

**PRIORITIZATION MATRIX: ENCOURAGEMENT/NON-INFRASTRUCTURE**

SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS (50 points)					EXISTING CONDITIONS (20 points)					SCHOOL SUPPORT (30 points)					GRAND TOTAL (100 pts)	
free/reduced lunch %	points (20 pts)	community of color %	points (20 pts)	ESL/newcomer %	points (10 pts)	Total EQUITY (50 pts)	travel history (active %)	points (10 pts)	combined ped/ bike network score	points (10 pts)	Total LEVERAGE (20 pts)	International Walk + Bike Day (10 pts)	Walk + Bike Challenge Month (10 pts)	has received/ requested education		points (10 pts)
0-5%	1	0-5%	1	0-5.6%	1		0-8.5%	1	20	1		0	0	neither	0	
6-10%	2	6-10%	2	5.7-11.2%	2		8.6-17.0%	2	19	1		5	5	requested	5	
11-15%	3	11-15%	3	11.3-16.8%	3		17.1-25.5%	3	18	2		10	10	received	10	
16-20%	4	16-20%	4	16.9-22.4%	4		25.6-34.0%	4	17	2						
21-25%	5	21-25%	5	22.5-28.0%	5		34.1-42.5%	5	16	3						
26-30%	6	26-30%	6	28.1-33.6%	6		42.6-51.0%	6	15	3						
31-35%	7	31-35%	7	33.7-39.2%	7		51.1-59.5%	7	14	4						
36-40%	8	36-40%	8	39.3-44.8%	8		59.6-68.0%	8	13	4						
41-45%	9	41-45%	9	44.9-50.4%	9		68.1-76.5%	9	12	5						
46-50%	10	46-50%	10	50.5-56.0%	10		76.6-85.0%	10	11	5						
51-55%	11	51-55%	11						10	6						
56-60%	12	56-60%	12						9	6						
61-65%	13	61-65%	13						8	7						
66-70%	14	66-70%	14						7	7						
71-75%	15	71-75%	15						6	8						
76-80%	16	76-80%	16						5	8						
81-85%	17	81-85%	17						4	9						
86-90%	18	86-90%	18						3	9						
91-95%	19	91-95%	19						2	10						
96-100%	20	96-100%	20						1	10						

0 = no participation past 2 years  
 5 = one event in past 2 years  
 10 = two events in past 2 years

If School Coordinator or other Key Contact is known to be active at school, extra points up to 10 may be awarded.

School Demographics: higher % = higher need = more points

higher score implies **poor** infrastructure/ connectivity = fewer points

for unsurveyed/unscored schools: find average of schools in same high school cluster

Existing Conditions points (namely travel history & bike/ped network) are OPPOSITE the scale used in the funding criteria; these points for PROGRAMMATIC PRIORITIZATION imply that a school has a good environment to succeed. In this scenario, a **higher active travel history and a better bike/ped network garner more points**

**PRIORITIZATION MATRIX: ENGINEERING PROJECTS**

SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHICS (30 points)						NETWORK & SAFETY (30 points)						PAST EXPENDITURES (30 points)		GRAND TOTAL (90 pts)	
f/r lunch	points (10 pts)	comm of color	points (10 pts)	ESL	points (10 pts)	Total EQUITY (30 pts)	bike network score	points (10 pts)	sidewalk network score	points (10 pts)	bike + ped crashes	points (10 pts)	Total SAFETY (30 pts)		historical investment
0-10%	1	0-10%	1	0-5.6%	1		0.0-7.0	10	0.0-1.5	10	0	1		\$180K-\$200K	3
11-20%	2	11-20%	2	5.7-11.2%	2		7.1-14.0	9	1.6-3.0	9	1	2		\$160K-\$180K	6
21-30%	3	21-30%	3	11.3-16.8%	3		14.1-21.0	8	3.1-4.5	8	2	3		\$140K-\$160K	9
31-40%	4	31-40%	4	16.9-22.4%	4		21.1-28.0	7	4.6-6.0	7	3	4		\$120K-\$140K	12
41-50%	5	41-50%	5	22.5-28.0%	5		28.1-35.0	6	6.1-7.5	6	4	5		\$100K-\$120K	15
51-60%	6	51-60%	6	28.1-33.6%	6		35.1-42.0	5	7.6-9.0	5	6	6		\$80K-\$100K	18
61-70%	7	61-70%	7	33.7-39.2%	7		42.1-49.0	4	9.1-10.5	4	8	7		\$60K-\$80K	21
71-80%	8	71-80%	8	39.3-44.8%	8		49.1-56.0	3	10.6-12.0	3		8		\$40K-\$60K	24
81-90%	9	81-90%	9	44.9-50.4%	9		56.1-63.0	2	12.1-13.5	2		9		\$20K-\$40K	27
91-100%	10	91-100%	10	50.5-56.0%	10		63.1+	1	13.6-15.0+	1	2 highest	10		\$0-\$20K	30

School Demographics: higher % = higher need = more points

higher score implies **better** infrastructure/ connectivity = fewer points

schools with less \$ spent in boundary receive more points

Network Connectivity points (namely bike/ped network) are OPPOSITE the scale used in the programmatic criteria; leverage points for FUNDING PRIORITIZATION imply that a school has poor network connectivity and therefore requires more assistance. In this scenario, a less connected bike/ped network garners more points.