go to the website for the Portland Police

934

Bureau in the DOJ tab. All of our reports are on there.

935 NYAMU: So, it's broken down to race, ethnicity, houseless?

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 20 of 43

- 936 NIIYA: Yes. There's much more information on there. I just I saw
- 937 on the agenda there's 15 minutes, and I wanted to make sure I
- 938 respected your guys' time.
- 939 **NYAMU:** Thank you.
- 940 NIIYA: So, yes. All of that information is available to you.
- 941 MALE: Do you present do you ever reach out to the public to
- 942 present this material apart from must making it available like that
- 943 community group that Shawn went to? Do you go make a presentation to
- 944 them on this stuff?
- 945 NIIYA: Well, since I've only been in this job for about two months,
- 946 I am unsure if the prior inspectors have. So, I took over from now
- 947 Captain Dobson who was in that position for a very long time. And I
- 948 don't know how much community outreach he did. I'm not opposed to it,
- 949 but I'm also not I'm not right now privy to what -
- 950 MALE: Yeah, I think this is the kind of following up on Frank's
- 951 comments, this is the kind of stuff that should be they should be
- 952 more forthcoming with, you know, "Well, you can read it on our
- 953 website."
- 954 HURLEY: Jeff was talking about PCCEP, and I believe that you give a
- 955 report to PCCEP -
- 956 NIIYA: PPCEP? Okay.
- 957 HURLEY: That's the community he was talking about, the group -
- 958 NIIYA: Oh, okay. I'm sorry.
- 959 HURLEY: And I believe you guys give a report to PCCEP?
- 960 FEMALE: Yes. I mean, PCCEP makes a determination of when they want
- 961 to hear about different topics. So, -
- 962 FISCHER: I'm sorry. That was Bob Fisher talking.
- 963 CAMPBELL: (Inaudible) Venn, and then we'll move.
- 964 WILDE: Venn Wilde. So, in the past, we've part of what I've seen
- 965 in reports like the ones I think you're presenting right now I'm
- 966 not sure because the format has changed a little bit but I think
- 967 this is the Use of Force Reports as we've seen quarterly. They were
- 968 supposed to be here to review. In the past, those have included a
- bupped to be here to review. In the public those have included a
- bunch of demographic breakdowns that aren't reflected on the slides you shared with us today.
- 971 NIIYA: Sure.
- 972 WILDE: And I just wanted to say, like, that's important information
- 973 for us to see because one of the things that there's a number of
- 974 TAC members who are concerned about racial inequities, for example,
- 975 in use of force, and I think I believe there are other members of
- 976 the council who think that's important for us to see in the
- 977 presentation (inaudible).
- 978 NIIYA: Okay. I appreciate the feedback, and I'll (inaudible).
- 979 **CAMPBELL:** Danielle?
- 980 DROPPERS: This is Danielle Droppers -
- 981 NIIYA: (Inaudible). Hi.
- 982 DROPPERS: Sorry. Hi. I'm going to be extremely transparent and say
- 983 that I am admittedly a little bit frustrated. We've provided a

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011 1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019 1020

1021

1022

1023

07/10/2019 Page 21 of 43

significant amount of feedback, I think, over the last several years about what we would like to see in these reports, and I think the purpose of this training advisory council is to come together to dig into these reports, and we end up spending so little time - we meet once every other month which is a very small amount of time given the enormity of the data that the Portland Police Bureau has on hand, and we don't have the benefit of sharing time and space with each other. So, I think maybe if we could help document - outline the things that we would really like to see collectively as the TAC and use the reports for the use of force. I know you don't have the benefit of hearing those prior conversations, but we've had a lot of them, and it does include the race and ethnicity data breakdown which is very important to this group as well as home - you know, the impact to homeless populations and people experiencing behavioral health issues and how they're over represented in use of force. We've also talked about the need to include use of deadly force in these reports. It's consistently left out every single time we come to meet, every time, and yet it's the most deadly type of force, and every time it's left out. So, I'm admittedly frustrated, not with you. Thank you for coming tonight. I appreciate this, but maybe if we could have a standing document so that we don't have to reiterate this every other month that we come together because it feels like a missed opportunity.

NIIYA: I will say that - thank you for that. I do know that in this quarterly report, I mean, there is officer-involved shooting information in there. I don't know if that's exactly what you are asking about. We are sometimes bound by internal processes that we don't have privy to. Our group does not investigate those reports in the detective division. So, that might be a barrier for us, but I'd be happy to look into it and see what -

DROPPERS: Yep. See, we've had that conversation too a few times.

CAMPBELL: I think that's a very good suggestion, and I'll make sure it gets carried out because I know that the office does ask us what we want to - what we want, and we don't always do the best of job telling them. So, if we have an actual document, (inaudible).

DROPPERS: Well, and when there is changeover of staff, I think it would be really helpful. And the one last thing I would say is if we could get paper copies of the full report when we meet so that even though we are getting a snapshot or just a portion or a summary of the report, we have the full report on hand. That would be really

1024 helpful, I think, a paper copy.

1025 CAMPBELL: Let's see. Walt, you had a question?

HULL: Well, I would like to just comment and reiterate what this gentleman said. I know that what you do, you have a mission statement, and you have an action plan. I would suggest that if you dig through the files of what's been going on in the past, et cetera, it's there. I would like to suggest that if it is not in your mission statement or action plan to get this material out to the public, I would suggest that this gentleman, I would agree with him that this

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 22 of 43

1033 is a real priority, and there are so much - there is so much 1034 misinformation and stereotypic understandings of the public and 1035 misunderstandings that if - if you really made an emphasis, it seems 1036 to me that you would reiterate this information to the public. I 1037 think that you could calm down a lot of reasonable people. If you 1038 accept that you have unreasonable people and reasonable people, 1039 sometimes we have to talk to the reasonable people, and I think that 1040 would really be a very, very good step forward. Quick. Quick. Quick. 1041 CAMPBELL: All right. Quick.

1042 First, you get the press office. Get reporters putting this in 1043 the newspaper. That's the simple way, isn't it?

1044 **HURLEY:** They've done that.

1045 CAMPBELL: I'd just like to state a few things because I do look at 1046 this report every time, and I look at kind of the stuff that I would 1047 like to see and the stuff that I notice, and I just wanted to name a 1048 couple of them because I thought they were interesting. One of the 1049 things that I noticed per this report is that this is the third 1050 quarter in a row where force has been down extensively compared to 1051 previous quarters, and I think that's a good thing that should be 1052 brought up to us because it's - three quarters isn't a trend yet, but 1053 it's a good thing to see, and it's good for us to know that because 1054 we can recognize the difference between trends and - another thing is 1055 pointing a firearm is down. There was only 20 this - 20 subjects had 1056 firearms pointed at in this quarter which compared to in 2015, the 1057 average per quarter was around 98. That's stuff that I would like to 1058 know about as a community member. That's a good thing. Another one 1059 that is on here is if you look at the relative percentages between 1060 the number - the members of the black community who were taken into 1061 custody versus ones who have force used against them, that disparity 1062 between the two numbers is dropping, and this is only one quarter 1063 again, and I'm hoping it lasts, but that's a big thing. It used to be 1064 where you'd see 20 percent of the custodies be black, 30 percent of 1065 the uses of force. This time it's only 2 percent different, and 1066 that's a big thing that I think the community and this group should 1067 know about. On the negative side, we've had 8 shootings in the last 1068 12 months, 5 that have ended up in death. Now, we can't - I don't 1069 think we can say necessarily here whether or not those were justified 1070 or not, but at least we should talk about it and at least have that 1071 mentioned because that is something that not only affects us as a 1072 community, but I think it affects the officers too as a bad trend 1073 because I can't imagine the mental damage that happens to an officer 1074 who has to shoot somebody. So, these are the things that I think are 1075 important when I look at this, and I think some of these facts are 1076 important.

1077 FISCHER: Well, you use the word justified. Okay, every time an

1078 officer -

1079 CAMPBELL: Let's not crawl into that hole this time.

1080 FISCHER: Let me finish.

1081 **CAMPBELL:** Okay.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 23 of 43

1082 MALE: (Inaudible).

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1083 The fact of the matter is is that every time somebody is 1084 shot, okay, there is an investigation, all right, and there is 1085 information, okay, about this shooting. That information needs to get 1086 out to the public because if we are going to rule - that is the 1087 powers that be are going to rule that this is a good shooting, okay, 1088 then that information needs to get out to the public.

1089 CAMPBELL: All right. Would anybody else like to comment on the Use 1090 of Force Report before we move on?

PAULKE: Yeah, I would like - this is John Paulke here. You know, in looking at our mission statement and some of things - and you're right, I think we could go down a rabbit hole of discussing what's justified or what isn't. I'm more interested, this being a training advisory council, as to what maybe has changed to seeing those numbers drop. Has there been changes in the way that we're training? We saw the presentation with the trends. Did we notice something and then we adjusted? Because I think that our scope here, then, would be to recommend thoughts or ideas to train differently or to hold people accountable for that training. So, if we're seeing that drop, is that an anomaly, or can we change some things?

1102 NIIYA: Yeah, and probably - honestly, again, since I'm in place for this quarter we're in right now, I'm reading all of the reports, 1103 1104 every FDCR, every after action that comes through, I'm probably going 1105 to be more engaged in that conversation next time, and I will say 1106 that I have been talking to the training division already about 1107 things that we have been seeing this quarter we're currently in. So, 1108 yes, I will probably be better prepared next time.

1109 PAULKE: No -

1110 HURLEY: So, all of the reductions in force are due to good training, 1111 and anything that's (inaudible) - I'm just saying. In all honestly, 1112 John - this is Captain Hurley for the recording - we - last year and 1113 this year are the two years that we have gone back to real skills 1114 training. We had gone to a lot of classroom training or a time in 1115 order to get caught up on some of the things that were needed. We 1116 have focused on actual skills training in the last two In-Services. I 1117 think you have noticed that the force goes down for the two things. One of the things that Emma talked about, which is just a hard 1118 1119 scientific fact, is that if officers are confident in the skills that 1120 they have, they actually use force less often and use less force 1121 because they're confident about the skills that they have to deal 1122 with whatever they are dealing with in the sense of a call and control the situation. Thank you, Bob. So, I believe - I can't 1123 1124 obviously point to anything other than numbers. I believe that based 1125 on the fact that we've increased these hands-on actual skills 1126

- 1127 PAULKE: Thank you .
- 1128 You're welcome. **HURLEY:**
- 1129 CAMPBELL: All right. Any other - yes, Christopher?

training, we're seeing the result of that.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 24 of 43

- 1130 ROSSI: Just looking - this is Chris by the way - looking through the
- 1131 full summary, I think probably one of the sections applicable to this
- 1132 group would be the out-of-policy cases, the section on that, just to
- 1133 kind of highlight areas that might need future training or trending
- 1134 there, so, for what that's worth.
- 1135 NIIYA: I can tell you for the most part, and this is (inaudible) my
- 1136 head right now.
- 1137 ROSSI: Sure.
- 1138 NIIYA: A lot of the out-of-policy things we're finding are not
- 1139 around training. It is more procedural/administrative actions by the
- 1140 officers.
- ROSSI: Okay. Sure. Sure. Perfect. 1141
- 1142 NIIYA: But if there is something, I'm more than happy (inaudible).
- 1143 ROSSI: Okay. Thank you .
- 1144 CAMPBELL: All right. Any other questions or comments on the Use of
- 1145 Force Report for this quarter? All right. Well, thank you very much.
- 1146 Thank you.
- 1147 CAMPBELL: All right. Moving on in the same vein. The patterns in the
- Use of Force Report for 2018. All right. So, just to give a little 1148
- background, the program was first put together basically last year. 1149
- 1150 It was requested that the task force basically look at the Use of
- 1151 Force Reports and do some statistical analysis to try to see if there
- 1152 is any statistical aberrations in the data, and my background is in
- 1153 economics. I used to do a lot of statistics, more than I ever wanted
- 1154 to, so I basically took a crack at it using the most basic of
- 1155 statistical tests which is known as a Z score. A Z score is a basic
- 1156 economic test that basically looks at two different groups and
- 1157 compares the things that are similar about them. So, for instance,
- 1158 here we have a group of people in green business suits, and there is
- 1159 a portion of them that had red hair. And then we had a second group
- 1160 in red business suits and a portion has red hair. Now, if we were
- 1161 asking which one of these groups had the most with red hair, it
- 1162 wouldn't really be a fair comparison because this group is obviously
- 1163 smaller than that group. So, the Z score basically uses a bunch of
- 1164 fancy math to account for the differences in sizes of populations
- that you are comparing. And the reason you do this is because if you 1165
- 1166 add one more red-shirted person, it has a much larger effect on the
- 1167 percentage than if you add one more green-shirted person just because
- 1168 there's fewer red-shirted people. Make sense? You don't have to get
- 1169 too far into how it gets done. If you ever want to get into
- 1170 statistics, just Google and read a lot. All right. So, let's start
- 1171 out here with - the first thing that I did was I compared basically
- 1172 these - what we call different attributes that people who have force
- 1173 used against them are reported to have. These include things like
- 1174 being reported to be armed, being actually armed with a weapon,
- 1175 undergoing a mental health crisis at the time, being reported as a
- 1176 transient which can either be someone who is homeless or just refuses
- 1177 to give their ID, or someone who is under the influence of drugs and
- 1178 alcohol. So, basically, with each one of these attributes, I

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 25 of 43

1179 statistically compared it to the people who had force done against 1180 them who had them versus people who had force done against them who had no - who did not have the attributes. So, for instance, with 1181 1182 Reported Armed, we're comparing people who had force that were 1183 reported armed versus all of the people who had force that were not reported armed, okay? The yellow means that the group that was - the 1184 1185 attribute group was statistically more significant and higher than 1186 the non-attribute group, and orange means that the non-attribute 1187 group was the higher of the two. So, for instance here, if you look 1188 at reported armed takedowns, it's orange which means that people who 1189 were not armed experienced more takedowns statistically than the 1190 people who were reported to be armed. Conversely, you'll look at pointing a firearm, and you'll see it's yellow which means that the 1191 1192 statistical testing showed that the more - you're more likely to have 1193 a firearm pointed at you if you're reported to be armed. Makes sense? 1194 Now, the one thing that this can't test is people who have these 1195 attributes who never had force used against them because we don't 1196 have that data which is the one limitation in this. The more 1197 interesting things that we get into are when we start looking at 1198 things like force and gender. So, in this case, we are comparing two 1199 groups, men and women, and the forces used against them. If the force 1200 is yellow, it means that men were more likely to have that force used 1201 against them. If it's orange, it means that women were more likely to have force used against them. And as you can see, basically, men are 1202 much more likely to have most types of force used against them than 1203 1204 women. In fact, the only group that women were more likely to 1205 experience was control against resistance which is a fairly low level 1206 of force. It also gets interesting when we then look at these 1207 attributes of the subject compared by gender. So, if we look, one of 1208 the things that we can see is men were more likely to be reported 1209 armed than women, the men that had force used against them that is. 1210 On the other side, women who had force used against them were more 1211 likely to be seen as undergoing a mental health crisis or under the 1212 influence of drugs and alcohol. Now, that's an interesting factor 1213 because if you actually look back at how those attributes break down 1214 and how force breaks down for those attributes, you can see that what 1215 types of force tend to get used, get used actually depend upon what 1216 type - what the officer is perceiving. So, if an officer perceives 1217 someone is being under a mental health crisis, they're less likely to 1218 pull their gun out and point it at somebody. So, if you're part of 1219 the group that is less seen as someone coming under a mental health 1220 crisis, you are more likely to have certain types of force used 1221 against you, statistically speaking. Any questions on this? I know 1222 this is a really dry thing, and I'm not the best at making it 1223 exciting. Another area that we can look at is force and race. This is 1224 the breakdown. Basically, in this one, we compared different races to 1225 the white group and saw whether or not there was a larger or less 1226 amount of force statistically speaking. From the yellow, you can see 1227 that the black and Hispanic groups had a statistically significant 1228 higher amount of force done compared to the number of custodies

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 26 of 43

1229 compared to the white group. Conversely, the Asian group and the 1230 Native American group were not statistically different. Now, if we go 1231 into the different types of force, we can see that, for instance, 1232 with the black group, controlled takedowns, pointing the firearm, resisted handcuffing, and control against resistance and pit stops 1233 1234 were all statistically more likely to be used on those groups being 1235 taken into custody. With the Hispanic group, it was takedowns, baton 1236 non-strike, and hit stops. 1237 It looks like aerosol restraints - this is Venn. WILDE: 1238 CAMPBELL: Oh, yeah. Yep, sorry, and aerosol restraint. Thank you. 1239 And as well, even though the Asian group was statistically overall 1240 not any different from the white group, we do see that within the 1241 different types of force, controlled against resistance and baton 1242 non-strike were both more likely to be used on that group for this 1243 year. Now, we also looked at use of force per subject by race and 1244 call type. So, we're asking the question if you are Hispanic, are you 1245 more likely to have force used against you if you are say on a 1246 dispatched call versus basically a self-initiated call, and no

- 1247 statistical difference was found. Another thing that we found was, 1248 again, looking at those secondary attributes, we found that members
- 1249 of the black community were less likely to be seen to be undergoing
- 1250 mental health crises, were less likely to be seen under the influence
- 1251 of drugs and alcohol. Now, both of these things are based upon
- 1252 officer perception, and, again, these can affect what types of forces
- get used, so it's interesting to look at. In the Hispanic group, we can see that the Hispanic group was less likely to be seen as
- 1255 transient but more likely to be armed, and the Asian group was more
- 1256 likely to be seen under the influence of drugs and alcohol.
- 1257 **FEMALE:** Can you remind me what (inaudible)?
- 1258 **CAMPBELL:** It is the stopping maneuver where you hit the back of the 1259 car and turn it around. I can't remember the exact acronym name.
- 1260 MALE: Pursuit in transition?
- 1261 HURLEY: Intervention.

1277

1262 CAMPBELL: Is it intervention? Thank you. Now, one of the important 1263 things that we have to remember though is that this is only a single 1264 year's data which means that certain years can have - basically, we 1265 can have lower numbers for overall force. You can have certain years 1266 that you get higher. It's basically just like if you roll a dice a 1267 thousand times, you're going to get all six numbers at a fairly even 1268 percentage because that's how probability works, but if you only roll 1269 a dice 50 times, you have a higher chance of a certain number showing 1270 up more often. So, to combat that, basically what I did was I looked 1271 at multiple years for those areas of concern based upon race. So, for 1272 instance, this top one right up here is the multi-year analysis of 1273 the overall force for the black group comparing force to custodies, 1274 black versus white, and we can see for each of the past four years, 1275 that has been statistically significant, and what that tells you is 1276 this is something that should probably be looked more into because

it's not just something that appeared one year and then disappeared.

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 27 of 43

1278 It's an area of concern. If we look at the black group with pointing 1279 a firearm, we see again - all four years. We look at the other groups 1280 such as the Hispanic group, we only have two years. Aerosol restraint 1281 for the Hispanic group, just a single year. Strike (inaudible) for 1282 the Asian group, only a single year. So, these are things that 1283 suggest we really don't need to look at them yet because it might 1284 just be a blip this single year. Multiple years creates trends, and 1285 trends are where the concerns are. So, using that, what I did next 1286 was I actually went into the area of concern that I could have enough 1287 data to actually do stuff which was the pointing of the firearm for 1288 the African American group. And I broke that data down, and I did statistical analysis to try to identify areas of difference within 1289 1290 that subgroup. So, the first thing I looked at was, okay, if we're 1291 looking at white and black, pointing a firearm, is there any 1292 difference based upon where the officer is stationed, what precinct 1293 they were a part of, and when looking at the data, it showed that for 1294 the various precincts, there was no statistical difference in the 1295 number of either group that pointing a firearm - experienced pointing 1296 a firearm. However, we did see there was a statistical difference in 1297 other departments with the African American group more likely to 1298 experience pointing a firearm from a member of one of the other 1299 departments. However, if you look at actually breaking those down to 1300 the other departments, none of the numbers are big enough to actually 1301 do a statistical analysis. So, that just might be a data aberration 1302 that might actually be something we don't know. Another thing we looked at is the pointing of firearm incidents for the number of 1303 1304 officers. How many incidents was an officer involved in in a year? 1305 Yes, Venn?

1306 WILDE: This is Venn Wilde. Shawn, I just want to check that I'm 1307 understanding correctly and offer this sort of summary of what you're 1308 explaining with these individual close looks. So, the thing you just 1309 talked about of precinct and department data, I believe what you're 1310 saying is you looked to see if there was an influence of which 1311 precinct or department the officer was in in terms of that 1312 influencing whether, you know, it was this precinct or that precinct 1313 or this department or that department was more likely to be a source 1314 of the disproportionate pointing of firearms at black people in

- 1315 Portland. Is that -
- 1316 CAMPBELL: Yeah, I wouldn't say source, more was there a
- 1317 statistically significant difference in the number.
- 1318 WILDE: So, is it happening more in one of these places than another?
- 1319 CAMPBELL: Right. Right.
- 1320 **WILDE:** That's what you're looking at?
- 1321 CAMPBELL: Yes.
- 1322 WILDE: Is it does it seem to be associated with a precinct, and
- 1323 you're going to look at does it seem to be associated with this?
- 1324 **CAMPBELL:** Right.
- 1325 **WILDE:** Does it seem to be associated with this?
- 1326 CAMPBELL: Yes.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 28 of 43

- 1327 WILDE: Because you're trying to narrow in, "Where is this coming
- 1328 from?"
- 1329 CAMPBELL: Right. In the end, this data can't explain what is
- 1330 happening. It can just give ideas of places to look.
- 1331 WILDE: Yeah, you're turning over rocks and seeing -
- 1332 CAMPBELL: Right.
- 1333 Shawn, I have a question GERRITSEN:
- 1334 **CAMPBELL:** Yes.
- 1335 GERRITSEN: For clarification. So, when I see "Other Departments,"
- 1336 and then you have "Officer Other Departments." So, are you saying
- 1337 other departments not Portland Police Bureau?
- 1338 CAMPBELL: I'm not sure because that's -
- 1339 GERRITSEN: You're meaning other units within the Portland Police
- 1340 Bureau?
- 1341 CAMPBELL: I'm not sure because that's how it's reported within the
- 1342 department.
- 1343 SERT is another department.
- 1344 HURLEY: It's other units.
- 1345 GERRITSEN: It's another unit. So, it's confusing because the words
- 1346 "Other Departments" for me and maybe for some others suggests not
- 1347 Portland, suggests, you know, another department somewhere.
- 1348 CAMPBELL: I agree. It is confusing. To be honest, I use that because
- 1349 that's directly how it's reported within the raw data that is
- 1350 provided.
- 1351 GERRITSEN: Got you. Okay. I thought that's where Venn was coming
- 1352 from. That's why I was just clarifying.
- 1353 WILDE: No.
- 1354 GERRITSEN: No? Okay. Never mind.
- 1355 CAMPBELL: All right. So, any other questions? Okay. So, we also
- 1356 tested to see if, for instance, officers who might be involved in
- 1357 more than one incident over a year if there was any statistical
- 1358 difference in that, and there wasn't. Basically, there were officers
- 1359 who were involved in multiple pointing-of-firearm incidents over
- 1360 time, but there was no statistical difference based upon race. Then
- 1361 we looked at the tenure of the officers who were the ones who pointed
- 1362 the firearm, and we saw that within, there was actually a difference
- 1363 where officers earlier in their career were more likely to point
- 1364 their firearms at members of the black community, and officers later
- 1365 in their career were more likely to point their firearms at the
- members of the white community. Breaking down that 0-9 nine years so 1366
- 1367 that the sweet spot was 7-9 years where there was a statistical
- 1368 difference. Now, we've got to be careful with this kind of stuff
- because there are a lot of other factors in there we don't know. For 1369
- 1370 instance, this might just be basically because of a certain number of
- 1371 officers in a certain part of their career are more likely to do a
- 1372 certain type of work compared to others or be stationed or getting
- 1373 certain shifts or whatever else. We don't know. Another thing that we
- 1374 looked at were the ages of the subjects' who had the firearms pointed

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 29 of 43

1375 at them, and statistically, we saw that members of the black 1376 community were more likely to be young when they had firearms pointed at them such as age 19 and younger and 20-29. Conversely, the white 1377 1378 group was more likely to have firearms pointed at older members, 40-1379 49 in age was the statistical sweet spot. Officers pointing firearms 1380 at each subject, so for instance, if we had multiple officers 1381 pointing firearms at a single subject, we saw no statistical 1382 difference in that between race. So, two officers pointing a firearm 1383 at a single subject, three or more officers - there was no difference 1384 between whether that was a member of the white community or the black 1385 community. Similarly, we saw no difference in the resistance recorded 1386 by the officer at the time of the incident. So, within the Use of 1387 Force Report, the actual report was the subject they used force 1388 against. Were they passive, were they aggressive, were they active, 1389 or where they deadly? In taking those into account, we see no 1390 statistical difference between what type of - whether or not firearms 1391 were pointed at them based upon any of those factors. We also looked 1392 at - they reported the distance that they saw themselves being 1393 between them and the subject at the time of the use of force, and we 1394 see no statistical difference until we get to 31 feet and over at 1395 which point people who are black who had firearms pointed at them 1396 were statistically more likely to have firearms pointed at them at a 1397 longer distance than people who weren't. Any questions on those four? 1398 Yes?

1399 CARLSON: Yeah. This is Sara Carlson. So, this is a question in the 1400 firearms training, and my expertise was, like, the one hour I spent 1401 in firearms training, but isn't it at a certain distance, you would use the - like, you would go from the Glock to a different weapon? Am 1402 1403 I remembering, that right?

HURLEY: So, not in the sense of a fire - a deadly firearm, right, but a less lethal? Yes. The less lethals change depending on the distance. And fire - well, that's not true. An AR rifle is going to do a much greater distance, right, than a handgun. We would be more likely to take that shot with an AR than with a handgun, and even with a shotgun, depending on your ability, right, would may be a more accurate weapon at a distance.

1411 CARLSON: Okay. And would you - because a situation was more, like, 1412 potentially dangerous, then you're going to be further back -

1413 Of course. **HURLEY:** 

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1414 CARLSON: And therefore, you're going to have the AR?

1415 HURLEY: Absolutely. And what the call is, right? So, if you have a 1416 call with a subject with a weapon and they're in X location and you 1417 know that you - so, they're in an apartment or they're in some place 1418 where you have distance, or even they're, you know, from a house and 1419 they're shooting from a house or they're pointing out of the house. 1420 We're going to take cover if that's available and use an AR which is 1421 a much more accurate weapon at a distance than a handgun is. We don't

1422 always have an AR available. Not everyone carries one, right? We

1423 would call for one, right?

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 30 of 43

1424 CARLSON: Okay.

1425 HURLEY: I mean, we would also call for a less lethal. So, if you 1426 know that you have somebody within a park, and you are going to try 1427 and take them into custody, and they may or may not have a weapon or 1428 they're acting in a manner that you feel like you can't go hands on with them, right? A less lethal like our 40mm, you can touch them at 1429 1430 a much greater distance than using a taser or an ASP baton or mace, 1431 right? So, based on how close we can or can't or want to get to 1432 somebody will dictate the tool that we use to try to take them into

1433 custody.

1441

1442

1443

1470

1471

1434 **CARLSON:** Okay. 1435 **CAMPBELL:** Bob?

1436 **FISCHER:** So, the pointing of firearms includes the AR, it includes 1437 the handgun, and it includes the 40mm? It does not include the 40mm? 1438 **HURLEY:** It should - I believe - yeah, it does not include any - it does not include any less lethal.

COATES: So, I- this is Dave Coates. I realize this might be a

firearm? It's not just drawing the gun from the holster?

(inaudible) question. Pointing a firearm is physically pointing the

1440 **FISCHER:** Okay.

1444 That's correct. HURLEY: 1445 **COATES:** Okay. 1446 CAMPBELL: Okay. The last piece of data that we looked at were the 1447 attributes of the subjects pointing the firearms. So, one of the 1448 questions we had were, for instance, were members of the black 1449 community more likely to be armed than members of the white 1450 community? And we saw no statistical difference in whether or not 1451 they were armed; however, we did see a statistical difference in the number of times they were reported to be armed. So, basically, 1452 1453 members of the black community were more and more likely to be 1454 incorrectly reported to be armed compared to the white community, 1455 which is important because if you're an officer, you're basically 1456 going into the situation on the information you have going in, and if 1457 someone is reported to be armed, that is going to cause you to react 1458 differently than if they're not reported to be armed. Another thing 1459 that we noticed was that members of the black community who had 1460 firearms pointed at them were more likely to be involved in arrest 1461 misdemeanor compared to the white group and less likely to be 1462 released to medical or escape. Obviously, there are a lot of factors, 1463 and those are some fairly small numbers, so I'm not sure how much I'd 1464 look into those. The final thing that we asked was is there a 1465 difference between whether or not the calls were self-initiated or 1466 dispatched for the white and black members of the community who had 1467 firearms pointed at them, and it was shown that the members of the 1468 black community who had firearms pointed at them were statistically 1469 more likely to be because of dispatched calls while the white group

was statistically more likely to be because of self-initiated calls.

So, there was an interesting difference there.

1472 **WILDE:** Shawn, this is Venn -

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 31 of 43

- 1473 **CAMPBELL:** Yes.
- 1474 WILDE: I want you to, because there's people in the room who might
- 1475 not know the difference between what that difference is. Can you
- 1476 lay it out for us, or do you want someone else to?
- 1477 CAMPBELL: Dispatched is basically the 911 calls, and there's some
- 1478 other stuff thrown in there, but that's the basic premise of it. They
- 1479 are someone is calling the officer and saying, "We need you to go
- 1480 there and do this."
- 1481 WILDE: So, you're saying that it's much more likely that we have an
- 1482 officer who is called on by a member of our community somebody
- 1483 calls 911, the officer goes out, they encounter a black member of our
- 1484 community, and it's much more that's the situation where they're
- 1485 more likely to encounter pointing a firearm at a black member of our
- 1486 community?
- 1487 **CAMPBELL:** Yes.
- 1488 WILDE: Whereas when an officer shows up and points a firearm at a
- 1489 white member of our community, it's much more likely that the officer
- 1490 initiated that interaction?
- 1491 CAMPBELL: Yes.
- 1492 MALE: Let's back up a step also. How many of those calls, dispatched
- 1493 calls, it was reported that the individual was armed or wasn't?
- 1494 WILDE: Yep. That's -
- 1495 CAMPBELL: We can break that down, but that is part of what the data
- 1496 shows is members of the black community are more likely to be falsely
- 1497 reported to be armed, and if you combine that with the dispatch it
- 1498 doesn't necessarily mean they are together because you have to look
- 1499 at the data together for that, but it does bring something that does
- 1500 warrant more looking into. Yes, Frank?
- 1501 SANTOS: So, just basing this is Frank. It may seem completely
- 1502 unrelated, but some of the things I'm learning about police work is
- 1503 that there seems to be an extreme amount of, for lack of better word,
- 1504 paperwork associated with use of force. I did some research, and I
- 1505 actually talked with officers -
- 1506 **GERRITSEN:** That's a good word.
- 1507 HURLEY: That's a good word.
- 1508 SANTOS: No, I actually talked with officers about the amount of
- 1509 hours that they spend on a single use of force incident -
- 1510 HURLEY: Yes.
- 1511 SANTOS: And I know there are many variables that contribute to use
- 1512 of force, so I'm not saying this is but systemically, does internal
- 1513 process and administration affect this in any way?
- 1514 HURLEY: I don't know statistically if I can say that. Do I think it
- 1515 has? Yes.
- 1516 SANTOS: Okay. So, the simple fact that an officer may have to spend
- 1517 3-4 hours, and that's not an exaggeration -
- 1518 HURLEY: No, it's not an exaggeration.
- 1519 SANTOS: On paperwork -
- 1520 **HURLEY:** Right.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 32 of 43

- 1521 **SANTOS:** Could indirectly influence their decision on use of force?
- 1522 **HURLEY:** Yes.
- 1523 SANTOS: Oh, wow. I don't think most people know that that an officer
- 1524 actually is making a decision at times to not use use of force not
- 1525 simply for protection but because of paperwork?
- 1526 HURLEY: Yes.
- 1527 SANTOS: Oh, my gosh.
- 1528 **FEMALE:** And going to court.
- 1529 **CAMPBELL:** Walt?
- 1530 HULL: Well, I think it's also important it's also important for us
- 1531 to realize that we accept the fact that the 911 call that goes out,
- 1532 right? That if there is a weapon reported, okay, the 911 people don't
- 1533 make that up.
- 1534 CAMPBELL: No, of course.
- 1535 HULL: All right? That's important. They do not make that up, all
- 1536 right?
- 1537 CAMPBELL: I don't think anyone thinks that.
- 1538 HULL: So, the fact is that the people that are actually out there
- 1539 involved in this incident, okay, they're calling 911. 911 is
- 1540 reporting to the officer of what is what they know of is happening
- 1541 on the ground. And so, they're arriving, okay, with information that
- 1542 they absolutely believe is true, and there's no we have to accept
- 1543 that.
- 1544 **CAMPBELL:** Bob?
- 1545 FISCHER: I think what you're saying is there's a cultural element
- 1546 here that's misperceiving the dangers of different people.
- 1547 CAMPBELL: Yes.
- 1548 **FISCHER:** That people who are calling for officer help are the ones
- 1549 that are saying, "Wait a minute. We think this person is armed." It's
- 1550 not the officer themselves who is -
- 1551 CAMPBELL: Correct. It's not the 911. It's not the officer. It's the
- 1552 people who make the call.
- 1553 FISCHER: It's the people who make the call. That's right.
- 1554 CAMPBELL: That fits as well if we actually look at what types of
- 1555 calls result in that type of force. It's more statistically likely
- 1556 that a member of the black community is going to have a call of
- 1557 disorder against them that results in a pointing of firearm. And if
- 1558 we actually break it down, you can look at these thing the ones
- 1559 that really stand out are things such as disturbance, things like
- 1560 that. So, there's definitely, I believe, probably a community biased
- 1561 involvement in this.
- 1562 WILDE: This is Venn again. There is absolutely a community bias
- 1563 involved with this. I have not seen a clearer picture painted with
- 1564 numbers, and this is suggesting two things to me, just loud and clear
- 1565 on the screen here. One, is scenario-based training, and maybe you're
- 1566 already doing this, but scenario-based training specifically around
- 1567 the falsely reported weapon on a dispatched call involving a member
- 1568 of our black community.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 33 of 43

1569 HURLEY: So, I'm not disagreeing - this is Captain Hurley. We cannot 1570 go into a call after being told that a weapon is possible or should 1571 be there and assume that it is not because statistically it may not 1572 be there. We have got to go into the call for the safety of the 1573 officer and the safety of the community that we are sworn to protect 1574 with the assumption that the information that we're given over a 911 1575 call is accurate. 1576 You can't second guess that call. 1577 I cannot second guess that. HURLEY: 1578 Not in any instance. MALE:

1579 MALE: Too dangerous to second guess.

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606

1607

1608

1609

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1580 WILDE: So, this is Venn again. I hear people speaking to the dangers 1581 of second quessing that, and I appreciate how important that is that 1582 the officers be trained to rely on the information they're getting 1583 from 911, and I think that a component of the implicit bias training 1584 might be an awareness of the biases of the public and then to know 1585 that "I'm on a dispatched call, and yes I need to be ready because 1586 I'm told there's weapon here, and as soon as I see that this is a 1587 black member of our community, I need to think to myself for a 1588 moment, like, there might be something else going on here." And it's 1589 one of those, "How can I use my control tactics to play for time to 1590 get a better picture of the scenario because I know that I'm in this 1591 situation that these numbers are pointing to." 1592

It would be inappropriate for an officer to assume at a call based on the color of the person that we are getting called on to make a determination of whether or not we pull our weapon or do anything in the sense of the use of force. We will react off the person that we are called on. The citizen that we are dealing with and their reaction to us will dictate how we react. If we get a call that there is a weapon, possible that there is a weapon involved, we may point our firearm. If that citizen, regardless of color, creed, or anything else, follows the direction that we give them, which is showing us their hands and where they are, that weapon will go away, and then we'll have a conversation. The piece that I think you're asking for, which we already train and we have scenarios based on it, is if you get that call where they're - in fact, we just recently had a scenario of this. They're playing ball in the park, and they get the call about the fact that there is a disturbance in the park, and the officer shows up, and there's a bunch of black kids playing ball. You're not going to pull your weapon on that call. You're going to say, "Hey, what are you guys doing? Oh, you're playing ball. Great." In fact, we had this scenario, right? And then the officer has a conversation about playing ball with the kids. They can show up on a call and see this isn't a disturbance. The kid are playing ball, right? And that's the expectation. And then for them to understand, "Okay, a community member called. I'm not going to kick them off playing ball. This is just kids playing ball." That is where we can recognize that the caller has a bias that is inappropriate, and we're going to react to that appropriately. It would be absolutely

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 34 of 43

- 1618 inappropriate for us to go to a call that has a weapon and for us,
- 1619 based on the color of the person, to change the tactics or the way we
- 1620 respond to them then.
- 1621 MALE: Exactly.
- 1622 WILDE: Thank you. And I- the second thing that is jumping off the
- 1623 screen to me, and I think it connects with a lot of what you are
- 1624 speaking to, is and this may be a much broader question is the idea
- 1625 that the bureau could engage in a public education campaign around
- 1626 implicit bias and that because you're getting that data from the
- 1627 public, and our community needs change because there's people who are
- 1628 being harmed because of the color of their skin and that's terrible.
- 1629 It needs to end.
- 1630 MALE: And I didn't mean to interrupt you, Venn, but I -
- 1631 CAMPBELL: Sylvia is before, and then we'll move forward here.
- 1632 **MALE:** Okav.
- 1633 ZINGESER: My question is this is all going to get reported. So, when
- 1634 the officers make their report on a call like that they weren't -
- 1635 there wasn't a gun involved, when they make the report, do they
- 1636 report in their report that they were given a call from 911 that came
- 1637 in from a community member that the community member said there was a
- 1638 gun? So, I'm talking about collecting the data from the community
- 1639 member calling 911. I mean, maybe this could also be collected at
- 1640 911. You see where I'm going?
- 1641 HURLEY: So, the data is collected about the call saying that there
- 1642 is a person who is armed -
- 1643 **ZINGESER:** Right.
- 1644 HURLEY: And then the data is collected after the call is over about
- 1645 whether a weapon was found or not. So, yes, that data already exists.
- 1646 ZINGESER: You see what I'm saying. Yeah. So, that we can see.
- 1647 HURLEY: Now, you can't always statistically say, though, that that
- 1648 was an inappropriate call, the person wasn't armed. There is a
- 1649 possibility that the weapon was gotten rid of by the time the officer
- 1650 showed up, right?
- 1651 ZINGESER: That's true.
- 1652 HURLEY: I mean, so you can't go back to that citizen and say, "You
- 1653 were wrong" because they might not have been.
- 1654 MALE: There were some weird played things too where I think, you
- 1655 know, we've all seen that where, like, to get police there quickly,
- 1656 certain parts of the community like to say there's a gun because they
- 1657 know that, like, they'll have to wait a long time. So, you get these
- 1658 things where people will be, like, "There's a disturbance with a
- 1659 gun," and you can never prove it, but I know having been to those,
- 1660 we're all kind of you get there, and you sort it out, and you're,
- 1661 like, "Boy, I wonder if that was just a move because they knew if
- 1662 they said that there's somebody being loud out here that we would
- 1663 take four hours to get there, but if they say there's a disturbance
- 1664 and somebody says they have a because that's where the bulk of
- 1665 those are. It's, like, "I'm hearing a disturbance, and somebody says
- 1666 they have a gun," and then you're, like, "Wow. What do I do now?"

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

1668

1669 1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675 1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684 1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

1691 1692

1693

1694

1695

1696

1697

1698

07/10/2019 Page 35 of 43

1667 HURLEY: And then we have to respond faster.

PAULKE: This is John Paulke again. I think you hit it too. I think the same thing is jumping off the screen to me is that we're really dealing with three biases in each one of these situations. You have the officer showing up on the scene that brings their biases, and we can impact that training in this group. The bigger issue that's harder that you brought up is the community, the people that are making the call. And then, you know, I think people react differently when confronted by the police as well. And those three different things are coming together. How do we impact outside of this room or the department? I don't have an answer for that. This is three different people all putting their biases out there, and some of the people have guns.

HURLEY: One of the - Captain Hurley. One of the things that we have done in the sense of impacting kind of the reaction to is the YSDV services division has gone into the schools and talked to the youth about how to react to an officer when they show up. So, when an officer comes and asks you to see their hands, why are we asking that question. If you do these things, this is how an officer is going to react. If you get stopped on a traffic stop, if you do these things, then that will calm the whole situation down. And we've had those trainings for the youth because a lot of times they just don't understand when an officer says X, well he's just being rude. No, no, no. Here is why he's asking. And a lot of - it's just the understanding. A lot of times, if people just understand why we do what we do, they're happy to comply with what we're asking because they understand what it is. We also train our officers to explain, right? "I'm asking you to do this because I'm worried about this or that." So, there's education on both sides of that. However, for us to educate the entire public on this is a little - I mean, that's - I don't have the staffing for that. Sorry.

**CAMPBELL:** Edna.

1699 NYAMU: Yeah. So, I mean, as a black woman sitting and listening here 1700 here, and I have a son that I'm always concerned even as I'm sitting 1701 here knowing what to happen when someone just call, make a phone 1702 call, 911 and the police is coming just because my son is walking in 1703 a neighborhood that maybe this person never knew that we lived here 1704 and is talking to my son and asking question, like, what are you 1705 doing here, but we live there. I mean, do the police take the time to 1706 ask, "Why are you calling" "What is wrong with this boy walking 1707 around?" I mean, those questions before you just drive out and then 1708 comes stopping the boy who is just walking and then (inaudible) 1709 questioning and then, you know, the reaction of that boy also can be 1710 different. I think that we are talking with life experience here 1711 that's very different, and it's good to understand that we really 1712 support, and we want police officers to be safe and come when we 1713 call, but some stupid phone calls, that don't make any sense. It's 1714 misusing of resources. It's good to find out maybe those people as 1715 they call, and just because someone is walking or dropping a flyer -

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

1718

1719

1720

1721

1722

1723

1724

1725

1726

1727

1728

1729

1730

1731

1732

1733

1734

1735

1736

1737

1738

1739

1740 1741

1742

1743

1744

1745

1746

1747

1748

1749

1750

1751

07/10/2019 Page 36 of 43

they should be also accountable. Like, don't just take some phone calls like that.

So, part of the issue with that - totally agree with you, HURLEY: right? And it is a misuse of resources in my opinion, but BOEC, which takes the 911 call, is not the Portland Police Bureau. I don't train them. I don't control them. They do not run under the same bureau. They're not even under the same commissioner. So, for them to take the 911 call and ask the right questions to determine whether the officer then gets dispatched, I have no control over whatsoever. Yeah. Right? I know. And in a lot of agencies in a lot of cities, those are married. There are actually police officers up there helping train and work and take those phone calls. That's not the case with the City of Portland. And so BOEC has a different mission kind of, right? Theirs is to take the call and send the officer. It's not always to flush out when not to send an officer, right? And so, I have absolutely no control over how or when - we just have to respond when they tell us to respond.

MALE: But to get to your point, so sergeants on the - it's gotten really bad, and it's a significant frustration for officers. They just send them to everything. We had - what was it? It was, like, basically, sergeants have now started cancelling calls. So, we cancelled 20,000 calls last year. The sergeants - and it is sort of those kind of calls where it's, like, "No, that's not" - and so, sergeants will just get on the air and say, "We're not going to that." We got to the point where we actually were sticking a sergeant in the dispatch center just to say no, like, "No, no, no." It was, like, 20-30,000 calls. The other point I wanted to raise because I think it's really important just generally is if you look at our Pointing of Firearms is about a quarter of what it used to be, and that's neat because that's - like, that benefits everybody. You know what I mean? It's let's - so, our pointing - and we have adjusted our tactics. We've sort of changed how we do some things to reduce those numbers, to drive that number down, and that is a real, real, I think, really related to some of this stuff that the firearms folks are doing with their training techniques so that we point fewer guns at everybody. And sometimes that's, like, you know - I mean, that's just good, generally, I guess.

1752 1753 HURLEY: And for TAC to understand, too, the sergeant position that 1754 sits up there in BOEC to help - to get rid of those calls that are 1755 not crimes - I mean, that's really what he's asking for, right? 1756 People call and say, "There's a guy lying on the sidewalk. I need the 1757 police." Is he committing a crime, right? What is he doing that the 1758 police need to come? That's what that sergeant's job is for. That is 1759 an unfunded position. So, they have allowed it to go up there right 1760 now as a pilot project to see the kind of calls. It will not - and, 1761 in fact, I'm not sure it's still there. It was a pilot project. I'm 1762 not sure it still exists. I think it might have gone away because it 1763 was unfunded through - for the police bureau budget, and we took, 1764 just FYI, a \$4 million cut this year from City Council. And so, that

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 37 of 43

position is going to go away, and it's unfortunate because that's what that position was for is because we are getting sent to way more calls than we need to go to because there's not crime being committed. People just call.

1769 CAMPBELL: Danielle?

1770

1771

1772

1773

17741775

1776

1777

1778

1779

1780 1781

1782

1783

1784

1785

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790

1791

1792

1793

1794

1795

1796

1797

1798

1799

1800

1801 1802

1803

1804

1805

1806

1807

1808

1809

1810

DROPPERS: This is Danielle. I think, yes. We are in a time, literally, where we have passed a bill in the Oregon legislature - I don't know if you all are familiar with this bill that just got passed. I had to look up the number because I don't remember it. It's 3216, House Bill 3216 where you can actually try to fine somebody for making these kinds of false accusations. And this is because we are in a time where - this came out of - Representative Janelle Bynum was out campaigning and got the - who is the only black woman in our Oregon legislature - who got the police called on her for going out and knocking doors to meet the people in her district. So, that is a reality, and we most definitely have a lot of racism that exists in our communities. Absolutely. I totally agree with that. I also don't want to lose sight of the fact that there is a slide up here in this summary that hits really hard that we cannot lose sight of that at the end of the day even though officers know what they are getting into, they're making the choice to use force. And I'm not just talking about pointing a firearm. It's the use of force, multiple different kinds of force, against black people. Consistently, they are overrepresented. Statistically speaking, your Z scores - like, we cannot ignore this. And I also want to remind us that these are not just numbers. These are not just Z scores. These are kids. This is not the kind of community that I want my kids to grow up in. This is not the kind of community that I want my friend's families, like Edna, to have to walk around and worry about her son being harmed by the people who are supposed to protect her. Like, we cannot lose sight of this. And so, I want to say thank you so much to Shawn for taking the time to do this statistical breakdown and present this to us because I think that this is exactly the kind of conversations that we need to be having, and I hope that in our next meeting that we can maybe start talking about solutions to some of these really pressing problems. Given the - I think that there are some much better ways of having community together, and I don't think that officers want it this way. I don't think families and communities want it this way, and I think collectively, we can get there and find some solutions, but we have to start talking about it and stop turning away from it because every time it comes up, we take the off ramp to talk about something else.

**CAMPBELL:** That's actually one of the reasons I made sure to point out this 2019 quarter one where that difference number because if that number continues through the next three quarters, this year won't be yellow, and that would be a huge deal. Bob?

1811 FISCHER: Captain Hurley, you mentioned that extensive reporting is

1812 required, and maybe it's having officers sober up a little bit before

1813 they do some force (inaudible) -

IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 38 of 43

- 1814 HURLEY: I hope that they are (inaudible).
- 1815 FISCHER: Figuratively speaking. Is the reporting requirements of
- 1816 officers around use of force likely to change after the DOJ agreement
- 1817 is finished or whatever?
- 1818 HURLEY: Mary Claire could probably answer that better -
- 1819 FISCHER: I mean, are you - is this largely DOJ driven reporting?
- 1820 FEMALE: Yes. It is required by the settlement agreement.
- 1821 FISCHER: Okay. So, what seems to be maybe a good thing is likely to
- 1822 go away after this DOJ -
- 1823 HURLEY: No.
- 1824 BUCKLEY: No.
- 1825 MALE: Use of force had dropped five years in a row before the DOJ
- 1826 arrived. Use of force was dropped - use of force had been cut by 50
- 1827 percent between 2007 and the time the DOJ arrived.
- 1828 FISCHER: I'm following up on Captain Hurley's comment that it
- 1829 probably is a factor. Did I misunderstand you?
- 1830 HURLEY: Well, I think you're taking it out of context. He's
- 1831 explaining that we had already reduced force for five years running
- 1832 before DOJ came.
- 1833 And it increased reporting requirements before the DOJ came. MALE:
- 1834 **HURLEY:** Right.
- 1835 MALE: And that was - that was something that was really lost on the
- 1836 public and was a little bit frustrating for me was we had cut the
- 1837 volume of force in half between about 2007 and 2011, and all of these
- 1838 - like, if you're looking for causation - if you're doing a
- statistical analysis and you're looking at causation, timing is 1839
- 1840 important. So, if you see a trend, like you see this a lot when
- people talk about jobs or whatever. If you see a trend going up, but 1841
- 1842 it predates whatever your intervention is and then it continues to go
- 1843 up after the intervention, it's very difficult to attribute causation
- 1844 to that intervention because the trend prior to the intervention was
- 1845 what was going on. Well, prior to the DOJ coming in, force was going
- 1846 down. The DOJ came in, force continued to go down. It's very
- 1847 difficult then to attribute that causation to the presence of the DOJ
- 1848 because the actual phenomena you're observing was occurring prior to
- 1849 the DOJ's arrival.
- 1850 CAMPBELL: A good comparison would be it's hard to make direct
- 1851 comparisons because that data was collected over time, but 2008/2007,
- 1852 probably there was about 250 people who had firearms pointed at them
- 1853 per quarter. This quarter, it's 20.
- 1854 HURLEY: Right. But as he was explaining, that was going down prior
- 1855 to (inaudible).
- 1856 CAMPBELL: That's why I say in 2008. It was before the settlement
- agreement that this trend started. 1857
- 1858 HURLEY: And that's training. We change, right? We have changed over
- 1859 the years how we train and how we react to different calls that we go
- 1860 to. I mean, that's - nationwide, I'm sure it's changed to some
- 1861 degree.

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

1908

1909

1910

07/10/2019 Page 39 of 43

1862 FISCHER: Training and policy changes in there for the officers or 1863 what do you call them? 1864 **HURLEY:** Lots of changes. MALE: But it is a little bit unfair to the officers who have been, 1865 1866 over the past decade, have - you know, people talk, "Oh, nothing ever changes," and people get all upset. Well, our, like, pointing 1867 1868 firearms is down, like, 90 percent in the last decade, and it is a 1869 little bit, I think, unfair to the officers who are working really 1870 hard to make those changes, that nobody ever recognizes that. Nobody 1871 knows that officers point firearms 90 percent less than they did a 1872 decade ago. And so, if you want Officers - like, if you're asking 1873 people to change, right, and you're saying, "Oh, the culture needs to 1874 change, and this needs to change, and we need all these changes," and 1875 the people make all of those changes, but then nobody ever recognizes 1876 that the changes were made. 1877 FISCHER: No. As Walter and I have been saying, you guys are not 1878 getting that information out. 1879 Exactly. **HULL:** 1880 FISCHER: Don't say, "Oh, they're not recognizing us." Come on. 1881 You're not reaching out enough on this stuff. 1882 MALE: I don't know. I can show you - I did a survey with PSU. We did 1883 a survey on this, and I can send it to the group. We put out the 1884 survey about the use of force, and this was done with PSU professors. 1885 Not one news station chose to run that survey. Like, if we put up a 1886 survey that said force was up 50 percent, I quarantee you that every 1887 news station in this city would have run it. And, again, this was 1888 independent of the police bureau. This was with the Criminology Department of Portland State. I totally agree though. Our 1889 1890 communications needs to improve, and that is a legitimate concern. 1891 Thank you. Walter (inaudible). MALE: 1892 HULL: Well, okay, considering the population out there and who we 1893 want to communicate to, all right, there are groups of people that 1894 are essentially good people that we need to communicate to, right? 1895 Let's just consider a rotary club, all right. Most of the people that 1896 are in that rotary club, and I don't care where it is, all over the city, okay, because there are a great number of them, but the fact of 1897 1898 the matter is that those people, when information is brought to them, 1899 they disperse that information in a very high degree. And it is 1900 important, it seems to me, okay, that those types of groups be talked 1901 to and presented with these positive statistics, et cetera, et 1902 cetera, that we're making a difference in this regard. 1903 HURLEY: So, Walter, I absolutely, completely agree with you. So, 1904 one, when I was the lieutenant in East Precinct a few years ago, when 1905 the community groups asked us to come speak, we would bring these 1906 statistics, and would talk to them. So, we got it out to the 1907 community groups. I know the other precincts are doing the same

thing. I know that we give these kinds of statistics and positive-

that they do not broadcast that and fail to pick up these stories,

type media to the media all the time, every single day, and the fact

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 40 of 43

- 1911 there is absolutely nothing that we can do about it. One of the 1912 things that we have discussed, but there is no funding for, is a communication team that would have the ability to get this out to the 1913 1914 public in a better manner that would be on our own platform since the 1915 news media doesn't want to pick these things up. We don't have the 1916 staffing or the funding in order to put a team like that together 1917 like other agencies have. And then the other issue is officers 1918 bringing this out. I absolutely, 100 percent agree with you. I will 1919 tell you we are running 120 officers down right now. I will tell you 1920 in August 2020, I can retire another 150 officers, and I will tell 1921 you that I hire about four a month. Do you see the numbers? They're
- 1922 not working out. So, we have -
- 1923 **HULL:** We understand that.
- 1924 HURLEY: Well, so as much as I agree with what you're saying, there 1925 is no staffing for us to go to all of those community meetings to 1926 have that conversation. Now, having said that, as the Oregonian, I 1927 think, released yesterday, there is a new community group RU that is 1928 been created that's going to answer directly to the chief that is 1929 hoping to use some of that to get these community groups, to get the 1930 information out to them, to do it in a more organized manner so that 1931 hopefully we can move forward with that. It's just a resource issue, 1932 right. But, again, Acting Captain Chuck Lavelle will run this new 1933 communication - excuse me. Communications slash - Come on, help me 1934 out.
- 1935 GERRITSEN: Community engagement.
- 1936 BUCKLEY: Community services.
- 1937 HURLEY: Thank you, Community Services Unit, and they're going to be 1938 working much more closely with the community to hopefully get some of 1939 this information out, but it's really a resource-driven problem.
- 1940 BUCKLEY: Can I also make one point. I fully agree with you, but I
- 1941 think it's also incumbent upon our advisory councils to take it your
- 1942 people. I mean, you all have, you know, constituencies,
- 1943 **HULL:** Absolutely.
- 1944 BUCKLEY: And that's what we do with the Behavioral Health or all of 1945 the other advisory councils we have is we provide information, and we 1946 would hope that you would take that information and spread the word 1947 as well. I think that's really one of the tasks of any advisory
- 1948 council that the bureau has.
- 1949 CAMPBELL: All right. We're running pretty late on time, and we've 1950 got to respect the people who need to get home. Let's take two more 1951 comments. I know Sylvia had her hand up, and Sarah was the other one, 1952 and then we're going to close for later discussion.
- 1953 **ZINGESER:** I forgot what it was.
- 1954 CAMPBELL: Sylvia, do you remember?
- 1955 ZINGESER: No, I don't. Sorry.
- 1956 **CAMPBELL:** Okay. Sarah?
- SUNIGA: I really appreciate what you put together here, Shawn, and I 1957
- 1958 am just noticing that I'm having really strong reactions and a
- 1959 mixture of ones. So, I want to try to be clear, and I'm going to look

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 41 of 43

1960 at some notes too, because I have a lot of respect for our police 1961 department. I really do. You know, I have officers in my family and 1962 some - you know, I have respect for the role and the stressors and 1963 the intensity of it all, and I've heard some language that just is concerning to me and these statistics, you know, the comparisons. I 1964 know you're not trying to draw causality, but they're concerning to 1965 1966 me, but when I hear things that are moving in the direction of color blindness when some of these numbers are letting us know that there 1967 1968 needs to be some awareness and acknowledgement of race, like, there's 1969 - it feels good to want to be color blind. We want to believe that we 1970 are, and that's just not reality, and these numbers (inaudible) not the reality. And I realize that what I'm also saying is maybe that 1971 1972 I'm having - that I have higher expectations of the police than I 1973 might have even of myself and that might be really unfair, right, and 1974 I kind of am okay with that, that it's unfair. And so, I like these 1975 ideas that are being talked about and the future directions, and I 1976 really want to support that. And the idea of - the importance of 1977 self-awareness, the importance of emotional regulation in order to 1978 allow our officers to make critical decisions because lives are on 1979 the line, their own lives, our lives. So, thank you for opening this 1980 conversation. I just wanted to -

1981 CAMPBELL: All right. How about we just close for today and let
1982 people kind of process. I apologize I didn't have time for the final
1983 part of the agenda, but we will put it first thing and actually carve
1984 out a larger period of time for it in September to discuss where to
1985 go from here.

1986 **GERRITSEN:** Shawn, I know it's late, but I am aware that there is a community member in the room who wanted to speak earlier, but she couldn't because that's not part of our process, but I feel like we do need to give her the three minutes -

- 1990 CAMPBELL: Oh, no, no. We're still going to do community comment.
- 1991 **GERRITSEN:** Okay. Okay. I didn't know if you were stopping the 1992 meeting.
- 1993 **CAMPBELL:** Yeah. I just wanted to close out the this part of the 1994 meeting.
- 1995 GERRITSEN: Okay. Nope. Sorry. I didn't know if that was on your
- 1996 agenda. I didn't want people to get up and leave.
- 1997 CAMPBELL: I just need a motion to accept the report.
- 1998 ZINGESER: I make a motion to accept the report.
- 1999 CAMPBELL: Sylvia. Do we have a second?
- 2000 WILDE: Yes.
- 2001 CAMPBELL: From Venn. All in favor?
- 2002 **MULTIPLE:** Aye.
- 2003 CAMPBELL: All opposed? All abstained? Motion passes. All right. Just
- 2004 real quick to get people's minds thinking is there a way to turn
- 2005 off that screen, Jody?
- 2006 HALIA: Yeah, actually (inaudible).
- 2007 CAMPBELL: So, this is what I was going to start out for the next
- 2008 portion where we are going to discuss basically where we as a group

# IA# TAC Meeting 071019 TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 42 of 43

2009 want to go, and I'm just going to put this out here to start the 2010 juices flowing for the next meeting (inaudible). I'm going to quote a 2011 good period of time. We're going to do it first thing, so we don't 2012 miss it. This is what we've been doing over the last year and a half, 2013 and we've always focused on three key areas: Implicit bias and 2014 procedural justice, officer wellness, and data use and inclusion. And 2015 as you can see, there's been things that have kind of branched off of 2016 there. For instance, emotional intelligence is something that we can 2017 say branched off of both implicit bias an officer wellness. With data 2018 use and inclusion, we have the demographic recommendation we made 2019 where the demographic data would be included. Patterns in the use of 2020 force, which is what we just did today, and historical context is what we did at the last meeting basically kind of trying to get an 2021 2022 idea of how these trends have changed over time. And the question is 2023 where do we want to go from here and even stuff that aren't related 2024 to these three things that we've done. So, that's what we're going to 2025 be discussing at the next meeting. So, kind of give those thoughts, 2026 and spend a little bit of time thinking about them.

- 2027 **FEMALE:** Can you send out your diagram to everybody?
- 2028 CAMPBELL: Sure.
- 2029 **FEMALE:** So that we can be thinking about it because that's really
- 2030 good.
- 2031 **CAMPBELL:** Yeah.
- 2032 **FEMALE:** Yeah. Thank you.
- 2033 CAMPBELL: All right? All right. Before we close, community comment?
- 2034 Any members of the (inaudible) do anybody community member, would
- 2035 you like to add any comment about today?
- 2036 RAMOS: This has been a really interesting meeting. Disturbing,
- 2037 encouraging, and it's a really complicated issue, and as a community
- 2038 member, I've been involved with community policing for a long time,
- 2039 and it's complicated. And so, I appreciate the diversity of opinion
- 2040 here. Some of the opinion was really hard to hear, but I don't know.
- 2041 It's a positive note. I mean, I'm glad you're all here working on
- 2042 this, and I guess that's all I wanted to say.
- 2043 **ZINGESER:** Can I make a comment to you?
- 2044 CAMPBELL: Is it okay with we do it outside of the -
- 2045 ZINGESER: Well, I wanted to invite her -
- 2046 CAMPBELL: Oh, all right.
- 2047 ZINGESER: I want to invite you to join the TAC.
- 2048 **FEMALE:** No. I'm already doing a (inaudible).
- 2049 GERRITSEN: She's been involved in a lot of stuff.
- 2050 CAMPBELL: I would like to invite you though if you ever do if you
- 2051 would like to continue coming to the meetings.
- 2052 **FEMALE:** It's a little off putting to be, like, "Oh, no. You can't
- 2053 sit at the table. No, you can't speak." Just throwing it out there.
- 2054 WILDE: Thank you.
- 2055 CAMPBELL: All right. Anything else before we close?

IA# TAC Meeting 071019
TAC Meeting / N/A

07/10/2019 Page 43 of 43

2056 **MALE:** I've got a question for our guest. Can I ask who you are? Do you represent a group or are you just interested in the community 2058 group?

2059 RAMOS: I'm just an interested community member. I do happen to be a 2060 community member on the police review board, but I came because I live in Portland, and this is of concern.

2062 MALE: Thank you for doing this.

2063 **ZINGESER:** Thank you.

2064 WILDE: And this is Venn, again, how did you find out this was

2065 happening?

2066 RAMOS: I used to be on another community board, so I'm on their

2067 mailing list.

2068 **NYAMU:** Thank you for coming.

2069 HURLEY: And I thought you just came to visit me. Just saying.

2070 **RAMOS:** I'm glad to see you too.

2071 CAMPBELL: All right. Thank you everybody for staying later than

2072 normal tonight. Can we get a motion to close?

2073 **FEMALE:** Motion to close.

2074 **CAMPBELL:** Second? 2075 **FEMALE:** Second.

2076 CAMPBELL: All in favor of closing?

2077 **MULTIPLE:** Aye.

2078 CAMPBELL: All opposed? Motion passes. Thank you very much.

2079 2080 No435 071119

2081 Transcribed 08/05/19 @ 8:25 p.m. Elice Turnbull