
Portland Water Bureau Request for a Treatment Variance to the Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule

June 2011

Prepared by the
City of Portland Water Bureau



Submitted to
The Oregon Health Authority, Public Health Division Drinking Water Program

Printed on 100% post-consumer recycled paper. 

Cover photography courtesy of Roman Johnston (top) and Portland Water Bureau staff (middle images).

Contents

Abbreviations and Acronyms	vii
Executive Summary	ES-1
The Portland Water Bureau Requests a Variance to the Treatment Requirements of the Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule	ES-1
Portland’s One-Year Monitoring Program	ES-2
Collecting Key Data for the Variance Request.....	ES-2
Monitoring Results at the Raw Water Intake: No <i>Cryptosporidium</i>	ES-3
Supporting Results from Additional Monitoring at the Raw Water Intake: No <i>Cryptosporidium</i>	ES-4
Supporting Results from Upstream Monitoring in the Watershed: No <i>Cryptosporidium</i>	ES-4
Supporting Results from Scat Monitoring and Model Development	ES-4
The Bull Run Watershed: Unique Characteristics Support the Monitoring Results	ES-5
Public Health Perspective on the Variance	ES-6
Monitoring and Ongoing Management of the Bull Run Watershed	ES-6
Conclusion: Due to the Nature of the Raw Water Source, Treatment for <i>Cryptosporidium</i> is Not Necessary to Protect Public Health.....	ES-7
Section 1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 The Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule Requirements	1-1
1.2 The Legal Basis for a Variance	1-3
1.3 Development of the Variance Sampling Effort.....	1-4
1.4 Components of the Official Variance Request.....	1-4
1.5 Structure of this Document	1-7
Section 2. Portland's Bull Run Watershed	2-1
2.1 Physical Description, Forest Characteristics, and Wildlife.....	2-1
2.2 Climate, Geology, and Topography	2-4
2.3 The Water Supply System	2-5
2.4 Treatment.....	2-7
2.5 A Long History of Source Protection	2-8
2.6 Land Ownership and Management.....	2-10
2.7 Stewardship and Watershed Controls.....	2-11
2.7.1 Public Closure.....	2-11
2.7.2 Fire Protection	2-12
2.7.3 Road Decommissioning.....	2-12
2.7.4 Continuous Monitoring and Active Management.....	2-13
2.8 Water Quality.....	2-14
2.8.1 Compliance with Regulations.....	2-14
2.8.2 Key Parameters	2-15
2.9 Conclusions.....	2-19

Section 3. Results of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Monitoring at the Raw Water Intake	3-1
3.1 Target <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Concentration for Obtaining a Variance in the LT2 Rule	3-3
3.2 Raw Water Intake Monitoring in Support of an LT2 Treatment Variance.....	3-3
3.2.1 Monitoring Program Developed in Consultation with EPA	3-3
3.2.2 Intake Sampling Results—No <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Detected	3-5
3.2.3 Monitoring Adhered to Data Quality Standards.....	3-6
3.3 Additional Intake Sampling Supports Results of the 2010 Sampling Plan and Study.....	3-10
3.3.1 Early Sampling under LT2—No <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Detected	3-11
3.3.2 Interim Monitoring at the Intake—No <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Detected.....	3-11
3.4 Conclusions.....	3-13
Section 4. Characterizing the Nature of the Bull Run Source Water.....	4-1
4.1 Watershed Protection Limits Sources of Human-Infectious <i>Cryptosporidium</i>	4-2
4.1.1 Most Significant Sources of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Are Absent from Bull Run.....	4-2
4.1.2 Wildlife Typically Carry Types of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> that Are of Lesser Public Health Concern	4-3
4.2 Low Population Densities and Infection Prevalence Limit the Contribution from Wildlife.....	4-3
4.2.1 PWB Study Estimates Low Wildlife Densities in the Bull Run Watershed.....	4-4
4.2.2 Bull Run Wildlife Has an Exceedingly Low Prevalence of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Infection	4-5
4.2.3 Watershed Conditions Explain the Low Prevalence of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> in Bull Run Wildlife	4-6
4.3 Watershed Characteristics Mitigate the Risk from <i>Cryptosporidium</i> in Important Ways	4-8
4.3.1 Low Rainfall Intensity Coupled with High Infiltration Allows Bull Run Forests to Provide Natural Filtration.....	4-9
4.3.2 Raw Water Storage Reservoirs Dilute and Attenuate Pathogens.....	4-9
4.4 Upstream Monitoring Results Support Low Likelihood of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> in the Source Water	4-10
4.4.1 Upstream Monitoring Program Exceeded EPA Guidance.....	4-10
4.4.2 Upstream Monitoring Results-No <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Detected	4-15
4.4.3 Continued Monitoring Shows No <i>Cryptosporidium</i> Detected, Even During a 25-Year Storm	4-16
4.5 Pathogen Catchment Budget Model Adaptation to the Bull Run Watershed.....	4-17
4.6 Conclusions.....	4-19
Section 5. Local Public Health Data and Public Health Workshop.....	5-1
5.1 Public Health Target Embedded in LT2 Rule	5-1
5.2 Bull Run Not the Source of Any Waterborne Outbreaks as Certified by the State of Oregon	5-2
5.3 Oregon Respected for Infectious Disease Surveillance Program	5-2
5.3.1 Majority of PWB Service Area in Multnomah County.....	5-3
5.3.2 Multnomah County Surveillance Program	5-3
5.4 <i>Cryptosporidiosis</i> Data within Multnomah County Points to Low Risk.....	5-4
5.4.1 Surveillance System Sensitive Enough to Identify Outbreaks	5-5
5.4.2 Seasonal and Demographic Distribution Shows No Significant Trends.....	5-5
5.4.3 Low Risk to Immunocompromised Individuals as Monitored by MCHD.....	5-6

5.4.4 Comparison to other Diarrheal Disease Agents Shows *Cryptosporidium* as a Minor Contributor 5-6

5.5 Public Health Expert Panel Convened to Review Available Data 5-7

5.5.1 Public Health Workshop Structured to Provide a Complete Overview of Relevant Data 5-9

5.5.2 Public Health Expert Consensus Statement 5-10

5.6 Conclusions..... 5-10

Section 6. Portland Water Bureau Will Perform Monitoring as a Condition of a Variance..... 6-1

6.1 Monitoring is a Required Condition if a Variance is Granted 6-1

6.2 EPA’s Suggested Monitoring Program..... 6-2

6.3 Monitoring Expert Workshop Convened to Evaluate Monitoring Program Options 6-2

6.3.1 Workshop Structured to Examine Potential Monitoring Elements..... 6-4

6.3.2 Conclusions from Monitoring Expert Workshop..... 6-5

6.4 Proposed Regulatory Monitoring Program and Ongoing Management if a Variance is Granted 6-6

6.4.1 Regulatory Monitoring Proposal 6-6

6.4.2 Schedule Considerations for Transitioning to Enhanced Treatment 6-9

6.4.3 PWB Development of In-House *Cryptosporidium* Testing and Continuation of Upstream Monitoring..... 6-10

6.4.4 Coordination with Public Health Officials in Response to Positive Sample Results 6-10

6.4.5 Maintenance of Watershed Control and Stewardship Programs 6-11

6.5 Benefits of Portland’s Proposed Monitoring and Management Approach when Compared with Monitoring Required by the LT2 Rule 6-12

6.6 Conclusions..... 6-13

Section 7. Conclusions 7-1

7.1 Summary 7-2

Section 8. Glossary of Terms Used in This Document..... 8-1

Section 9. References 9-1

Figures

Figure 1-1. Time Line of Portland Water Bureau Variance Request Development 1-6

Figure 2-1. The Bull Run Watershed with the Spillway, Dam 2, and the Intake Towers in the Foreground 2-1

Figure 2-2. Topographic Map of Bull Run Watershed and Bull Run Watershed Management Unit..... 2-2

Figure 2-3. Vegetation and Land Cover in the Bull Run Drainage 2-3

Figure 2-4. Typical Forest Stand in the Bull Run Watershed 2-4

Figure 2-5. Reservoir 1 and Concrete Gravity Arch Dam 1..... 2-5

Figure 2-6. Reservoir 2, Intake Towers, Earth and Rock-Fill Dam 2, Hydroelectric Powerhouse 2, and the Diversion Pool..... 2-6

Figure 2-7. Pathways for Raw Water Intake into Water Supply System 2-7

Figure 2-8. Bull Run Watershed Land Ownership and Boundaries 2-10

Figure 2-9. Decommissioned Road Area 2-12

Figure 2-10. Bull Run Raw Water Intake Turbidity Values —April 1, 2009, to March 31, 2011 2-16

Figure 2-11. Bull Run Raw Water Intake Fecal Coliform Values—April 1, 2009, to March 31, 2011 2-17

Figure 2-12. Bull Run Raw Water Intake *E. coli* Values—April 1, 2009, to March 31, 2011 2-18

Figure 2-13. Bull Run Raw Water Intake Total Algae Concentrations—April 1, 2009, to March 31, 2011 2-18

Figure 2-14. Bull Run Raw Water Intake *Giardia* Detections—April 1, 2009, to March 31, 2011 2-19

Figure 3-1. EPA-Provided 90% Confidence Target of 0.225 oocysts/1,000 L to Determine the Appropriate Sample Volume 3-4

Figure 3-2. Statistical Confidence Provided by PWB’s Sampling Results. 3-6

Figure 3-3. Time Line of the One-Year Monitoring Period Showing Sample Volume Change and Use of Modified Method 1623 3-8

Figure 3-4. Portland Water Bureau’s Total Water Volume Analyzed for *Cryptosporidium* Compared with Volume Required for Other Monitoring Efforts. 3-10

Figure 3-5. Statistical Confidence Provided by PWB’s Sampling Results. 3-12

Figure 3-6. Interim Turbidity Event at the Raw Water Intake, January 2011. 3-13

Figure 4-1. Map of Upstream Sampling Sites in the Bull Run Watershed 4-12

Figure 4-2. Sampling Regime for Nine Upstream Locations in the Bull Run Watershed, Variance Sampling Year 2009-10..... 4-14

Figure 4-3. Number of Events and Scheduled Samples at Each Upstream Location..... 4-15

Figure 5-1. Cryptosporidiosis Rates by Year, 2000–2010..... 5-4

Figure 5-2. Seasonal and Age Distribution of Cryptosporidiosis Cases in Multnomah County. 5-6

Figure 5-3. Multnomah County Diarrheal Disease Rates 5-7

Figure 6-1. Flow Diagram of Portland’s Proposed Regulatory Monitoring Program..... 6-9

Tables

Table 1-1. Overview of Bin Classification and Treatment Requirements for Filtered Systems According to the LT2 Rule 1-2

Table 2-1. Common Sources of Contamination or Activities Not Allowed in Bull Run Watershed 2-9

Table 2-2. General Water Quality Parameters of Bull Run Source Water Collected Through Regularly Scheduled Monitoring at the Raw Water Intake, April 1, 2009, to March 31, 2011 ... 2-15

Table 3-1. *Cryptosporidium* Results for Samples Collected at the Intake 3-5

Table 4-1. Population Estimates for Target Wildlife in Bull Run 4-5

Table 4-2. Upstream Sampling Locations in the Bull Run Watershed 4-11

Table 4-3. *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* Results from Upstream Locations 4-16

Table 5-1. PWB Customers by County..... 5-3

Table 5-2. Public Health Expert Panelists..... 5-8

Table 6-1. Monitoring Expert Panelists 6-3

Appendixes

A. Revised Sampling Plan and Study in Support of a Variance Application to the Treatment Requirements of the Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule, May 2010 —*Available on CD or PWB web site: www.portlandonline.com/water/LT2VarianceRequest.*

B. Treatment Variance Request Team Biographies and Acknowledgments B-1

C. Monitoring of the Raw Water Intake C-1

D. Monitoring of the Upstream Watershed Locations D-1

E. Scat Monitoring and Wildlife Information..... E-1

F. PCB Model Adaptations..... F-1

G. Bull Run Watershed Protections, Controls, and Conditions G-1

H. Historical Data..... H-1

I. LT2 Variance Process History I-1

J. PWB Actions to Fulfill EPA’s Recommendations and Criteria for Consideration of a Treatment Variance Request..... J-1

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ALS	Australian Laboratory Services Pty. Ltd.
ASI	Analytical Services, Inc.
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BRWMU	Bull Run Watershed Management Unit
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDM	Camp Dresser & McKee Inc.
CEC	Clancy Environmental Consultants, Inc.
cfs	cubic feet per second
cfu	colony forming unit
cm	centimeter
CT	chlorine concentration x contact time
DEA	David Evans and Associates, Inc.
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FoodNet	Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network
FR	Federal Register
g	gram
GIS	geographical information systems
GNN	Gradient Nearest Neighbor
GPS	global positioning system
ICR	Information Collection Rule
L	liter
LEMMA	Landscape Ecology, Modeling, Mapping & Analysis
mL	milliliter
LT2	Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule
MCHD	Multnomah County Health Department
mg	milligram
MPN	most probable number
ND	non-detect

Abbreviations and Acronyms

NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
NWFP	Northwest Forest Plan
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rules
OGWDW	U. S. EPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
OHA-DWP	Oregon Health Authority Drinking Water Program
OPHD	Oregon Public Health Division
OPR	ongoing precision and recovery
ORCA	Oregon Resources Conservation Act
PBMS	Performance Based Measurement System
PCB	Pathogen Catchment Budget
PIS	primary intake structure
PWB	Portland Water Bureau
PWS	public water system
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
SCADA	supervisory control and data acquisition
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SNOTEL	snow telemetry
μS	microSiemen
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UV	ultraviolet
WaterRF	Water Research Foundation